2 Naturalia and artefacta: Dürer’s nature drawings and early collecting
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Albrecht Dürer lived and worked at a time when collecting was no longer the prerogative of kings and princes but had increasingly become an option for the wealthy and well-educated burghers of cities such as Nuremberg, Augsburg, Antwerp and Basel. New philosophical concepts and ideas, mostly imported from Italy, influenced the intellectual life of Renaissance Germany. This and the advent of new printing technology created a climate in which cultural change and innovation spread more rapidly.¹ The exploration of the New World, religious reform movements and the rise of new cults and beliefs impacted in various ways on the social and political order of society. Artists actively participated in these events and expressed the new ideas associated with them not only in writing but also through art.

Dürer was an artist who very consciously observed and commented on what was happening around him. He was almost painfully aware of his own role in the metamorphosis of German art, a fact which comes across both in his theoretical writings and in his letters. As Koerner has pointed out, Dürer was also one of the first artists to understand and interpret his daily artistic output as part of a larger whole.² We know from the annotations on his drawings and watercolours that Dürer consciously shaped and arranged his œuvre later in his life in order to document the slow transformation from a talented yet inexperienced artist into one of the leading lights of Northern Renaissance art.

Many of the traditionally held views on nature and its place in the universe as a whole were fundamentally questioned during Dürer’s lifetime by the discovery of new continents, new trading routes and new people. For those reasons alone it must have been quite exciting and intellectually challenging to live in a society which rapidly responded to these changes. This applies especially to a few privileged artists such as Dürer, who were in a
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position to participate in the international exchange of information. The closely knit community of courtiers, humanists, diplomats and merchants, who operated across Europe and beyond, readily provided the latest information on the exotic people living in the new Americas, on products available from India, and on all the other explorations which took place at the beginning of the sixteenth century. Artists such as Weiditz, Burgkmair, Altdorfer, Baldung Grien and Dürer were actively involved in documenting and interpreting these events. The rise of naturalistic studies of nature in the early fifteenth century, first in Northern Italy and later in the southern Netherlands and Germany, prepared the way for the almost systematic exploration of the visible world which became a characteristic of Albrecht Dürer’s large œuvre of watercolours and drawings.

Artists such as Dürer and Leonardo da Vinci undoubtedly played a central role in instigating a fresh interest in animal studies by producing proto-scientific drawings, which only in the middle of the sixteenth century were followed by more scholarly scientific studies. As Pass and Kemp have pointed out, Dürer’s attitude to nature studies differed in several respects from Leonardo’s. While Leonardo seemed to have searched to a larger degree for underlying principles and universal structures hidden behind the surface of the visible object, Dürer was primarily interested in portraying the outer appearance of his object of study, which he depicted as accurately and as naturalistically as possible. His awakening interest in theory centred on geometry, perspective and physiognomy rather than on the mechanics of the body or the origins of motion in nature.

Driven by a thirst for knowledge and information, and motivated by an acute awareness of the importance of many of these discoveries for later generations, these artists captured their first impressions of recently discovered species and newly imported ethnographic material in numerous drawings and watercolours (fig. 2.1). Information of this kind could be transmitted in different ways and it is well known that artists were not always preparing drawings directly from the life object. Occasionally, their drawings were informed by a range of alternative sources, such as stuffed or dried specimens, oral or written descriptions and sketches of exotic objects by other artists. A few examples may clarify the complex circumstances which determined the making of nature studies in this period.

On his visit to the Netherlands, Dürer was fascinated by the animals in the royal zoo in Brussels and made several drawings of lions, as well as of a monkey and a lynx. In the case of the baboon portrayed on this occasion, Dürer also noted down the weight and size of the animal and coloured the
drawing with delicate watercolours as if to capture its appearance for later reference. While most of the time Dürer drew directly from life, he and Burgkmair also recorded what they did not see first hand (fig. 2.2). The Rhinoceros is a well-known example of Dürer's interest in all things new and his active involvement in interpreting visual and written evidence. When in 1515 the governor of Portuguese India sent a live rhinoceros as a gift to his king in Lisbon, its arrival caused a great stir in the German community of that city. Provoked by this unusual encounter with a most exotic animal, an eye-witness from Lisbon sent a detailed note and a drawing to Nuremberg which shortly thereafter became the source of Dürer’s pen and ink drawing in the British Museum (fig. 2.2). When transforming his sketch of the rhinoceros into the woodcut of the same subject, Dürer followed his preparatory sketch in almost every detail. The initial description of the animal, however, was slightly altered for the printed version, perhaps to make it sound more exotic. In the text accompanying the drawing in London the animal is characterised in the following way: ‘Hat ein Farb wy ein krot.’ In the woodcut, the ambiguous German term krot was replaced by the
unequivocal and more descriptive term *gespreckelte Schildkrot* or 'freckled tortoise'.

Hans Burgkmair is another case in point. In 1508 he provided the woodcuts for Balthasar Springer's written account of the first voyage of German merchants to India, without ever having visited the Portuguese Indies himself. In two slightly later watercolours (fig. 2.3), two black youths
are depicted in exotic clothes consisting of a feather skirt, a cape and a headdress. The weapons they hold, a club, a shield and an axe with a shrunken head are probably based on authentic ethnographic material, brought to Europe from Mexico and South America. In these drawings Burgkmair mixed fact with fantasy by combining what he saw with what he knew about the inhabitants of distant continents. In 1519 similar objects had been sent to the new emperor by Hernan Cortes and had been put on display in the ducal palace in Brussels, where artists such as Dürer were overwhelmed by their rarity and beauty. In the notebook of his trip to the Netherlands, Dürer poignantly expressed his sense of wonder and voiced his admiration for the artistry and creative power of the people of these foreign lands. Interestingly, Dürer himself never made any drawings of the South American artefacts he was so impressed by, and it seems he generally preferred to sketch humans, animals or plants from life. The natural object itself and the painted record of it became increasingly more important for the preparation of both his prints and paintings. That Dürer not only drew but also collected rare and exotic items himself later in his life has not received much attention to date. The close links between the act of recording and collecting and the significance of both for the creation of new works of art will therefore receive further attention in this chapter.

One way of dealing with the multitude of new images and impulses which flooded the senses and minds of those interested in new discoveries was to collect a wide variety of objects, including trophies from the New World. In the sixteenth century private collections increasingly became repositories for so-called Naturalia, a category which included specimens of rare plants, minerals and exotic animals, and for Exotica, a term used for ethnographic material and artefacts from distant countries. Another group of objects significant for our discussion were those included under the category Artefacta. This generally encompassed man-made objects and often those which had been constructed from precious and rare materials. With respect to collecting exotic animals, only very few individuals such as Emperor Charles V could afford to maintain their own zoo with live exhibits. Most collectors had to be content with possessing a stuffed animal, or, if that was not a viable option, with a faithful rendition of the desired species. It is therefore not surprising that images such as Dürer's wing of a Blue Roller - a very refined drawing in watercolour and body colour on vellum - were highly treasured collector's items and were passed on from one owner to the next. Dürer's productivity in the area of nature
studies, as well as the large number of drawings made after his designs, can partly be explained by this steadily increasing demand.

There has been little research to date on how private collections in Germany were organised and displayed in the first half of the sixteenth century. It will therefore be necessary to start with a brief discussion of better-known collections, dating from the second half of the sixteenth century, in order to shed light on what happened in Dürer's lifetime. By the third quarter of the sixteenth century several attempts had been made to order, record and systematise collections according to the often quite diverse aims and objectives of the individual owner. In some cases a separate space was set aside for the storage and display of the collection. More commonly, the collector kept his or her collection in the living quarters of their palace or townhouse. In earlier centuries, a small number of individuals had been in the business of collecting relics, art objects, manuscripts, tapestries, etc. However the opening up of Europe to new countries and continents and the rapid and wider dissemination of information on the most recent discoveries stimulated a general desire to own tangible proof of these other realities, which existed outside one's own immediate environment. While in the past collecting had predominantly been the privilege of princes and kings, this group was now joined by wealthy patricians and merchants such as Willibald Imhoff and Paulus II Praun, and by erudite humanists such as Willibald Pirckheimer and Bonifacius Amerbach. The considerable growth in the number of private collections seems to have gone hand in hand with a strongly felt need to structure and organise this newly gained knowledge of the world. By arranging their possessions more systematically and by contextualising their objects in different ways, collectors were attempting to integrate the exotic and the familiar into their broader conceptual framework of the universe. In several cases a conscious attempt was made to create a microcosmic mirror image of their environment and the world as a whole through their encyclopaedic approach to collecting.

Recent research on individual collections from the second half of the sixteenth century has provided us with ample proof of the many different approaches taken to collecting. The first theoretical manuals on what to collect and how to structure one’s collection were written in the third and fourth quarter of the sixteenth century by Samuel Quiccheberg (1565) and Gabriel Kaltemark (1587). Quiccheberg, for instance, formulated an ideal plan for a ducal collection and suggested dividing all objects into five major groups or fields, an idea he based on Pliny's Historia Naturalis. According to Quiccheberg’s encyclopaedic treatise, the recording, analysis
and investigation of nature was the highest goal of all human activity. He saw it as his task to provide the potential collector with a key to this daunting task. In our context, his attitude to Naturalia and Artefacta is of particular interest. Both are listed as two independent fields, Artefacta representing group 2 and Naturalia being classified as group 3.\textsuperscript{32}

Local rulers such as Archduke Ferdinand II,\textsuperscript{33} and Albrecht V of Bavaria kept their collections in purpose-built, museum-like spaces which were equipped with special tables and carefully constructed display cases.\textsuperscript{34} Ferdinand II's museum in Schloß Ambras, close to Innsbruck, consisted of an extensive armory,\textsuperscript{35} a Kunstkammer or cabinet for art objects, a library and an Antiquarium. His art collection, comprised objects made from gold, silver, ivory, alabaster, glass, corals, cast iron, porcelain and wood, as well as hand-stones, musical instruments, clocks, automats, a selection of books and pre-Columbian feather-works.\textsuperscript{36} It was displayed in eighteen cupboards which were consciously decorated in eight different colours. These colours were chosen in accordance with the type of object or kind of material from which the objects were made. In some cases the differently coloured backgrounds were intended to enhance the effect of the various materials on display. In contrast to Quiccheberg's manual, Ferdinand II's collection did not make a clear distinction between Naturalia and Artefacta. Undecorated branches of coral, for example, were exhibited next to intricately carved and mounted pieces of coral and shell (fig. 2.4).

While the actual terms Naturalia and Artefacta only appear in later theoretical literature,\textsuperscript{37} it can be assumed that collectors and theoreticians were aware of the general distinction between the raw material itself, that is samples of plants, animals or minerals or representations thereof,\textsuperscript{38} and the various kinds of skilfully crafted objects which were made from these organic and inorganic substances. Ferdinand II and Albrecht V are well known for their distinct predilection for Artefacta of this type. A wonderful example is a decorative coral cabinet still on display today in its original setting in Schloß Ambras. This fantastic creation consists of a wooden case which forms a backdrop for an imaginary mythological landscape made from corals, mother of pearl, whole abalone and nautilus shells, gypsum, lapis lazuli, glass, mirrors, gilding and cast bronze animals.\textsuperscript{39}

The general preference of collectors such as Ferdinand II and Albrecht V for Artefacta over Naturalia is also reflected in their large collections of decorative miniature landscapes, which are populated with humans and animals (fig. 2.5). The so-called 'handstones', for instance, were made often from untreated iron ore, encrusted with different minerals, precious
stones and quartz. Once these different specimens had been glued together to take the form of a mountain, representations of animals and human figures were added to create a microcosmic environment which represented aspects of real life. The handstone from Schloß Ambras, for instance, shows miners at work.⁴⁰

A much earlier example of this use of raw material can also be found in the reliquary treasure of Cardinal Albrecht von Brandenburg. In the first decades of the sixteenth century Albrecht made himself a name for amassing and exhibiting more than 350 reliquaries and numerous artworks in the collegiate church of Saint Maurice and Saint Magdalene in Halle.⁴¹ The remains of Saints Cosmas and Damian (fig. 2.6), for instance, were kept in a golden chalice, which was covered by a lid made from partly gilded silver
Fig. 2.5 Handstone with a Crucifixion and Miners at Work
ore. Perhaps as a reference to the local origin of the material, the amorphous lump of silver ore had been adorned with a cross and with miniature representations of miners, similar to the handstone in Ferdinand II's collection. Thus, the form of the reliquary in no way projected an idea of the kind of relic it contained, but rather referred to the economically important mining industry located within Saxony, the region from which this piece probably originates.

It appears that some of these collectors did not treasure Naturalia in the first place for their scientific value, that is as geological, zoological or biological specimens, but rather found pleasure in representations of controlled and ordered nature, which gave new meaning to these rarities. This could be achieved either by integrating them into a narrative context or by turning them into seemingly functional objects, such as a scientific instrument or a receptacle. A cup made from the horn of a rhinoceros and fitted with a gilded lid and a foot, which was held in Ferdinand II's collection, is a good example of the transformation of rare and exotic substances into tableware or other objects of practical use. Like the rhinoceros which served as a model for Dürer's drawing and woodcut, the material for this artefact also probably came from the Portuguese colony of Goa in India.

An earlier example of the same approach to Naturalia can be found in the collection of Albrecht von Brandenburg. It is a mounted nautilus shell, which served as a receptacle for several primary and secondary relics of Saint Elizabeth, among them a rib, a whole finger, toes, bits of her flesh and a cross, allegedly one of her favourite pieces of jewellery (fig. 2.7). Leaving aside the period's rather bizarre attitude towards the body parts of venerated saints and martyrs, it is worth taking a closer look at the way in which the highly treasured nautilus shell was represented by those artists who were involved in documenting Albrecht von Brandenburg's valuable collection. In 1526 Albrecht employed a group of illuminators to decorate a handwritten inventory of his collection with lavish miniatures (fig. 2.8). The text of this manuscript, which was to become his personal copy, followed the general structure of the Halle Relic Book, printed in 1520.

According to both representations, the woodcut and the illumination, the nautilus shell was not exhibited in its natural state, but had been placed into a tree-like mount, probably made from gilded silver. This ornate golden frame both protected and embellished the shell and made it better suited for display. Interestingly, the designer of the woodcut was more concerned with interpretation than with authenticity. While he obviously based his design on the same reliquary as the miniaturist, he was
more fascinated by the shell itself than by the actual shape of the object. His curiosity with the exotic creature which once inhabited this shell is clearly expressed in this woodcut, which portrays the animal as well as the reliquary. Not knowing that the head of this mollusc resembled the head of a cuttlefish with several arms or tentacles, the artist reconstructed its body as that of a giant snail. In the reliquary collection from Halle there were quite a number of receptacles which were fashioned from rare and exotic materials such as coral, ivory, shells, coconuts, ostrich eggs and precious stones.

While many collectors in sixteenth-century Northern Europe favoured the refined artefact made from such precious and sought-after materials, Naturalia in their original form also occurred in private collections of the time, albeit in smaller numbers. Margaret of Austria, the regent of the Netherlands, for instance, kept a large number of coral branches in her palace. Her inventory of 1523/4 lists corals of different colour, some carved with religious scenes, some uncarved. She kept the majority of her
corals in one room of her palace, the so-called Cabinet empres le Jardin, and one entry in particular suggests that at least thirty-nine of these coral branches were mounted on feet of painted clay, not dissimilar to those in the collection of Ferdinand II (fig. 2.4). Apart from corals, she also owned different types of shells, precious stones, and pearls. There is no doubt that some of these objects came from the new Indies such as the pearls and the 'coquilles de parle', which may well have been a nautilus shell. One of the most valuable rarities in Margaret's collection must have been the dead bird of paradise, which she kept in a small wooden box, wrapped in a piece of taffeta. This exotic and colourful bird, which was regarded as a most wondrous creature with no legs and strange eating habits, was first seen in Europe in September 1522, when one of Magellan's ships returned to Spain after the successful circumnavigation of the world. The fact that only five of these birds were brought back by the crew of the ship Victoria makes it even more remarkable that Margaret of Austria and her nephew, Emperor Charles V, could have secured one of these birds for each of their respective collections immediately after the birds' discovery. Collecting such trophies from the New World was not always done for reasons of curiosity or scientific interest, but was in this case also part of the public image of the Hapsburg rulers, who prided themselves on not just ruling Europe, but also having a stake in the new Americas.

This latter aspect was obviously of less interest to those artists who meticulously studied and recorded the unusual shape and colour of exotic animals as soon as their existence became public knowledge. The earliest known representation of a bird of paradise is a faint silverpoint drawing, which dates from circa 1525 and has been attributed to Hans Baldung Grien, an artist who worked very closely with Albrecht Dürer in the first decade of the sixteenth century. There are several instances where Albrecht Dürer displays a similar eagerness to observe and record unusual phenomena. In a recent article by Kirsten Seaver it has for instance been argued that Dürer studied the salted head of a walrus which was circulating Europe in 1519. From Dürer's notebook of his journey to the Netherlands, we also know that he went out of his way to see a large whale which had been washed up on the shore of the province of Zeeland. His interest in exotic animals can be traced back to drawings of a crab and a lobster which he prepared on his first trip to Italy. Dürer's detailed and accomplished drawings are in many cases far more than preliminary sketches, jotted down spontaneously whenever the occasion arose. Not always did
his studies lead to the production of an engraving or a woodcut, however,
as was the case with the rhinoceros. Several of his watercolours of animals
and landscapes are signed and dated, according his nature studies an artis-
tic status comparable to that of his paintings. As suggested earlier, these
drawings were soon considered artworks in their own right and rapidly
became highly sought after as collector’s items. But this did not prevent
Dürer from reusing these images in many of his prints and paintings.

From Dürer’s theoretical treatises it is evident that he believed the key to
artistic success lay in the study of nature itself. In the famous aesthetic dis-
course at the end of the third chapter of his book on human proportions,
Dürer repeatedly refers to the necessity of studying nature in all its forms
and urges his readers to use nature as a measuring rod for their designs. In
his eyes, God had endowed artistic people with very special creative powers
and considered it the duty of the artist not to deviate too far from God’s own
masterpiece of creation, that is nature, and from man as part thereof.60 In
one instance he addresses his fellow artists in the following way: ‘But life in
nature manifests the truth in these things . . . Therefore observe it di-
gently, go by it and do not depart from nature arbitrarily, imagining to find
the better by thyself, for thou wouldst be misled. For verily, art is embedded
in nature; he who can extract it has it.’61

No doubt Dürer himself was fascinated by the beauty and diversity of
nature in all its different forms. While he captured his vivid impressions of
landscapes, people, animals, plants and traditional costumes in numerous
watercolours and drawings, this was not the only way in which he respond-
ed to the intense experience of the ever-expanding and changing world. In
the literature on Dürer, little attention has been paid to the kind of objects
which Dürer received and collected during his various trips. The main
sources for the following comments are the letters sent to Willibald
Pirckheimer from Venice in 1506,62 and especially the diary of his trip to the
Netherlands undertaken in 1520/1.63

In 1506 Dürer was acting predominantly as an agent for his friend
Willibald Pirckheimer, who was interested in acquiring paintings for his
study as well as jewels, feathers, carpets and Greek books. By 1521, how-
ever, Dürer’s social status had changed enough for him to become an
active collector in his own right. In his logbook Dürer noted down every
major expense and kept detailed records of every exchange of gifts during
his travels. Most of the items acquired for his collection were not pur-
chased, but were received in an almost ritualistic exchange of gifts. On
many occasions Dürer himself initiated this exchange, either by making a portrait drawing of the individual he targeted, or by giving away sets of prints and illustrated books. During his extended stay in Antwerp he carefully built up close relationships with specific individuals, for instance fellow artists such as Joachim Patinir, leading humanists such as Erasmus of Rotterdam, merchants and courtiers from Italy such as Tommaso Bombelli and diplomats such as the consul of Portugal, João Brandão, and his deputy, Rodrigo ('Ruderigo'). In respect to his collection of Naturalia and Artefacta, João and Rodrigo were particularly important contacts for Dürer, as they supplied him with numerous treasures from the new Indies and expressed their respect for him by providing rare and exotic food stuffs.64 Dürer also systematically developed his contacts with politically influential people, for instance with courtiers such as Jakob Banisius and Felix Lautenschläger, who had direct access to the rulers they served.

A large proportion of the gifts Dürer received can be classified as Naturalia. He was given several branches of coral, white and red; sixteen coconuts from India; several feathers also from India; a large tortoise shell; a branch of cedar; six snail shells; a large fish scale; two dried fish; and precious stones.65 In addition, Dürer also purchased several objects of this kind himself; for instance a magnetic stone, a small tortoise, several horns of bison, cow and ox, a few shells, and numerous claws of the elk. Both bison and elk had caught Dürer’s imagination a couple of years earlier, as the watercolour of an elk and a drawing of a bison (on its verso) testify.66 Dürer was not only interested in Naturalia, but was equally receptive to exotic and ethnographic material. Among his possessions from India were a wooden shield, a small bag made from fish skin, and various pieces of fabric made from silk and cotton. Dürer also owned three Chinese porcelain vessels, a Turkish whip, and a pipe carved from ivory.

While many of these items were gifts, the artist was quite prepared to spend his own money on such luxury goods on occasion, as the purchase of a carved ivory saltcellar from India demonstrates. For this rare artefact Dürer paid the substantial sum of three gilders; for a small skull, also carved from ivory, he paid one gilder.67 In the early sixteenth century Antwerp truly was a centre for international trade, a place in which one could acquire all sorts of newly imported objects from the Old and the New World.68 From Dürer’s notebook we know that the artist kept a close eye on his budget and that he was always concerned about being out of pocket. In this light, the purchase of a small live monkey, a so-called ‘Meerkätzlein’, for the price of four gilders is very significant. He had already studied this
exotic animal twenty years earlier, probably during his first stay in Venice. Soon after his return to Nuremberg it appeared in one of his prints, Madonna with the Monkey. The detailed records in his notebook reveal that this was not the only live animal Dürer kept in Antwerp. He and his wife also owned three live parrots, presented to them by the Portuguese Rodrigo.69

Towards the end of his life Dürer had gained considerable social standing, and was accustomed to mingling with the high nobility and to dining with the ruling elite in the cities. During this time he became less content with just portraying the exotic animals he saw, but took delight in owning these creatures and other relics from foreign lands. The brief survey of the different objects and animals Dürer collected and bought during his year-long trip to the Netherlands leaves no doubt that he was by then an active collector, although obviously on a much smaller scale than for instance Albrecht von Brandenburg or Margaret of Austria. In contrast to these collectors, Dürer bought only a small number of artworks for himself;70 among the few works he purchased, a Salvator Mundi by Susanna Horenbout and some unspecified Italian artwork bought for the value of three gilders71 are worth mentioning.72 His interest in works of art by Raphael is clearly expressed by the deal he struck with Tomaso Vindicor: Dürer commissioned him to acquire either prints or drawings by the recently deceased Roman painter and paid for that agreement with his own prints.73

While some of the carved objects Dürer purchased during this period can be classified as Artefacta, they seem to be rather the exception than the rule. One of the reasons for his distinct preference for Naturalia may have been the prohibitive price of gold and silverware and other pieces of decorative art. In addition, Dürer was probably more interested in the material object in its raw form, than in an artefact fettered by the taste and imagination of another craftsman. If Dürer was searching for the mystery of nature, as the passage quoted from his book on human proportions suggests, one can assume that in his eyes the real object came closer to the truth than the man-made object which interpreted the material evidence in often bizarre ways.

This brief analysis of Dürer's notebook has shown that later in his life Dürer became an active collector in his own right, who shared a growing interest in the diversity of nature and in exotic artefacts with his fellow humanists and friends. Apart from the information gleaned from his diary and the letters to Pirckheimer, very little is known about the size, the growth and the organisation of his collection of Naturalia and Artefacta. Unfortunately, no inventories of Dürer's household have survived which
would allow us to make further comments on whether he consciously arranged his collection or even developed a personal philosophy of collecting during his lifetime. In the case of Dürer, however, we do have his artistic œuvre, which in many ways reflects his attitude towards the visible world and provides insights into his concept of nature.

One of the most fascinating aspects of Dürer's artistic œuvre is the way in which he incorporates his observations of nature into more traditional subject matter. By enriching themes from the common pool of Christian imagery with realistic representations of plants and animals, Dürer offers new interpretations of already existing pictorial conventions. Erwin Panofsky, for instance, points out that Dürer frequently incorporated studies from a watercolour or drawing as distinct motifs in his woodcuts and engravings.\textsuperscript{74} This practice can be observed in the engraving of the Madonna with the Monkey, in which Dürer used the study of the 'Weihrausch' as a backdrop for a Marian scene. In several of his woodcuts which depict religious themes he employed his costume studies to highlight individual figures. The attire of the Whore of Babylon in the Apocalypse series, for example, was based on a drawing of a Venetian lady. The elaborately dressed woman which appears in the marriage scene of the Life of the Virgin series was inspired by Dürer's study of a married Nuremberg woman clothed for a visit to church. It can be surmised that Dürer used familiar scenery and contemporary dress to draw the attention of the viewer to a traditional religious scene, thus stressing the relevance of the event to the beholder.

In several of his engravings, Dürer inserted naturalistically drawn animals which had hitherto not been part of the iconography associated with this scene. This applies for instance to the prints of Adam and Eve, Melancholia I, St Jerome in his Study and the Madonna with the Monkey. Most of these rendered dogs, cats and hares accurately and were probably based on preparatory drawings or independent nature studies. In the art historical literature, these animals are rarely seen as pure embellishment of a conventional scene: quite the contrary, because of the novelty of the depiction, their presence has often prompted far-reaching interpretations. In the case of the Madonna with the Monkey, the ape is not seen exclusively as an example of Dürer's interest in exotic animals, but is generally interpreted as a symbol of lewdness and sin. In this case one could therefore argue that Dürer aimed at combining his interest in the exotic 'Meerkätzlein' with traditional symbolism and successfully forged together two separate categories of painting, the nature study and the Marian image. According to Panofsky
and Strauss, the ape has now been chained to the grassy bench and has thus turned into a subservient animal. The 'Meerkätzlein' has become part of an idyllic scene, which is ruled by the calm presence of Mary and the Christ child.\textsuperscript{75}

By 1500 the insertion of symbolic plants and animals that had been studied from nature was a reasonably long tradition both in Netherlandish and German art, as can be seen for example in the Ghent Altarpiece by Hubert and Jan van Eyck or the Frankfurt Paradiesgärlein by an anonymous middle-Rhenish master. Many species from nature's cornucopia that had been imbued with specific symbolic meaning by medieval writers were portrayed in a most realistic fashion by fifteenth- and sixteenth-century artists. The frequency with which these motifs occur in contemporary paintings suggests that the most common symbols were known to the general public. Several plants and animals, however, were less impregnated with traditional symbolism and therefore provided some room for interpretation by the artist who designed a painting or a print. This was particularly true for newly found species and exotic materials which had not yet been given a set meaning.

A small painting by Hugo van der Goes in the Kunsthistorisches Museum in Vienna illustrates this point (fig. 2.9).\textsuperscript{76} In the innovative representation of The Fall of Man, Adam and Eve are shown in an unspoilt Garden of Eden, filled with illusionistic representations of rich and diverse plant life. This artist was particularly concerned with botanical diversity in paradise. He deviated from existing pictorial conventions by adding an abalone shell, a coral branch and precious stones to the river of paradise on the lower right. Evidently fascinated by the beauty of a newly discovered mother of pearl shell, van der Goes incorporated this and other examples of Naturalia into already existing frameworks, attributing to the abalone, the jewels and the coral branch almost paradisiacal qualities. His approach to traditional subject matter is not dissimilar to Dürer's own way of thinking. Both artists seem to be eager to incorporate newly gained knowledge and freshly made observations into their pictures.

In this context Dürer's Madonna with a Multitude of Animals, a fine coloured drawing dating from circa 1503 (fig. 2.10),\textsuperscript{77} deserves to be looked at more closely. In this carefully executed drawing, Dürer's interpretation of the Virgin and Child theme is taken a step further than in the Madonna with the Monkey. The central group has again been portrayed in a naturalistic setting, but is now surrounded by a stretch of a landscape which is filled with narrative detail. In the middle ground the figure of Joseph has been
Fig. 2.9 (left, opposite) Hugo van der Goes, The Fall of Man, after 1475, oil on wood

Fig. 2.10 (above) Albrecht Dürer, Madonna with a Multitude of Animals, c. 1503, pen and dark brown ink, watercolour, brush
added to the scene, while the shepherds and the Magi appear in the background. As the title suggests, the foreground of this image has been populated with an unusually large number of animals, more than twenty different species in all. Apart from the larger animals, such as the dog lying on the ground and the fox on a leash, we can detect a parrot, a crab, a snail, a butterfly, a dragonfly, a stag beetle, a common beetle, a frog, an owl, numerous smaller birds and swans (fig. 2.11). The plant life is equally varied and the individual flowers, such as the peonies, irises and strawberries, have been arranged with the same attention to detail. Looking at Dürer's immensely rich and varied output of Marian scenes it becomes obvious that he enjoyed playing with a given set of motifs, which he liked to interpret and extend in an infinite number of ways. In the 1518 woodcut of Madonna, Queen of Angels (fig. 2.12), for instance, angels and putti present a number of symbolic attributes to the viewer, some of which are apparent references to the Virgin and the Christ-child. The playfulness conveyed by the putti in the foreground also characterises an earlier pen drawing, the Holy Family, in which the music-making angels are accompanied by two rab-
While the main artistic concern of this drawing is not so much the symbolic meaning of the individual animals but the spatial relationship between figure, nature and built environment, Dürer equally experiments with integrating the Virgin-and-Child group into a more complex setting.

In the Madonna with a Multitude of Animals the fastened fox takes on a similar role as the monkey in the Madonna with the Monkey. The fox, an animal which is generally seen as the wicked seducer, is portrayed here as 'evil tamed'. In several art historical interpretations of this drawing, the fox
becomes the cornerstone for the hypothesis that initially all animals in the picture were imbued with symbolic meaning, meaning which we no longer understand. Anzelewsky, for instance, argues that Dürer's independent nature studies should be seen, in the first place, as transmitters of symbolic meanings, whenever the artist made these studies part of a more coherent pictorial context, regardless of whether that context was a religious or a secular one. Anzelewsky's main source for unravelling the meaning of the various animals in this particular picture is the Physiologus, a Greek text on Christian animal symbolism which informed many medieval writers. Whether Dürer intended his drawing to be a pictorial catalogue of late medieval symbolism based on one major literary source, however, remains open for discussion.

In the Vienna drawing of the Madonna with a Multitude of Animals we are looking at one of three images of the same subject which have come down to us. The creative process, by which Dürer gradually explores his chosen theme, is clearly reflected in these three drawings. In the earlier Berlin drawing, for instance, many of the animals occurring in the Vienna version can also be found, yet in a different position. The dog, for instance, now appears by himself on the right, far removed from the stag beetle on the left. In the Paris drawing, however, a different set of animals has been chosen to populate a similar setting. Here the two most prominent animals, the fox on the leash and the dog on the ground, have been replaced by a crane holding a fish and a few smaller animals. Yet surprisingly, the overall effect achieved in the finely executed Paris drawing is still quite similar to the atmosphere created in the Vienna drawing. Judging from the individual treatment of the theme in these three related versions, one can conclude that the choice of individual animals was not fixed from the start and that the deeper meaning of the scene was not wholly dependent on a narrowly defined selection of plants and animals.

Dürer attempts to fuse his disparate animal and plant studies with traditional Christian imagery in the Madonna with a Multitude of Animals, and thus suggests a fresh interpretation of a conventional subject matter. By finding a new context for the fox, the stag beetle, the crab and the parrot, all animals now peacefully coexist in an environment which recalls life before the Fall. A butterfly has landed on the back of the terrier, who himself makes the acquaintance of the fierce-looking stag beetle. By carefully distributing the animals across the picture plane, Dürer creates new meaning and encourages the viewer to take a fresh look at traditional images. In this idyllic portrait of the world, humankind is in harmony with nature, for the
image of the Virgin and Child among the multitude of animals seems to offer spiritual peace in a time of upheaval and change.

After the middle of the sixteenth century more and more private collectors expressed their understanding of the universe by the way in which they arranged their treasures, attempting to create a microcosm in macrocosm, to produce De wereld binnen handbereik, as an exhibition in Amsterdam put it.\textsuperscript{86} Dürer's acute interest in nature and the universe manifested itself in different ways. The paramount value he attributed to the direct study of nature is clearly expressed in his own writings and in his extraordinarily beautiful watercolours of plants, animals and the natural environment. Dürer's role as collector of Naturalia and Artefacta revealed another less recognised facet of his interest in nature. In the world of the collector, the real object exists next to the image based on nature. By arranging and ordering these remnants of the real world, the collector defines man's place in the universe according to his own set of values and beliefs. Dürer undoubtedly shared the rising interest in rare and precious objects. In addition to collecting Naturalia, he also expressed his view of the world by translating what he saw and experienced into works of art. In the case of the Madonna with a Multitude of Animals it can be argued that Dürer created a microcosmic image of the world which reflected his notion of an ordered universe. In this instance, the process of designing an image corresponded to the collector's aspiration to give shape to his collection in his cabinet.
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