Leonid TARUASHVILI

PLASTIC-TECTONICAL IMAGERY OF THE ILIAD AS REFLECTED IN EARLY MODERN TRANSLATIONS: 15th to mid 19th c

PREFACE

When I was about to write a study on how the plastic images of Homer's epics were perceived and conveyed in early modern time, I had a mind to concentrate first and foremost on book illustration. What is quite understandable, since pictorial interpretation more than any other one must have to do – or so it seems at least – with the plastic values of verbal *interpretandum*. Furthermore, the material of Homer illustration in itself (it's sufficient to recall the famous engravings after John Flaxman, decorating numerous editions of the Iliad and Odyssey) is rich and appealing enough to constitute a subject of special study.

However on mature reflection I have rejected the first idea and chosen the translations of Homer's epics as a material of paramount importance for the conceived study. The point is that exactly such translations constitute primary stage of image interpretation, for if not exclusively, then at least mainly from them illustrators drew stuff and inspiration for their figurative versions of Homeric poems; those translations and not original epics were for illustrators the real *interpretandum*. So the adequate analysis of illustrations to Homer cannot manage without taking into account the character and structure of visual images contained in translations, as well as without meticulous collation of these images with their correspondences in Homer text. But the both require a separate study.

I don't dare to affirm that visual side of Homeric figurativeness were disregarded by researchers. However, I am inclined to think that their attention to such a matter was to a certain degree one-sided, being concentrated chiefly on optical effects¹. In a general way, it was spoken sometimes of plastics, whereas the basis of Homer's plastic figurativeness, his classical (or *positive* as I shall call it here) tectonics, moreover in the aspect of its reflection in translations, became the subject of analytical treatment extremely seldom².

Now let us determine more precisely the subject matter of the prospective study. This subject matter has two sides – material and theoretical. What concerns the first, all is intelligible without any special elucidations; nevertheless I should repeat that concrete historical stuff of the study is texts of early modern translations of Homer's poems. However, in this texts we shall examine not everything that they contain, but only one certain facet. In its turn, this facet possesses two sides, one of which is the outer, looking at us, readers and representing the verbal significant, or else the plan of wordy expression of text. As to the other side, it is turned inside, to figurative contents of text and constitutes its plastic-tectonic significate, or else the plan of content. Just that very double-sidedness determines methodological double-sidedness (or

¹ Starting with the research work of Gladstone (Gladstone W.E. "Homer's Perceptions and Use of Colour". *Studies n Homer and Homeric Age*. Vol. 3. Oxford, 1858. P. 457 – 499) the volume of literature completely or partly devoted to the perception and rendition of light and color by Homer incessantly grew. Here I point out only few from a big number of studies on this topic: Müller-Bore K. *Stilistische Untersuchungen zum Farbwort und zur Verwendung der Farbe in der älteren griechischen Poesie*. Kiel; Berlin. 1922; Walace F.E. *Color in Homer and in Ancient Art*. Northampton (Mass.). 1927; Riemschneider-Hoerner M. "Farbe und Licht bei Homer", *Zeitschrift für Ästhetik und allgemeine Kunstwissenschaft*, vol. 45 (1941), p. 81 – 109; Handschur E. *Farb- und Glanzwörter bei Homer und Hesiod, in den homerischen Hymnen und den Fragmenten des epischen Kyklos*. Vienna. 1968; Malinauskene N.K. "Nekotorye osobennosti sistemy cvetooboznačenij v jazyke Gomera", *Živoe nasledie antičnosti*, vol. 9. Moscow. 1987, p.. 24 – 39; Edgeworth R.J. "Color Clusters in Homer", *Eos*, vol. 77 (1989), p. 195 – 198; Družinina E.A. *Oboznačenie cholodnych cvetov spectra v drevnegrečeskoy literature VIII – IV c BC*. St Petersburg. 2009.

² Risking to seem immodest, I must, however, mention here my own works, published in different time periods, of which summarizing one is: "Tectonika božestvennych obrazov v "Iliade" Gomera i v ee novoevropejskich perevodach: K voprosu ob orderotvorčskoj potencii", *Vvedenie v chram.* Moscow Jazyki russkoj kul'tury. 1998, p. 78 – 84. German language version of this article v.: "Tektonik der Göttergestalten in *Ilias* von Homer und ihren neuzeitlichen Übersetzungen", Propylaeum-DOK: Publikationsplattform Altertumswissenschaften (Heidelberger Dokumentenserver für die Sondersammelgebiete. Universitätsbibliothek Heidelberg): http://archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/propylaeumdok/volltexte/2011/1025/

interdisciplinarity) of this study, which in its operating with verbal significants acts as philological, whereas in its turning to the plastic-tectonic content, as art-critical one.

I am fully aware that in art studies the term "tectonics" was accepted more then century and a half ago and the notion itself of tectonics can be traced back to the classical antiquity. At the same time, however, I understand well that now this term (except in the field of architectural studies) is not very widespread. That's why I consider it necessary to explain myself.

What is tectonics?

Summing up numerous usages of this word in art-, and first of all architectural, studies³ one can preliminarily establish two basic meanings attached to it: 1) sensibly evident image of mechanical steadiness (alias of stability) and; 2) sensibly evident show of the statics of construction. But essentially, there is no contradiction between these two significances. Both reflect different aspects of the same aesthetic phenomenon, for the first, i.e. the image of steadiness, presupposes the second one, i.e. the show of the construction's static work as necessary condition of its sensibly evident persuasiveness and thus of its realization in the capacity of image, while the second (the show of static work) entails the first one (the image of steadiness) as its natural consequence. Nevertheless, because the first formula comes closer to the essence of notion principal to our study, we shall choose exactly it as the definition of tectonics.

In order to make the limits of tectonics more definite, it's necessary to point out two artcritical notions external to it although of the same genus, to wit the atectonic and the static. The term "atectonic" (i.e. a-tectonic) is comprehensible enough from itself. This is the absence of tectonics i.e. of visual steadiness effect that can be manifested in three ways: either 1) as the image of unsteadiness and accordingly of particular liability to external mechanical impacts, or 2) as the image of "steadiness" (or better to say of a simple motionlessness) determined by causes of non-mechanical origin, intangible and/or invisible and so making the image contradictory and paradoxical for the sensual perception or at last 3) as the image of an object whose locomotion seems to be (though not indispensably is) free of the gravitation influence. A close example is the flight our mind comprehends as a process determined by the laws of mechanics whereas our perception and after it imagination refuse to do so.

More important, however, is to point out a difference between the tectonics i.e. sensual appearance of steadiness (alias stability) and the effect of statics or stiffness. The latter also is represented by two varieties, being 1) an image of inert, clumsily heavy object and 2) image of object tightly fastened to something other than itself, for instance of deep-rooted or partly dug-in object. To note the essential difference of tectonics and statics is necessary because, according to experience, these notions often become confused in the course of polemics. So the following should be emphasized: Unlike the statics, the stability does not presuppose any doomedness of object to motionlessness, but points only at its capability to be motionless. (This meaning is motivated already by Latin etymology of the word "stability"; cf. the word "mobility" formally analogous and signifying not the state of motion as such, but the capability to motion.)

Accordingly, in the tectonically expressive image – be it statuary figure or architectural order, – the motionlessness is shown to beholder as its – i.e. of this image – self-determination, but not as a predetermined state, the latter being clearly perceptible in images of the visible statics.

Meanwhile, just this visual statics – no matter how strange it may seem – has the same aesthetic nature as the seemingly opposite effect of weightlessness being connected with this through the

³ Here I indicate only several works dealing with the theoretical and historical problems of tectonics (this term – or similar ones – appears not in all of them): Bötticher C. *Die Tektonik der Hellenen*. Potsdam 1852 (it's due to this book that the term "tectonics" has come into the art historical vocabulary); Schopenhauer A. *Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung*, vol. 2. Leipzig. 1859, p. 466 – 476 (Chapter 35: Zur Aesthetik der Architektur); Vischer R. *Das optische Formlgefühl: Ein Beitrag zur Aesthetik*. Leipzig. 1872; Wölfflin H. *Renaissance und Barock*. Munich, 1888; ; Adamy R. *Architektonik auf historischer und ästhetischer Grundlage*, vol. 1 – 3. Berlin. 1881 – 1896; Sedlmair H. *Verlust der Mitte: Die bildende Kunst des 19. und 20. Jahrhunderts als Symptom und Symbol der Zeit.* Frankfurt a. M. 1955; Thiis Evesen Th. "Søylen", *Ord og Bild: En Essaysamling*, [Oslo]. S.a., p. 11 – 27; Punin A. "Architekturnyj obraz i tektonika: O "sodružestve" form i formul v architekture", *Sodružestvo nauk i tajny tvorčestva*. Moscow. 1968, p. 270 – 285; Vipper B.R. *Iskusstvo Drevnej Grecii*. Moscow. 1972; Markuzon V. "Simvolika i tektonika postrojki", *Dekorativnoe iskusstvo SSSR*, 3 (1972), p. 40 – 44; Jastrebova N.A. "Prostranstvenno-tektoničeskie osnovy architekturnoj obraznosti", *Ritm, prostranstvo i vremja v literature i iskusstve*. Leningrad. 1974, p. 220 – 229; Bloomer K.C., Moore Ch. W. *Body, Memory and Architecture*. New Haven, L. 1977.

universal image of sleep/dream, which combines in itself such contrasting aspects as irresistible heaviness (falling-to-sleep) and liberation from heaviness (dreaming)⁴.

I find it, however, necessary to give the reader advance notice that the term "tectonics" is further used by me in somewhat broadened sense, as the sum total of all possible indications of visual image, one way or another determining its relation to tectonics, be this relation positive or negative. Accordingly, the stability represented by visual image will be termed here as positively tectonic, whereas the properties deflecting the image to one or another side from the stability effect will be characterized by me as negatively tectonic ones.

At this point there should be said some words about the structure of this book and peculiarities of quoting.

The research work proper is forestalled by introductory part containing three sections. First of them, "Homer in Principal Early Modern Translations before 1850: Chronology" The chronology is supplemented with list of literature concerning Homer's translations of the indicated time. This list has for an object to give an idea about the main stages of history of our study's material, which is considered – or at least may be considered – from the viewpoint of our problems. Further the "List of quoted translations" of the Iliad and Odyssey follows. Immediately after, there is introduction to the principal part of the study, including eight sections, and conclusion of the book. While quoting the translations, book numbers are not indicated, except in those rare cases, when book numbers in the original and in translation don't coincide. Indications of verse numbers are made only when deviations from equilinearity (i.e. the coincidence of numbers of original verses with corresponding numbers of translation verses) had taken place. Page number of the quoted edition is given only if verses in this edition are not numbered or the translation is non-versified (prosaic).

Texts of several French translations have become accessible to me through the Internet portal "Iliade Odyssée: Textes"⁵, where verse and page numbers are as a rule not indicated. That's why when quoting these translations, I could cite neither verse numbers nor page numbers; however web addresses are given by me in the "List of quoted translations". In the rest of cases, the absence of such indications means that translation is equilinear, hence the translation verse has the same number as its counterpart in original.

All passages from translations are italicized. In English translations of these passages, as well as of passages from Homer, equivalents of words and phrases that have negatively tectonic expression or shade are printed in italics (in quotations of English translations, which, as they are printed in italics anyway, are additionally accentuated by underlining); equivalents of words and phrases having positively tectonic expression or shade are emphasized by bold type.

In quotations the spelling and punctuation of quoted editions are retained.

LIST OF QUOTED TRANSLATIONS

[Bareste]: Iliade / Traduction nouvelle accompagnée des notes, d'explications et de commentaires et précédée d'une introduction par *Eugène Bareste*. Illustrations par *A. Titeux et A. De Lemud*. Paris: Lavigne, 1843; Odyssée / Traduction nouvelle accompagnée de notes, d'explications et de commentaires par *Eugène Bareste*, illustrée par *Th. Devilly et A. Titeux*. Paris: Lavigne, 1842.

Iliad: http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/bareste/accueilbareste/iliadbareste.htm Odyssey: http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/bareste/accueilbareste/odyssbareste.htm

⁴ At greater length on this paradoxical connection, I have written in: "Ambivalentnost' i jasnost' kak ėstetičeskie kategorii / Ambiguity and Clearness as Aesthetic Categories", *Architektura mira*, 7. Moscow.1998, p. 27 – 34.

⁵ http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/accueil/accueil.htm

[Barnes]: Homeri Ilias & Odyssea, et in easdem Scholia, sive Interpretatio Veterum... / Cum Versione Latina emendatissima. Opera, Studio, & Impensis, *Josuae Barnes*, S.T.B.in Academia Cantabrigia Regii Graecae Linguae Professoris. Cantabrigiae: Apud Cornelium Crownfield, 1711.

[Bignan]: L'Iliade / Traduction nouvelle en vers français par *Anne Bignan*. T. 2. Paris: Belin-Mandard, 1830.

[Bitaubé]: L'Iliade et l'Odyssée / Par *P.J. Bitaubé*. 6 vol.. Paris: J.G. Dentu, 1810. http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/bitaube/accueilbitaub/iliadbitaube1810.htm

[Blohm]: Versuch einer gebundenen Übersetzung der Ilias des Homers von *Mich. Dietrich Blohm*, M.D. Erster Band (Verbesserte Auflage). Altona: Verlegts David Iversen, 1756.

[Bodmer]: Homers Werke / Aus dem Griechischen übersetzt von dem Dichter der Noachide [*Johann Jakob Bodmer*]. 2. Bd. Zürich: Drell, Geßner, Fueslin und Co, 1778.

[Beaumanoir]: L'Iliade d'Homère, en vers / Par M. le Baron de Beaumanoir. T. 1-2. Paris, 1781.

[Bozzoli]: L'Odissea d'Omero / Tradotta in ottava rima da Giuseppe Bozoli. Roma, 1772.

[Boitel]: L'Odissee d'Homere / Traduict de grec en françois, par *Claude Boitel*. Ouvrage orné de gravures à pleine page gravées par *L. Gaultier*. Paris: Veuve Matthieu Guillemot, 1619. http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/boitet/accueilboitet/odyssboitet.htm

[Bürger]: Homers Ilias // *Gottfried August Bürgers* vermischte Gedichte / Hrsg. von K. Reinhard. 1. Theil. Göttingen: Dieterich, 1797.

[Hessus]: Poetarum omnium seculorum longe principis Homeri Ilias, hoc est de rebus ad Troiam gestis description / Iam recens Latino carmine reddita, *Helio Eobano Hesso* Interprete. Basiliae, [1540].

[Gnedič]: Gomer. Iliada / Perevod N.I. Gnediča. Leningrad, 1990.

[Hobbes]: The Iliads and Odysses of Homer / Translated out of Greek into English by *Thomas Hobbes, of Malmesbury* (The English Works of Thomas Hobbes of Malmesbury / Ed. by Sir William Molesworth. [London, Bohn, 1839-45]. 11 vol/ Vol. 10.

[Gries]: Homers Ilias / In deutsche Verse übersetzt und mit Anmerkungen begleitet von *Johann Adolph Peter Gries*. 1. und 2. Buch. Altona: Burmester, 1752.

[Dacier]: L'Iliade d'Homère / Traduit en françois avec des remarques par M-me [Anne] Dacier. T. 1 – 2. Paris: Rigaud, 1711.

[Dryden]: *Dryden J.* Fables Ancient and Modern / Translated into Verse from Homer, Ovid, Boccace and Chaucer with Original Poems. London: Jacob Tonson, 1721.

[Dubois de Rochefort]: L'Iliade d'Homère / Traduction en vers avec remarques & un discours sur Homère par M. [Guillaume Dubois] de Rochefort, de l'Académie des Inscriptions & Belles Lettres. Paris: L'Imprimerie royale, 1781; L'Odyssée d'Homère / Traduite en vers françois par

M. [Guillaume Dubois] de Rochefort, de l'Académie des Inscriptions & Belles Lettres. Nouvelle édition. Paris: L'Imprimerie royale, 1782.

[Dugas Montbel]: L'Iliade et L'Odyssée. Texte grec avec texte en juxtalinéaire français. / [Traduites par *Jean-Baptiste Dugas Montbel*]. En 9 volumes. Paris: Firmin Didot, 1828 – 1833. Iliad: http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/dugasmontbel/accueildugas/iliaddugas1828.htm Odyssey:

http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/dugasmontbel/accueildugas/odyssdugas1830.htm

[Jamyn]: V. [Salel and Jamyn].

[Gin]: L'Iliade d'Homère avec des notes littéraires, historiques et géographiques / Traduite par [*Pierre-Louis-Claude*] *Gin*. Accompagnée de gravures de *Mariller*. 1-ère édition en 4 tomes. Paris: Didot l'Aîné, 1785.

http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/gin/accueigin/iliadgin.htm

[Žukovskij]: Gomer. Odisseja / Perevod V.A. Žukovskogo. Moscow, 2000.

[Clarce]: Homeri Ilias Graece et Latine / Cum annotationibus *Samuelis Clarke* Stp. nuper defuncti. Vol. II. Ed. Samuel Clarke filius. Londini: Typis Guliel. Botham, 1732.

[Kostrov]: Gomerova Iliada / Perevedennaja Ermilom Kostrovym. St. Petersburg, 1787.

[Cowper]: The Iliad of Homer / Translated into English Blank Verse by *William Cowper*. Ed. by R. Southy. New York: D. Appleton & Co, 1860.

[Cowper]: The Odyssey of Homer / Translated into English Blank Verse by the Late *William Cowper*, Esq. The 2nd Ed. Vol. I: [Books I – XIII]. London: Bunny and Gold, 1802.

[La Valterie]: L'Odyssée d'Homère / Nouvelle traduction [d'*Achille de La Valterie*]. En 2 tomes. Paris: Claude Barbin, 1681.

http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/lavalterie/accueilavalterie/odysslavalterie.htm

[Lagerlöf]: Homeros' Iliad / Från grekiskan af *Erland Lagerlöf*. Stockholm: A.-B.:s boktr., 1912; Homeros' Iliad / Från grekiskan af *Erland Lagerlöf*. Malmö: Landby & Lundorens boktr. A.-B., 1920.

[Latin Iliad]: Baebi Italici Ilias latina / Ed. M. Scaffei. Bologna, 1997.

[Lemnius]: Odysseae Homeri libri XXIIII / Nuper a *Simone Lemnio* Latino carmine facti. Basiliae, [1549].

[Leprince Lebrun]: Iliade / Traduite du grec par [*Charles-François*] *Leprince Lebrun*. En 2 volumes. Paris: Bardoux, 1785; L'Odyssée d'Homère / Traduction de Le Prince Le Brun. 2 vol. Paris: Bossange et Masson, 1819.

Iliad: http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/leprincelebrun/accueilleprince/iliadleprince.htm Odyssey:

http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/leprincelebrun/accueilleprince/odyssleprince.htm

[Lorenzo Valla]: Homeri poëtarum omnium principis Ilias / Per *Laurentium Vallam* Latio donata. Lugduni: Apud Seb. Gryphium, 1541.

[Macpherson]: The Iliad of Homer / Translated by *James Macpherson*, Esq. In 2 vol. Vol. 1. London: T. Becket and De Hondt, 1773.

[Malipiero]: L'Iliada d'Omero / Traportata dalla Greca nella Toscana Lingua da *Federico Malipiero*, nobile Veneto. Libri uentiquattro. In Venetia: Baglioni, 1642.

[Malipiero]: L'Odissea d'Omero / Traportata dalla Greca nella Toscana Lingua da *Federico Malipiero*, nobile Veneto. Libri uentiquattro. In Venetia: Baglioni, 1542.

[Monti]: Iliade di Omero / Traduzione del cav. *Vincenzo Monti*. Quarta edizione. Milano: Società tipografica de' classici italiani, 1825.

[Morrice]: The Iliad of Homer / Translated into English Blank Verse by *James Morrice*. London: J. White, R. Taylor, 1809.

[Paolo la Badessa]: L'Iliade d'Homero / Tradotta in lingua Italiana per *Paolo la Badessa* Messinese [Libri I – V]. In Padoa: Appresso Gratioso Perchacino, 1564.

[Peletier du Mans]: Les deux premiers liures de l'Odyssee d'Homere // Les œuures poetiques de *Iacques Peletier du Mans*. Paris: De l'Imprimerie de Michel de Vascosan, 1547.

[Perez]: La Vlyxea de Homero (XIII libros) / Traducida del Griego al Castellano por el secretario *Gonzalo Pérez*. En Amveres, 1540; La Ulixea de Homero / Traducida de Griego en lengua Castellana por el secretario *Gonzalo Pérez*. Tomo segundo. En Madrid: En la imprenta de Francisco Xavier, 1767.

[Pindemonte]: Odissea di Omero / Tradotta da *Ippolito Pindemonte* Veronese. Verona: Dalla società tipografica editrice, 1822.

[Pope]: The Iliad and Odyssey of Homer / Translated by *Alexander Pope*, with notes and introduction by the Rev. Theodore Alois Buckley ... and [*John*] *Flaxman*'s designs. London: Frederick Warne & Co, [1880?]

[Raffaello Maffei]: Odysseae Homeri libri XXIIII / Raphaëlo Regio Volaterrano interprete. Lugduni: Apud Seb. Gryphium, 1541.

[Salel]: Les dix premiers livres de l'Iliade de'Homere, prince des poetes / Traduit en vers francois par M. *Hugue Salel*. Paris: Iehan Lois, 1545.

[Salel and Jamyn]: Les XXIIII livres de l'Iliade d'Homere, prince des poetes Grecs / Traduit de Grec en vers François. XI premiers par M. *Hugues Salel* Abbé de Saint Cheron. Et XIII derniers par *Amadis Iamyn*, Secretaire de la chambre du Roy; tous les XXIIII reveus & corrigez par ledit Am. Jamyn. A Rouan: Chez Iacques Besonone, 1577.

[Certon]: L'Odyssee d'Homere de la version de Salomon Certon... Seconde edition. De nouveau revueue, & exactement corrigee par le Traducteur. Paris: Nicolas Hameau, 1615.

[Sotheby]: The Iliad and Odyssey of Homer / Translated by *William Sotheby*. Vol. 1: [Iliad. Books I – XII]. London: G. and W. Nicol, Murray, 1834; The Iliad and Odyssey of Homer / Translated by *William Sotheby*. Vol. 4: [Odyssey. Books XIII – XXIV]. London: G. and W. Nicol, Murray, 1834.

[Souhait]: L'Iliade avec la suite d'icelle. Ensemble le Ravissement d'Helene, sugiect de l'Histoire de Troie / Le tout de la traduction et Invention de [*François*] Sieur *de Souhait*. Paris: Nicolas Buon, 1614.

http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/souhait/accueilsouhait.htm

[Houdar de La Motte]: L'Iliade / Avec un discours sur Homère, par Monsieur [Antoine Houdar] de La Motte, de l'Académie française. 13 planches gravées hors-texte d'après Roettiers, Nattier, A Dieu, Delamonce, par Edelinck et Chaufourier dont 1 en frontispice. A Paris, chez Grégoire Dupuis, 1714.

http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/houdar/accueilhoudart/iliadhoudart.htm

[Voss]: Homer. Ilias. / Übertragung von *Johann Heinrich Voβ*. Leipzig: Philipp Reclam jun., 1988; Homer. Odyssee / In der Übertragung von *Johann Heinrich Voβ*. Frankfurt am Main: Fischer Taschenbuch Verlag, 2008.

[Francesco Griffolini (?)]: Homeri poetarum clarissimi Odyssea de erroribus Ulixis / Ed. G. Ubelin. Argentoraci: Ex officina Ioannis Schotti, 1510.

[Francesco Florido]: Homeri Odysseae libri VIII / Francesco Florido Sabino interprete. Lutetiae: Apud Vascosanum, 1545.

[Francesco Gussano]: Il libro primo de la Iliade di Homero / Tradotta di greco in volgare per *M. Francesco Gussano*. Venetia: Comin da Trino di Monferratto, 1544.

[Chapman]: Chapman's Homer: The Iliad; The Odyssey / Translated by *George Chapman*. Ware: Wordsworth Editions Ltd, 2000 (Wordsworth Classics of World Literature).

[Schaidenreisser]: Odyssea, Das seind die aller zierlichsten vnd lustigsten vier vnd zweintzig bücher des eltisten vnd kunstreichesten Vaters aller Poeten Homeri... erst durch *Maister Simon Schaidenreisser* genant *Minervium*... mit fleiß zu Teütsch transferiert... Augustae Vindelicorum: Alexander Weissenhorn, 1538.

[Stolberg]: Homers Ilias / Verdeutscht von *Friedrich Leopold Graf zu Stolberg*. Bd.1 2. 2. Auflage. Flensburg, Leipzig: Kortens Buchhandlung, 1781.

[Aignan]: L'Iliade / Traduite en vers françois par *Etienne Aignan*, suivie de notes critiques, des morceaux empruntés d'Homère par les poêtes anciens et modernes les plus célèbres. 2 volumes, 2de édition. Paris: Adrien Egron, 1812.

http://iliadeodyssee.texte.free.fr/aatexte/aignan/accueilaignan/iliadaignan.htm

[Estienne]: Homeri Odyssea / Cum interpretatione Latina ad verbum. Parisiis: Apud Ioann Libert, 1624.

Also the collection of iconographic programs is cited:

[de Caylus]: *Caylus A.C.Ph. de.* Tableaux tirés de l'Iliade, de l'Odyssée d'Homère et de l'Énéide de Virgile. Paris: Tilliard, 1757.

MAIN PART

INTRODUCTION

TECTONICS OF DIVINE BODY

There is no need of specially discussing the fact that the gods of Olympus take active part in events of both Homeric poems, passionately involving themselves in affairs and conflicts of mortals: it is well known to everyone who is acquainted at least with the plot of those epics. I would only note that a necessary condition of divine intervention effectiveness is, according to Homer, the physical presence of gods either beside the place of events or in the thick of them. Gods do not act from a great distance; that's why they have to move constantly from places of their permanent residence onto the earth, closer to the objects of their interests. Meanwhile, tectonically significant expressions, marking their divine essence and hence important for us, concentrate exactly there where Homer says about the journey of deity to the humans and consequently the question about its locomotion can arise. These expressions or else shortest descriptions of bodily qualities, conditions and postures – the expressions, namely, whose presence in verbal works of art is if not indispensable, then at least quite usual, – abound in Homer, standing out as one of the marks typical for his poetic style.

In the Iliad and Odyssey, the god sets off to humans as a rule with an errand from another god, of higher rank, but sometimes on his/her own initiative. The role of sending god is played usually by Zeus, less often by Hera, still less often by Athena. Meanwhile, gods who carry out the mission are the goddess-messenger Iris (more often then others in the Iliad), Athena (more often then others, except Iris, in the Iliad and more often then all others in the Odyssey) as well as Apollo and Hermes. In addition, Athena not infrequently sets out into the world of mortals as an independent actor.

Such mission is distinctly divisible into stages, mentions of which are every now and then accompanied by tectonic terms. In unfolded form this division may be roughly represented thus:

- 1. The initial situation (more often having place on Olympus, but also otherwhere, on the top of Ida or sometimes even among the humans).
 - 2. The dispatch/The pre-departure.
 - 2.1. The origin of intention to dispatch/depart.
- 2.2. The address of dispatching deity to would-be-dispatched with an errand/The announcement of own intention to depart.
 - 2.3. The formulation of an errand/intention.
 - 3. The way to a place of destination.
 - 3.1. The departure.
 - 3.1.1. The preparation for departure.
 - 3.1.2. The getting-ready for departure.
 - 3.1.3. The departure properly.
 - 3.2. The passing of the way.
 - 3.2.1. The initial stage of the way.
- 3.2.2. The stop at an intermediary point (in a halfway from Olympus to a place of events; such points in the Iliad are the top of Ida or Pieria).
 - 3.2.3. The final stage of the way.
 - 3.3. The arrival at the destination.
 - 3.3.1. The landing.
 - 3.3.2. The approach to addressee.
 - 3.3.3. The appeal to addressee.
 - 4. The execution of errand/intention.

- 4.1. The preparation for execution of errand/intention.
- 4.2. The getting-ready for execution of errand/intention.
- 4.3. The execution of errand/intention properly.
- 5. The reaction of addressee.
- 6. The way back/to another place.
- 6.1. The departure on the way back/to another place.
- 6.2. The passing of the way back/to another place.
- 6.3. The arrival back/at another place.
- 7. The recommencement of initial situation (as usual of Olympian bliss).

Notwithstanding all the minuteness of Homer's narrative style, both in the Iliad and Odyssey there is not to be found one passage where each item of this list would be explicitly, or even implicitly, represented. Actually, it is a collection of those narration entities, which always in the same sequence, although each time with different gaps are mentioned (or merely meant) in every Homer's account of divine mission onto the earth. These mentions are, as a rule, accompanied with the more or less pronounced tectonic characteristics that are frequent enough to judge about difference in the perception and rendition of god's images between Homer and his translators on the basis of collation of those characteristics' wording in the former with that in these latter.

So the question will be chiefly about the verbal depiction of gods during their travellings from Olympus into the earthen world and back. As can be seen from what is said above, it is Athena, which of all Olympians interferes most actively in human affairs and, hence, travels to the earth more often than others. And since her mythological image is perhaps the most consequent personification of Olympian – i.e. heavenly – principle (the especially developed intellect, the high degree of self-possession as well as the chastity, which singles her out of all superior gods of Greek pantheon, are indications of that), it will be expedient to begin the comparative consideration of our materials, namely, with her or rather with the collation of Homeric and translators' tectonic interpretations that are given to her visual image.

THE JOURNEY OF A DREAM

For all that, before to begin consequent examination of our material, it were desirable to give a general notion of the course, aims and expected results of the following analysis by using a convenient example. It's the image of the evil dreams' god (Dream) appearing in the beginning of book II of the Iliad that seems me to be such an example. Although in that mythological system, to which he belongs, Dream is a figure of relatively little importance, he is nevertheless participating in the Olympian hierarchy in the broad sense (so contrary to his custom, Zeus calls upon him to come without making use of mediators, which means that Dream is somewhere nearby). At the same time, the textual parts concerning this image are sufficiently revealing and besides short enough to serve as the required introductory instance, clearly illustrating the general thesis exposed above. The point is that the image of Dream by Homer is not approximated at all to its archetypal prototype, the soaring and incorporeal deity of sleepy visions, while several shades even remove this Homeric character from the latter. Meanwhile the early modern translators had taken such an approach for not quite poetical; hence, some of them have introduced their specific amendments also here.

Now I shall cite appropriate passages, several of which seem to be significant in that respect: some of them – from Homer's story about the journey of Dream from Olympus in the Agamemnon's tent and back, some others – from translations of that story. Its beginning is a scene on Olympus (1. Initial situation), where Zeus meditates upon how to punish Achaeans for the offence inflicted on Achilles by Agamemnon. Then the narrative comes to a decision taken by Zeus and to his ordering the god of evil dreams to visit Agamemnon (2. The dispatch).

ἥδε δέ οἱ κατὰ θυμὸν ἀρίστη φαίνετο βουλή, πέμψαι ἐπ' Ἀτρεΐδη Ἀγαμέμνονι οὖλον ὄνειρον: καί μιν φωνήσας ἔπεα πτερόεντα προσηύδα: βάσκ' ἴθι οὖλε ὄνειρε θοὰς ἐπὶ νῆας Ἀχαιῶν: ἐλθὼν ἐς κλισίην Ἁγαμέμνονος Ἀτρεΐδαο πάντα μάλ' ἀτρεκέως ἀγορευέμεν ὡς ἐπιτέλλω:

('Such him [i.d. Zeus] after meditation [the] best had seemed decision; | to send on Atreid Agamemnon [the] pernicious dream. | And [to] him having appealed, [Zeus] the words winged [otherwise: 'sonorous'] spake: | "Let you **step**, pernicious dream, to nimble ships [of] Achaeans; | having come into [the] tent [of] Atreid Agamemnon, | all very exactly communicate as I command..."' – II. 5-10).

Nothing here, as it seems, tells about Dream's faculty of flight: there is not even a hint of lightness or wings (the attribute $\pi\tau\epsilon\varphi\delta\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha$ applies to $\xi\pi\epsilon\alpha$, 'words'). Nevertheless, Pope in his translation conveys the address of Zeus in that way:

Then bids an <u>empty phantom</u> rise to sight | And thus commands the <u>vision</u> of the night: | "Fly hence deluding dream and light as air, | To Agamemnon's ample tent repair (7-10).

The enforcing of incorporeal lightness effect is here on hand. To be sure, it is fully in keeping with the dream motif, but at the same time contradicts the letter as well as the spirit of Homeric text: *empty phantom*, *vision*, *fly*, *light as air*. And though in such kind of interpretation, Pope – as we shall see below – is especially consistent but nevertheless far from being alone, for a highly rich tradition of translating Homer, no matter English or other, anticipates him as well as continues in this respect. Let we see what some different examples will tell of it.

Already in the Latin Iliad, the mode of Dream's locomotion in his forthcoming journey to the Achaean camp is so defined by Zeus:

Vade age per tenues auras, lenissime diuum, | Argolicique ducis celeri pete castra uolatu ('Let you walk on unsteady air, [you,] tenderest of the gods, | And make your way to the headquarters of Argive chief by quick flight' -114-5).

It is not difficult to notice that here the tectonic strain of corresponding Homer's verse is changed. Though at the outset of quoted passage the spatial shifting of Dream is conveyed correctly enough by Latin vade ('walk' that in general corresponds with Homeric $\beta(\alpha\sigma\kappa')$), the following specification (per tenues auras, 'on unsteady air') renders its literal interpretation doubtful. Besides, at the end of this phrase (and also of the verse, which fact in itself accentuates the word), the locomotion of Dream is defined by tectonically negative adverbial modifier of manner, volatu ('by flight'). At last, other expressions of the first verse provide the image with atectonic associations: $tenues\ auras$ ('unsteady air'), $tenissime\ diuum$ ('tenderest [of] the gods').

Similar inadequacies in the interpretation of given Homeric verse may be found in the later translators too. So we read in Dubois de Rochefort:

Songe imposteur, dit-il, descends d'un vol rapide | Vers les vaisseaux des Grecs, vers les tentes d'Atride

('Deceiver Dream, – he says, – descend *by quick flight* | To vessels of Greeks, to the tents of Atreid').

Similarly – in Malipiero (*Vola, o Dio pernizioso*, '*Fly*, O pernicious god' – p. 18), in Cowper (*Haste, evil dream!* <u>Fly</u> to the Grecian fleet – 9), in Sotheby (<u>Fly</u>, baleful Dream... – vol. 1, p. 35), in Kostrov (*K argivcev korabljam leti s vysot bezbednych*, 'To the ships of Argives fly from heights untroubled' – 9-11), and also in Bitaubé (*Va, Songe séductreur; vole aux vaisseaux des Grecs*, 'Go, seducer-Dream, fly to the ships of Greeks') and Houdar de La Motte (*Va, lui dit Jupiter, vole aux tentes d'Atride*, 'Go, – says him Jove, – fly to tents of Atreid'). As

for the latter, having by himself added a phrase about arrival of Dream at Zeus, he renders it thus:

Volant à sa voix, | Le Songe séducteur vient recevoir ses loix ('...Flying to his voice, | Seducer-Dream comes to receive his commands').

Here and there, the idea of the flight also coordinates itself clearly with the description of Dream as an incorporeal being, – which description are absent in the original. We have already seen one example in Pope; here are another three. In addition to *Le Songe* (The Dream), Le Prince Lebrun – by the mouth of Zeus – calls this deity *le phantôme* ('apparition', 'ghost'). Amplifying Homer's equivalent, MacPherson defines Dream as *shade*, Morrice – as *airy form* and *vision*⁶. Meanwhile, according to Beaumanoir's translation, Zeus calling Dream *phantôme*, directly urges him to fly (*va, pars, vole* – p. 31).

Randering this passage, many translators, who don't dare to depart from the original so far as those aforementioned, have recourse to tectonically weakened or even tectonically neutral expressions. The translation of Blohm can serve as an example of tectonic weakening. In Blohm's "Ilias", the sovereign of Olympus tells:

Verführerischer Traum, schleich, sprach er, schleich verstellt | Durch Flott und Lager hin im Königes Gezelt ('Seductive Dream, – told he, – steal [otherwise 'crawl'] having changed your aspect | Through the mooring into the camp and tent of king' – p. 35).

As we can see, the discrete image of pedestrian (i.e. divided by rests and pushes) motion is washed out due to the verb with meaning of hidden pace or even crawling.

In the interpretation of this passage, another translator, also German and also close to Blohm by the time and style, a certain Gries diverges from the original (and at the same time from the positive tectonics) more boldly. In him, the narrator defines Dream as a resident of 'the realm of delusive dreams' (*Beruft er aus dem Reich der täuschenden Gestalten* – 8; there is certainly no mention of such a place in Homer) and calls him 'light' one (*den leichten Traum* – 9; in Homer, he is 'pernitious'). And the main thing is that Zeus in Gries ascribes to Dream quick wings (*und laß die schnellen Schwingen* | *Ins innere Gezelt des Agamemnons dringen*; in corresponding words of Homer's Zeus there is not a hint of any flight organ).

These were some examples of replacement of Homer's positive tectonics by the contrary, negative one. As for the simple neutralization of tectonic expression usual in Homeric translations, it takes place in them relatively often. The motion ascribed by Zeus to Dream, here conveys itself with various verbs that signify the motion as such and sometimes also pointing to its velocity or direction, but not to its mode, its mechanism: dynamics is substituted for kinematics.

Thus, there are used the verbs in imperative mood and with comparatively broad meaning 'to move oneself', 'to change one's own place' [go (Hobbes, 6; Chapman, 6), vanne (Paolo la Badessa, f. 22r), va (Souhait; Bareste; Dugas Montbel), allez (Dacier, vol. 1, p. 43)] as well as with somewhat narrower meaning 'to haste' [hie (Hobbes, 6), cours (Bareste), eile (Stolberg, 8; Voß), tummle dich (Bürger-hex.⁷, 8), mčisja (Gnedič, 9)] or else 'to come down', 'to descend' [descends (Salel, p. 54; Le Prince Lebrun), scendi (Monti, 11)].

In short, the locomotion of Dream as treated by Homeric Zeus in his speech is tending to be represented in early modern translations as something more or less near to the flight. This notwithstanding, several correct renderings of this Homeric passage also take place. One of them is from translation of Lorenzo Valla: *Vade, inquit* ('Let you walk, – says [Zeus] – p. 24). Nevertheless, deviations from correct renderings in translations – even if these deviations were not numerous – are more significant in respect of hidden aesthetic attitudes of translators then

⁶ As regards the iconographic program of count de Caylus, containing minutely description of would-be picture of this episode, it recommends to paint Dream as *une figure légere & participante de l'air* ('a figure, [which is] light and participating in the air' – p. 21).

⁷ Here and below quotations from Bürger's hexameter translation of the Iliad's several books are marked thus for the purpose of distinguishing these from quotations from the Iliad's iambic translation by the same Bürger.

their correctness, for the latter reflects not the aesthetics of those who translate, but of those who are translated.

In three following verses Homer tells about Dream's going away with the errand received from Zeus (3.1.3. The departure properly), as well as about his way down to the camp of Achaeans (3.2. The passing of the way) and his arrival into the Achaean camp (3.3. The arrival at the destination):

ῶς φάτο, βῆ δ' ἄρ' ὄνειρος ἐπεὶ τὸν μῦθον ἄκουσε: καρπαλίμως δ' ἵκανε θοὰς ἐπὶ νῆας Ἀχαιῶν, βῆ δ' ἄρ' ἐπ' Ἀτρεΐδην Ἀγαμέμνονα...

('Thus [Zeus] told and Dream **stepped**, as soon as [he] the words heard; | [he] quickly reached nimble ships [of] Achaeans, | **stepped** to Atreid Agamemnon...' – Ibid. 16-8).

As we can see, also here the flight is by no means mentioned: the motion of Dream is rendered at first by tectonically neutral 8 'ikave ('reached') and then by tectonically positive $\beta\tilde{\eta}.$ However, also that short passage was interpreted by many early European translators according to their own atectonic taste. And in that as well as in the interpretation of preceding verse, the ancient translator has anticipated them. In the Latin Iliad we read:

Nec mora: Somnus abit levibusque per aera pennis | devolat in thalamos Agamemnonis ('And without delay, Dream departs and on light wings by air | flies down in the sleeping chamber of Agamemnon' – 120-1).

Atectonic strain of given excerpt is evident even without special explanations; nevertheless it would be advisable to take notice where exactly Dream in the Latin Iliad '[on] light wings by air | flies'? According to Homer, Dream reaches at first the mooring of Achaean ships and from there only he steps (just so!) in the king's tent. Meanwhile here he is literally descending straight into the tent, so, doing it by flight. Thus, there is no pedestrian locomotion, even on the very last stage of the way, and the penetration to the bed of Agamemnon paints itself to a reader's imagination like a kind of immaterial slipping-through.

Many early modern translators have gone in the same direction, by abolishing tectonic accents and making a picture, where sometimes the image of light and soaring body prevails. Already in 16th c, Hessus defines the motion of Dream by the word *devolat* ('flies down', p. 28) and Houdar de La Motte renders this passage thus:

Il dit. Le Songe part, et d'une aile rapide | Fend les airs ('He [i.e. Zeus] speaks. Dream parts and by quick wing | Cleaves the air...').

Accordingly, the vignette illustrating this episode in the 1714 year's edition of that translation (ill. 1) represents Dream in the tent of sleeping Agamemnon as an adolescent on the cloud and with the wings spectacularly stretched out.

Still greater lightness is imparted to the winged flight of Dream in the Latin translation of the Iliad by Poliziano: here this flight, absent in the original, is presented as silent, *nullos strepitus facientibus alis* (20)⁹.

Bitaubé expresses himself less definitely; he doesn't mention wings, but in him Dream arrive d'un vol rapide aux vaisseaux des Grecs ('arrives by a swift flight to the vessels of the Greek'). In Dubois de Rochefort, Le Songe vole aux camps du vaillant fils d'Atrée ('Dream flies into the camp of the brave son of Atreus'); in Dugas Montbel, le Songe s'envole ('Dream flies away'); in Beaumanoir le phantôme s'envole ('the spectre flies away' – p. 32); in Bareste Onirus s'envole après avoir entendu cet ordre ('Onirus [i.e. Dream] flies away having heard this

⁸ But at the same time with the terminative meaning, which is one of conditions intensifying positive tectonic expression.

⁹ As if insisting on image of the winged Dream, Poliziano tells quite soon, after only one verse, that, being come to Agamemnon, Dream 'has lowered *wings*' (*pennasque resolvit*).

command'). Meanwhile in Sotheby, the way of Dream is presented as the flight on wings: *The baleful Dream, without delay,* | *Down to the Grecian navy wing'd his way* – t. 1, p. 35). For his part, MacPherson conveys the same otherwise: although in him the predicate denoting the motion (*descended*), like the corresponding predicate in Homer, is tectonically neutral, but in return the subject, by which Dream is denoted (again the same *shade*) has obvious tectonically negative colouring (p. 32). The Pope's interpretation – *Swift as the word the vain illusion fled* (19) – is similar, however it deserves special mention because, together with preceding verses of the same translation (v.s.), has induced J. Flaxman while illustrating this passage (ill. 2) to picture Dream leaving Olympus as a figure sailing above the cloud, in attitude near to horizontal, with outstretched legs.

Gries interprets the journey of Dream tectonically in similar way, but, from his side introduces a moment of passivity into the image, likening swiftness of Dream to the swiftness of a shot arrow and denoting Dream with direct object at the subject that means 'flight':

Ein pfeilgeschwinder Flug | Die leichte Phantasey nach dem Gezelte trug (A flight swift like an arrow | Carried the light fantasy to the tent' – 21-2; by the way, the Dream is 'light' also here).

Likewise the Dream's journey is represented by Gnedič:

Son otletel, povelenijam Zevsa pokornyj. | Bystrym poletom dostig korablej morechodnych argivskich ('Dream flew away being obedient to orders of Zeus. | By quick flight, he reached seafaring ships [of] Argives').

In his turn Beaumanoir, developing the image of Dream leaving Olympus, and departing still further from the original, depictures Dream's flight as winged (*Le songe fend les airs...* $d'une\ aile\ rapide - p.\ 32)^{10}$.

Dream's entry in thee tent, which Homer has shown in tectonically positive $(\beta\tilde{\eta})$ manner, is treated neutrally in tectonic respect by translators. Here are several examples: *The ships* reach'd, and Atrides' tent... (Chapman, 13); And quickly was at Agamemnon's tent (Hobbes, 16); Il... va droit à Agamemnon ('He... comes directly to Agamemnon' – Dacier, vol. 1, p. 43); Eilte hinein zu Atreus Sohn ('[Dream] hurried inwards to [the] son of Atreus' – Bürger-hex., 18).

There are, however, also cases of tectonically adequate rendering, for instance:Ingressumque augustale... ('...And [Dream] having **stepped** into the monarchal [tent]' – Lorenzo Valla, p.25); Vstupil nemedlenno v šater voždja voždej ('[Dream] **stepped** immediately in the tent of captain of captains' – Kostrov, 20).

The narrative tells further that Dream finds in the tent the sleeping Agamemnon and, on the instructions of Zeus, suggests to him sleepy vision. But before beginning his work, Dream occupies a convenient position over the head of sleeping king (4.2. The getting-ready for execution of errand) or, as Homer says:

```
στῆ δ' ἄρ' ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς... ('[Dream] stood then over [the] head [of Agamemnon]...' – Ibid. 20).
```

The translators render these words differently: now in tectonically positive (adequate), now in tectonically neutral as well as negative (inadequate) way.

Here I list several examples of more or less tectonically positive (adequate) rendition:

¹⁰ One among numerous evidences of the fact that aesthetic proclivity towards negative tectonics told sporadically also after the expiry of the time, by which this research is limited, may serve the rendition of this passage in the Swedish translation of the Iliad, 1908 (being made by Erland Lagerlöf, it has become in Sweden, together with his translation of the Odyssey, the classical one): ... *Drömmen flog hen* ('...Dream flew away'). Ibid. supra (9), Zeus' command to enter the Agamemnon's tent is interpreted as *Sväfva i tältet där in*... ('Soar into [the] tent thither in...').

...Ad cuius caput adstans... ('...At whose head **standing**...' – Lorenzo Valla, p. 25); Ipsius ergo ducis capiti adstitit ('Thus, at the head of captain himself **stood**' – Hessus, p. 28);

Und er stellte sich über sein Haupt ('And he **stood** over his head' – Stolberg, 19); Und er trat ihm zu Haupt ('And he **stepped** towards his head' – Bürger-hex.); V sem vide nad glavoj carevoj stav ('**Having stood** in this shape over [the] head of king...' – Kostrov, 24);

Jener trat ihm zum Haupt ('That [one] **stepped** to his head' – Voss).

Tectonically neutral rendition:

De lui s'approche ('...Approaches him' – Salel, p. 54);

Le Sommeil... se mit aupres de son chevet ('The Sleep... settled next to his bed-head' – Souhait);

Up presently unto his head he went (Hobbes, 16);

Il se place sur la tête d'Agamemnon ('He takes place on the head of Agamemnon' 11 – Dugas Montbel).

It's interesting that, in three of four given examples of neutral tectonics, the verbal forms bearing on Dream (*s'approche*, *went*, *se place*; passé simple *se mit* in Souhait is the exception), are void of terminative meaning. These three denote the lasting action, which distinguishes them from their Homeric equivalent, aorist $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta}$. Thus, the accent on static moment, this precondition of tectonic expression, is removed.

Tectonically negative rendition:

... Descends and hovers o'er Atrides' head (Pope, 20);

... Approche du monarque et planant sur sa tête... ('... Approaches the monarch and soaring above his head...' – Dubois de Rochefort, 19);

Er flatterte darauf zu seinen Schläfen nieder ('Then, he flitted down to his temples' – Blohm, p. 35). Something similar can be noted in Gries: *Und flatternd lies der Traum bey seinen Haupt sich nieder* ('And flitting, Dream sank at his head' – 24); in both cases it is noteworthy that flying Dream is endowed with lightness of butterfly – flatterte, flatternd).

As to the translation of given passage by MacPherson – <u>bent</u> o'er his head the <u>phantom</u> stood (p. 32), – this rendering, in spite of tectonically positive stood, seems rather tectonically negative, firstly, in view of the fact that the god of dream is defined here as <u>phantom</u> and, secondly, because his posture is represented as declining from steady (<u>bent</u>). Owing to indication of the same body attitude – <u>Le Songe se penche</u> sur la tête du roi, – the translation of Bitaubé may be also considered as tending to the negative tectonics.

Perhaps, the translation of this passage by Bareste – *Onirus se tient au dessus de sa tête* ('Dream is above his head') – is worth noting, however, not so much for its own sake, as because of a print illustrating it (ill. 3), whose author has depicted Dream that freely soars over the head of sleeping Agamemnon. But such a transfer of the image in the field of negative tectonics did not become any kind of artistic licence on the illustrator's part: the latter has been guided here by the preceding passage of that translation, where Dream is unambiguously denoted as flying (*Onirus s'envole*; v.s.).

Further, the epic says about how Dream, having assumed the appearance of wise old Nestor, tells Agamemnon false tidings that Olympian gods have unanimously decided to grant

¹¹ Here, the tectonically negative instability of Dream's seat – "on the head of Agamemnon" – is, however, noteworthy.

his forces near victory over Troy and thus induces him to precipitate mighty offensive (4.3. The execution of errand properly). The narration of this event ends with the following words:

```
ῶς ἄρα φωνήσας ἀπεβήσετο... ('Having hardly said [it, Dream] stepped back' – Ibid. 35).
```

According to the actional scheme presented by me above, the action, which is denoted by these words, can be referred to the item 6.1. The departure on the way back. This short phrase contains one, but obvious tectonic accent, that of the word $\alpha\pi\epsilon\beta\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau$ 0 ('stepped back') and it is undoubtedly positive. How then our translators cope with it? Approximately so, as in already considered cases, i.e. wavering between restraining force of the translator's conscientiousness and a temptation originated from their own poetical fancy. What is the result of such wavering? To judge from what we have seen above, one can expect instances of tectonically adequate (positive), as well as inadequate (neutral and negative) interpretation. Let we see to what extent this expectation is justified?

As to the tectonic adequacy, I did not succeed in finding examples of it in relevant translations I have looked through, therefore now I immediately go over to the instances of tectonically neutral interpretation:

Thus said, the Dream departed (Hobbes, 30);

So der Traum, und verschwand ('So [said] the Dream and disappeared' – Stolberg, 34); Also sprach er, entwich, und verließ daselbst den Atreiden ('So he spake, moved away and at once leaved Atreid' – Bürger-hex.);

Traum... wandte sich ('Dream... turned back' – Voss); *A ces mots il s'éloigne* ('With these words, he moves away' – Bareste).

Here are some other examples, these of negative interpretation.

One of them is already from the Latin Iliad:

Dixit et has repetit per quas modo venerat auras ('He said and again moved ahead in the airy element, through which he just had come' -129).

Many centuries later, the poetic imagination of Poliziano has painted Dream departing – as well as coming (v.s.) – in the shape of a winged soaring creature:

Sic fatus, paribus liquidum petit aethera pennis ('Having said so, he, soaring on wings, moves ahead into unsteady ether' –41).

But, here as well perhaps as in most other similar cases, the translation of Pope is especially significant. For in Pope, the Homer's short phrase has proved to be sufficient for unfolding two-verses-long spectacular picture of an apparition melting away in the air:

The <u>phantom</u> said; then vanish'd from his sight, | <u>Resolves to air</u>, and <u>mixes with the</u> <u>night</u> (43-4).

Direct meaning of what is said seems to be evident enough: a body of some fluid substance, i.e. such a body that easily loses its own shape, dissipating in the ambiance, is here presented¹². Similarly, but more briefly is translated this phrase by MacPherson: *This saying the phantom mixed with the night* (p. 33). As for Gries, he, being led by his inspiration the same way, misinterprets the original thus:

¹² The choice of exactly the verb *to vanish* of all synonyms available in the dictionary of classical English poetry in capacity of a word designating the process of disappearance seems to be especially effective. The most suitable alternative for this context should be perhaps *to disappear*. But in it, the disappearance of an object image only is expressed: *to dis-appear* literally signifies 'to cease to be evident, visible', i.e. the image disappears, but the object can remain. But the verb used by Pope is kindred to the adjective *vain* with its connotations 'empty', 'non-existent' and in poetic context may be easily associated with it, thus engendering the idea of existence cessation.

Nach diesen flieht der leichte Traum von hinnen | Und läßt den falschen Leib in Luft und Nacht zerrinen ('These words spoken, the light Dream flees from here | And lets spill [his] false body in air and night' -47-8)¹³.

There are some more examples of negatively tectonic treatment:

Lors s'envola ('Then [he] flew away' – Salel, p. 55);

Apres tous ces discours cette vision disparue des yeux d'Agamemnon ('After this speech, this vision disappeared from the eyes of Agamemnon' – Souhait; pointing out the absence of the flesh is here noteworthy);

Drauf schwand die Fantasie ('Then the vision disappeared' – Blohm, p. 36; also pointing out the absence of the flesh);

A ces mots il s'envole ('With this words he flies away' – Le Prince Lebrun);

On rek i proč' letit ('He spake and flies off' – Kostrov, 38);

Thus having said, the vision disappear'd (Morrice, 34);

The vision fled and left the king alone (Sotheby, vol.1, p.36);

Tak govorja, otletel i ostavil Atreeva syna ('So saying [he] *flied* off and left the Atreus'son' (Gnedič)¹⁴.

Having left the king, the god of dreams does not further participate in events told by Homer. But his image appears to the reader somewhat later, when in the morning, Agamemnon, having convened the council of Achaean captains, tells them the content of his night vision and communicates of promise of near victory in order to convince them to begin preparations for large-scale offensive on Troy. In Agamemnon's account (its place here may be referred to under the heading 5. The reaction of addressee), there are besides also mentions about the arrival and departure of Dream. The arrival is mentioned thus:

```
στῆ δ' ἄρ' ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς καί με πρὸς μῦθον ἔειπεν: ('...stood then over my head and told me the word' – II. 59).
```

The first hemistich of this verse, $\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}$ δ' $\check{\alpha}\varrho'$ $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\grave{\epsilon}\varrho$ $\kappa\epsilon\varphi\alpha\lambda\tilde{\eta}\varsigma$, is a formula, many times repeated by Homer, when narrating about the dream that is sent from above to somebody, whereas the whole verse reproduces almost exactly verse II. 20 quoted here earlier, where the same is said on behalf of epic narrator. In both verses, tectonically expressive $\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}$ ('stood') is located in the initial position, accentuating the expressiveness. Besides, being the predicate, i.e. grammatical nucleus of the sentence, it is significant also semantically. And at last, the versification form of the phrase it initiates is hemistich closed with the so-called semiquinaria or else pentemimera, a kind of masculine caesura, imparting an expression of concentrated force to the hemistich it closes¹⁵.

What did the translators make out of this verse and especially out of its first hemistich? Naturally, there are such among their interpretations, which reproduce tectonic imagery of this fragment correctly enough. For example:

¹³ On the one hand, we can say almost with certainty that the translation of this verse by Gries is definitely influenced by Pope's translation of it (which is quoted above), considering the Gries' praises lavished upon the Iliad of Pope in the notes to his own translation. On the other hand, however, the fact of Pope's influence is important here not so much by itself, but rather as an index of Gries' readiness to accept such influence and consequently the same predisposition to the non-Homeric tectonics.

¹⁴ The already above mentioned Lagerlöf conveys this passage thus: *Så han sade och svävfade bort* ('He said so and *soared* off').

¹⁵ Thus, for example, M. Gasparov defines this caesura as "masculine, more sharp" as compared with "a soft feminine caesura". Gasparov M.L. *Očerk istorii evropejskogo sticha*. Moscow: Nauka. 1989, p. 72.

Astat ille mihi supra caput, atque ita fatur ('He **stands** over my head and speaks thus' – Hessus, p. 294; like in Homer, tectonically significant word is the predicate heading the sentence);

He stood above my head (Chapman, 47; like in Homer, - the predicate);

Dieser trat mir zu Haupt ('This one **stepped** to my head' – Bürger-hex., 58; like in Homer, – the predicate);

I stavši nad glavoj, veščal mne ('And **having stood** over the head, [he] told me' – Kostrov, 65; instead of predicate – the modifier of manner).

However, already Lorenzo Valla's translation, *Visus est mihi quidam... ad caput stare* ('Someone **standing** at the head was seen by me' – p. 25), makes the tectonics of Homer unsteady by transferring the image from physical reality to that of visual impressions. In addition, the pronoun *quidam* ('someone') rather washes out the outlines of image, deprives it of image-associative connection with a solid form.

In some other translations, the positive, Homeric tectonics is apparently neutralized. For instance, Bareste translates: *Il s'est placé au-dessus de ma tête* ('He took a place above my head'). In somewhat earlier translation, that of Dugas Montbel (which had served as a pattern for Bareste) we read: *Il s'est placé sur ma tête* ('He took a place over my head [or else 'on my head' that, as is generally known, can be a foothold only for light enough creature]'. Gries went still further, since in him Agamemnon represents his own vision as winged: *Um mein gesenktes Haupt schloß er die heitern Schwingen* (75). As to Pope, he has remained true to himself, while substituting the negative tectonics for Homer's positive one: *The heavenly phantom hover'd over my head* (75). Obviously, also the fantasy of Dubois de Rochefort was working in the same direction, when he translated the passage so: *Il s'avance, il me parle, en planant sur ma tête* ('He moves forth, he speaks *hovering* above my head').

And at last, Agamemnon's words about the departure of Dream:

```
... ως ο μεν εἰπων

ἄχετ' ἀποπτάμενος...

('Having said, | [he] moved away, flying' – II. 70-1).
```

In this final mentioning of Dream's visit, Homer, for the first time in this narration, allows himself one tectonically negative expression $-\dot{\alpha}\pi o\pi\tau\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\nu o\varsigma$, ('having flown away'). What may be the reason of that? As in other similar cases (which, however, occur relatively seldom in Homer), the cause of it seems to be the integration of subjective, perceptivepsychological aspect into a narrative account. Speaking more precisely, the poet renders here a humanly limited apprehension of the speed, with which the god are moving and which is so great that the human eye is unable to grasp (and hence the human fancy to conceive) mechanics of his movement. Thus, if it is the velocity running, the eye does not discern pushing-off movements of legs; in the act of perception they merge into a continuous, scarcely visible shroud, so that the perceiving person is inclined to associate the idea of real running with the that of flight. In some other – relatively few – cases, Homer, as we shall see later, makes use of such association of ideas to underline a speed, which is extraordinary even of gods (e.g. when the messenger Hermes is mentioned); in this case, however, the speech of Agamemnon is presented, where he is conveying his own, human perception of divine locomotion and besides wishes to accentuate the divine nature of Dream in order to impart to Dream's words a significance of the highest instance.

So in given case one may speak of the flight image as of reflection of super-high-speed running in the humanly limited Agamemnon's perception and in its verbal rendering. But if in Homer, Agamemnon could fail to catch sight of impetuous flashing of legs and so take the

running for a flight, several early modern translators, for their part, read a completely absent indication of flight mechanism, i.e. wings, into Homer's words:

This express'd, he took wing, and away (Chapman, 57);

Drauf trug sein Fittich ihn im schnellsten Flug zurück ('Thereupon his wings bored him back in impetuous flight' – Blohm, p. 38);

On rek i ot menja krile v polet napravil ('He spake and directed wings from me away' – Kostrov, 77);

A ces mots il a fui d'une aile légère ('Having said this words, he fled with a light wing' – Dugas Montbel).

What influence did the introduction of wings motif exert over the tectonics of given image?

Obviously, this motif has brought the image of dream's god somewhat nearer to the world of ponderable material bodies by attributing to his flight not supernatural, but mechanical conditionality. At the same time, the wings motif has communicated to the flight unequivocal status of literal sense, minimizing the possibility of perceiving it in metaphorical way. Thus, according to these translations, Agamemnon in his speech is meaning properly flight and not anybody similar in speed. As for other translators, they keep – some of them more and some less – to the letter of Homeric text, while rendering $\alpha\pi\sigma\pi\tau\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\varsigma$ as *entflog* ('flew away' – Bürgerhex. and Stolberg, 70), flog ('flew' – Voss) or a fui en s'envolant ('fled flying away' – Bareste); some, in their turn deviating from literal rendition, replace it with the motif of solution in air, which is absent in Homer here as well as anywhere else: Deus in tenues evanuit auras ('The god has melted into unsteady air' – Hessus, p. 30), The vision spoke, and pass'd in air away (Pope, 92); there is also at least one that has replaced what is formulated as a fixation of fact by that of its sensuous perception: Cosi detto dagl' occhi mi disparue ('So having said, [he] disappeared from my eyes' – La Badessa, f.23v.).

Ending to consider the translatorial treatment of given Homeric passage, it would be advisable to say in a few words about how Anne Dacier had commented upon this passage in the notes to her own prose translation of the Iliad.

One must notice that, being bound by the commitment to present to the reader a "veritable" Homer, she tried, so far as she could, to remain true to the letter of original in all respects, including, to be sure, also the tectonic one. As some instances will show, all her diligence and learning proved nevertheless to be insufficient: sympathy for the negative tectonics breaks here and there through the fence of translatorial strictness. However, when translating this fragment, no contradiction could arise between her own aesthetics and the duty of faithful interpreter, so that, remaining in complete agreement with herself as Homer's representative in a cultural milieu alien to him, Dacier could translate this fragment thus: *Il a disparu d'un vol rapide* ('At these words he disappeared by rapid *flight*'); here the poet himself had entitled her to be romantic.

Meantime, it seems that this turned out to be not enough: Dacier had used this Homeric passage as a justification of inclination for the effect of lightness, conveyed by means of flight motif, – a kind of predisposition, which is not alien to her, but alien completely to Homer. Here is her note commenting significantly on this passage:

Homere est le premier qui ait donné des aîles aux songes¹⁷ ('Homer is the first who would have given wings to the dreams').

In her statement, Dacier had committed one inaccuracy, which is of importance to us. And the point is not so much that without any sufficient cause she visualized the flight of Dream as the winged one. The main thing is that she had ascribed an image of flying Dream to Homer

¹⁷ Ibid. P. 172.

_

¹⁶ L'Iliade d Homere traduite en françois, avec des remarques par Madame Dacier. T. 1, Martin etc. 1741, p. 107.

himself, whereas, strictly speaking, it is Agamemnon who says of Dream as flying, since he is incapable of conceiving so extraordinary quick locomotion otherwise than as a flight. On the contrary, from the height of his epic point of view, Homer the narrator has discerned (as we have already seen) the pedestrian although unusually rapid motion. However, to all appearances, Dacier would like so much to see in Homer an advocate of her poetic licences; that's why to him she ascribed the idea of dreams' wings.

As we can see, the narrative basis of that Iliad's episode, whose interpretations we have considered in this chapter, had given the author an opportunity in full measure to show the inclination for tectonically negative fancies. That opportunity has been left unused by him being basically alien to his aesthetics. The "omission" of Homer – as we have seen – was lavishly made up by his translators: in their rendition the deity of dream flies on the wings as well as without them, even flits (in Blohm and Gries) and quite often dissolves itself in the air. If in Homer it is the deity inducing dreams, in the translators it itself is frequently a dream, "vision", "spectre".

What has provoked such a bold deviation from the original text? May it be that the translators had been impelled to this deviation by the connection of that image with the realm of sleepy visions and, at the same time, by taking into account Dream's belonging to the class of inferior deities? If this is the cause, then we can expect tranlators' figurative treatment of superior gods – Athena, Apollo, Zeus, Hermes and others – will prove to be much closer to Homer in tectonic respect. Let us see whether such an expectation will come true.

[ATHENA IN THE ILIAD

It was already said above that, judging by the narrative of both Homeric poems taken in total, Athena must be acknowledged as the most mobile of all Olympian gods, at least what concerns the frequency of her travelings. So the way how her spatial shiftings are treated in mechanical respect by different interpreters is to provide us with an especially reach material for solving the question of what kind of tectonics, exactly, had seemed to those interpreters – i.e. to Homer and his translators – the most suitable for dignity of the gods. Meanwhile this very question confronts us here. That's why I find it expedient to give main consideration to the journeys of Athena.

The First Journey. The Purpose: To keep Achilles from making short work of Agamemnon

The account of this journey can be found in the book I of the Iliad. Here, as well as, however, in other similar cases, Athena, while using her own discretion, simultaneously serves as an instrument of the fateful divine will that corrects the course of earthen events. Encouraged by Hera, she descends from Olympus into the camp of Achaeans besieging to Troy, holds infuriated Achilles back from killing his offender Agamemnon and in reward for self-possession promises him the intersession of gods as well as restoration of equity violated by Agamemnon. Achilles obeys Athena, so that she being content with the achieved, returns to Olympus in the assembly of gods.

In Homer, tectonically significant moments of this episode are concentrated in phrases telling us about Athena's coming into the camp (3.3. The arrival at the destination) – and in particular of her descending onto the earth (3.3.1. The landing) and coming nearer to Achilles (3.3.2. The approach to addressee) – as well as about her returning to Olympus (6.3. Arrival back) and her occupying there the former place (7. The recommencement of initial situation). It must be said that in respect of these items, the translators have shown comparative restraint: the majority of them did not give free rein to their imagination – whether because during the initial stage of work on the translation they were stopped by the piety towards the illustrious original or

for some other reason. Nevertheless, some instances of deviations from the original to the side of negative tectonics – and, in addition, instances revealing enough – may be easily found.

At first, however, let us consider two initial tectonic moments of this episode. In Homer they verbally presented so:

```
...ἦλθε δ' Ἀθήνη οὐοανόθεν...
... στῆ δ' ὅπιθεν... ('Athena has come | from Heaven,... | ... | stood still behind [Achilles]... – I. 194-5, 197).
```

The first tectonically significant word, $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ ('has come', 'has arrived') is tectonically neutral: the action it signifies is nowise defined in regard of the mode or, more exactly, of the mechanics of its fulfillment, although the acrist form adds a static moment, – which can strengthen the positive tectonic expression if some other conditions are available, – to the lexical meaning of this word. The other similar word is $\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}$ ('stood still'); it also has the form of acrist but, unlike the first, its basic, lexical meaning is tectonically positive.

Despite a comparatively small number of the tectonically negative interpretations of words $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ and $\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}$, they are sufficient enough to exclude the assumption about their fortuity. Especially significant is their presence in Latin translations that were intended for the circle of readers more trained philologically than others and hence sooner than others capable of noticing various kinds of deviation from Homer's original. E.g. Lorenzo Valla and Hessus translate the phrase with $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ resp. as *coelo delapsa* ('having *glided* down from Heaven' – p. 10) and *aërias coelo delapsa per auras* ('having *glided* down from Heaven through the *puffs*' – p. 9). Thus the motion of Athena is definitely represented as passive and continual, indiscrete, not divided in itself by rests and pushes and in addition, according to Hessus indication, taking place in the fluid milieu of air.

In Malipiero, this moment is rendered otherwise, though also negatively in respect of tectonics: *Ma Pallade ben tosto traboccò a precipizio del cielo* ('And straight away Pallas rushed [literally '*streamed off*'] headlong from Heaven' – p. 7). The use of the verb, commonly referable to the liquid flowing over the edge of a vessel, in order to define Athena's shifting entails the imaginative association with a formless substance, passive by itself but now put in violent motion.

Dubois de Rochefort renders the same phrase in this way: *Quant Minerve aussitôt s'élançant de la nue*... ('When Minerva immediately rushing *from the cloud*...' – 210). By Aignan the same passage is translated similarly: *Quand Pallas tout-à coup s'élance de la nue*... ('When Pallas right away rushes *from the cloud*...') so one presupposition of this text consists in that before going to the earth Athena stood on a lightest and extremely unsteady support. It is clear how light Athena itself must be imagined under such a condition!

Also Beaumanoir interprets this phrase in tectonically negative key: ... Quand la sage Junon | Fait descendre Pallas de la voûte azurée, | Qui vole au même instant près de fils de Pélée ('...When the wise Juno | Makes Pallas descend from the azure vault, | Who flies immediately to the son of Peleus' – p. 13). As we see, the translator, denotes the shifting of Athena by means of two tectonically different verbs, descendre and voler. But doing it by the use of tectonically neutral descendre, he represents this motion as incited by the other's will (Junon fait descendre), whereas, tectonically negative voler is used here in active form, (vole).

The same flight motif appears here in Gnedič:

Javilas' Afina, | S neba sletev ('Athena presented herself | By flying down from Heaven'). Exactly in the opposite way this passage is translated by the Gnedič's predecessor in translation of the Iliad, Kostrov:

Minerva s nebesi prevysprennja grjadet ('Minerva comes [literally "**stalks along**"] from Heaven' – 245).

Meanwhile a number of other translations occupy an intermediate position between these two, deviating from any definity, both negative, like in Gnedič, and positive, like in Kostrov. For instance:

Down from heaven Athenia stooped (Chapman, 196);

... Feit promptement Pallas en bas descendre ('[Juno] makes Pallas descend downwards' – Salel, p. 30);

Pallas... descendit du ciel ('Pallas... descended from Heaven – Souhait);

...[Juno] sent Pallas down (Hobbes, 190);

Minerve descendit du ciel ('Minerva descended from Heaven' – Dacier, vol. 1, p. 14); Minerva swift descended from above (Pope, 261);

... Kam Athäna vom Himmel herab ('Athena came from the Heaven down' – Stolberg, 191);

... Kam Pallas von Olymp, | Herab gesandt von Juno ('Athena came from Olymp, | Sent down by Juno' – Bürger-iambi, 274-5);

Pallas descended (Cowper, 246);

...Naht' ihm vom Himmel | Pallas Athen' ('Athena Pallas approached him [i.e. Achilles] from the Heaven' – Voss);

Minerve se précipita des cieux ('Minerva rushed from heavens' – Bitaubé).

Thus, we have seen that while interpreting this small segment of text, the translators mentioned by me here are divided in tectonic respect so: 12 of them have shown comparative correctness, whereas six others arbitrarily introduced various tectonically negative accents. It will be interesting to see how the same translators have interpreted the following Homer's indication of Athena's stopping behind the back of Achilles, – the indication, which is given by Homer in unambiguously explicit form and even underlined by imparting to it the grammatical function of the predicate, $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta}$.

It must be admitted that almost everyone more or less closely follows here the original text (which is no wonder since we call them translators). So already Hessus renders it: ... *Tunc astitit tergo furentis* ('Then [Athena] **stood still** at the back of incensed [Achilles]' – p. 9); Chapman: *She stood behind* – 199); Hobbes: ... *Who coming stood behind* (190); Stolberg: *Hinter ihm stand sie* ('She [i.e. Athena] stood still behind him [i.e. Achilles] – 191); Cowper: *At his back she stood* (246); Voss: *Hinter ihn trat sie* ('She stepped behind him'). In this place, the strictness of the translator is shown even by Pope: *Behind she stood* 264). It was not by chance that J. Flaxman having illustrated his translation and at the same time conscientiously (as well as very willingly) having followed various manifestations of Pope's atectonic fantasy, here displays comparative reserve depicting Athena as almost touching the ground with her toes (ill. 4). ¹⁸

Thus, the translators are perhaps unanimous in showing Athena's stopping by Achilles. In Kostrov and Gnedič, however, the positive tonus of tectonics is slightly weakened by denoting this action not with predicate as in Homer, but with adverbial modifier in form of adverbial participle (though of perfective aspect) – stav. The greatest license that Homer's translators can have permitted themselves is mere disregarding the tectonically important $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta}$; in a series of translations (Salel, Souhait, Dubois de Rochefort, Beaumanoir and some others), that stop of Athena is not mentioned at all¹⁹.

¹⁸ And quite negatively the tectonics of this moment is rendered in vignette of the first (1715) edition of Pope's translation: here Athena is catching hold of Achilles' chevelure, being still in the air despite clear indication of Homer.

¹⁹ More freely than those translators and like the author of abovementioned (v. preceding note) vignette, a French artist Martin Drolling has treated this subject matter in his academic canvas painted in 1810 (ill. 6). Here Drolling has depicted Athena having already touched Achilles and attracted his attention but still remaining in the air.

Homer's account of what Athena has done straight after keeping back Achilles' wrath is such:

... η δ' Οὔλυμπόνδε βεβήκει

δώματ' ἐς αἰγιόχοιο Διὸς μετὰ δαίμονας ἄλλους

('Then she **stepped** onto the Olympus, | into the **house** of Zeus the aegis-bearer, to other deities – I. 221-2).

This verbal representation of closing stage in the episode with Athena restraining Achilles, like the representation of its initial stage, just now considered by us, is divisible into two textual segments, minimal in respect of size as well of the action represented in them. The first one denotes the arrival of Athena onto Olympus (6.3. The arrival back); it coincides with the quoted excerpt of the verse 221. The second one is that which communicates about Athena occupying her permanent and fitting position on Olympus (7. The recommencement of initial situation); this segment is identical with the verse 222.

As to the first segment, even its translations, which are the most correct in respect to the object of our interest, are marked by lowering of tectonic tonus from the positive to the neutral. Athena, who, according to Homer, "stepped" ($\beta\epsilon\beta\eta\kappa\epsilon\iota$) onto the Olympus, in such translations se retire au ciel (Souhait), s'en retourna dans l'Olympe (Dacier, vol. 1, p. 15), hob sich wieder Himmel an (Bürger-iambi, 309), sul cielo risalì (Monti, 296), up to heaven did re-ascend (Chapman, 220), fuhr wieder gen Himmel (Bürger-hex.), wandte sich drauf zum Olympos (Voss) vozneslasja k Olimpu (Gnedič).

One may see that all eight expressions, cited here in order to show how in some translations Athena moves to the Olympus, define this movement in respect of its destination point. At the same time, four of them more or less clearly indicate this point (Olympus or else the heaven²⁰) as due to be reached, whereas the other four (Chapman, Bürger-hex., Voss and Gnedič) do not contain in themselves such clear indication, because by the choice of prepositions (resp. *to*, *gen*, *zu*, *k*), the Olympus/heaven is explicitly represented only as movement direction. The main thing, however, is that in each of eight examples, verb of movement is void of motoric semantics telling the reader nothing about the mode of Athena's shifting in space.

In return, some other translators provide us with indications of this mode. And they define it unambiguously as the flight. Thus already in Hessus, Athena *avolat* ('flies off' – p. 10); somewhat later, in Francesco Gussano, she *al ciel riprese il uolo* ('reassumed the *flight* to the – Heaven' – f. 10v.). According to Malipiero, the goddess *tornò a soruolare al Cielo* ('again *flew* up to the Heaven' – p. 8). In French translators, she either *revole* ('comes *flying* back' – Dugas Montbel, Aignan, Bitaubé) or *s'envole* ('flies away' – Leprince Lebrun). According to Morrice, Athena *wing'd* to the heav'n | Her rapid flight (220). Even in Hobbes' dryish translation, Pallas romantically *up unto Olympus flew* (210). What then to say about Pope, in whom the poet so often took the place of the translator! *The goddess swift to high Olympus flies* (293), so we read in his Iliad²¹.

It is relevant to remind that heaven, Himmel, ciel, cielo, nebo and other similar lexemes used by translators as equivalents to the Homeric οὐ οανός and გOλυμπος are not such regarding their figurative content. Notions of heaven and (solid) firmament, identical for Homer, gradually parted more and more from each other in the consciousness and hence in the imagination of early modern reader as the scientific ideas of nature took root in public opinion, so that the habitual image of heaven more and more became tectonically opposite to the mythopoetic image of firmament. In the pooetic vocabulary of perhaps every European language there are words for denoting a firm vault of sky: cf. French and English firmament, German Firmament, Italian firmamento, Russian nebesnaja tverd' etc. So the extremely rare use of these words in the capacity of equivalents to Homeric οὐ οανός once again tells of translators' latent but staunch aversion to positive tectonics.

²¹ In similar way, this shifting of Athena will be shown by Lagerlöf: *Hon sväfvade upp till Olympen* ('She [i.e. Athena] soared up to Olympus'.

Let us note that if in the case with the show of Athena's shifting from Olympus to the place of two chieftains' quarrel, terms of flight could be partially provoked by the wish of translators to render the haste of the goddess, who is seeking to prevent the undesirable outcome of Achilles' anger, on this occasion there is no reason to hurry, so the terms of flight can be understood here in their proper meaning.

But now Athena came back into her home on the Olympus. Speaking about the return of goddess to her former state, Homer defines the latter trough pointing out:

- a) the inside location (δώματ' ἐς αἰγιόχοιο Διὸς, 'into the house of Zeus the aegisbearer') and
 - b) the milieu of intercourse ($\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\delta\alpha(\mu\nu\alpha\zeta)$ $\alpha\lambda\lambda\nu\zeta$, 'to other deities').

Let us begin from the first item. The transition of narration from Olympus, on which Athena 'stepped', to the edifice, which stands upon it, is justified by tectonic association of ideas: the image of firm support stressed with indication of the foot rest suggests a completion in the shape of some stable object standing here. For Homer the artist, such a completion was, to all appearances, aesthetically important. The other thing is the aesthetical approach of his translators: several of them have fully disregarded the image of Zeus palace. Thus in Salel Athena *l'en monta au Ciel avec les Dieux* ('ascended from there to the Heaven [inhabited by] the Gods' – p. 32), in Souhait she *se retire au Ciel avec Jupiter & sa troupe* ('departs to the Heaven [inhabited by] Jove and his retinue'), in Aignan – *revole au ciel* ('flies away to the heaven' – and that's all!). There is no such mention also in Leprince Lebrun, Morrice, Dubois de Rochefort and Dugas Montbel (if not to take into consideration the mention by the last of formally indefinite *demeure du puissant Jupiter*).

Pope also passes this building by in silence; he goes immediately over to the society into which Athena makes her way:

And joins the sacred senate of the skies (294).

But if the verb *to join* that Pope has used here as a predicate can stand for different kinds and levels of connection, including mechanical one (cf. the substantive *joint*, 'sectional connection", 'contact'), the direct meaning of verb *to mingle* presuppose connection, which is essentially more profound. That is why, when Couper renders the same passage of the Iliad with words: *Arriving mingled with her kindred gods* (274), he weakens, whether voluntarily or not, the aspect of body form constancy in poetical image of Athena, whereas that of Olympian society is deprived by him of explicit discreteness. Essentially the same can be found already in Dryden's translation of this verse: *And mix'd among the Senate of the Gods* (p. 141). But there are at least two French translations analogous in that to Cowper's and Dryden's: *La déesse... se mêle au reste des immortels* ('The goddess... *mingles* with the other immortels' – Leprince Lebrun); *Alors la déesse revole se mêler à l'assemblée des dieux* ('Then the goddess flies back to *mingle* in the assembly of gods' – Dugas Montbel). So we can see how different translations of that little segment (as well as of some other passages we still have to consider) show that, under the pens of some translators, even the Homeric image of Olympus as a realm of perfectly stable and clear-cut forms (cf. the Odyssey VI. 42-6) begins to lose its own stability and clearness.

Methodological digression

We succeeded above in considering instances of how the early European translators have treated the tectonical aspect of Homeric images. The number of those instances proved to be extensive enough to draw at least two preliminary conclusions whose taking into account can reduce and simplify the way of further analytical examination.

And indeed, several instances quoted above are those of adequately rendering the positive tectonics. It is exactly such quotations, which must have shown us unambiguously that no less than many translators of our interest and of different times had been able to apprehend and

render tectonics of divine images as they appear in Homer himself. Moreover, we have also considered numerous cases of atectonical treatment consisting not in the substitution of negative tectonics for positive one, but in refusal of rendering any tectonically significant indications, when the empathically experienced body image gives up its place to its merely visual equivalent. From which the conclusion (although quite expectable, but as each similar conclusion requiring a factual substantiation) follows that in the activity of early modern translators the attitude towards negative tectonics was far from inalternative and all-determinant. Nothing predestined those translators to the role of Homer tectonics enemies or concealers: be it the nature of translating languages or the historical and cultural fate. The translators very well understood, or at least felt, in what their proper task consists. And if in cases interesting for this study, they nonetheless often enough acted contrary to their occupational imperative, it was because they were induced to it by their own aesthetics that was distinct from, if not to say opposed to, Homeric one.

Exactly this non-Homeric aesthetics in its tectonic part interests us here first of all. Accordingly, those examples I shall further cite and analyse are chiefly examples of this aesthetics actualization in translations texts. As, however, regards tectonically adequate and tectonically neutral passages of translations, here the fact itself of their availability is what concerns us principally. But since, after all above-stated, this fact is, as I suppose, fully predictable even without special citing, I shall quote and consider only those of given passages that will occur to be especially notable for something. I hope, it will make the further exposition less cumbersome and at the same time will not deprive it of a necessary convincingness.

Having finished this short but indispensable explanation, I come back to the Athena image.

The Second Journey. The Purpose: To keep the Achaeans from the premature return home

It is the book II, which tells us about this event. Under the influence of Agamemnon's deceptive speech that the supreme captain addresses to his army with the aim to test the fighting spirit while affectedly expressing the intention to stop hostilities against Troy, glad Achaeans impetuously rush to the ships in order to sail home immediately. Observing that from Olympian height, Hera, who already for a long time craves for destruction of Troy, is worried by this turn of events. She urges Athena to descend without delay into the Achaean camp and to keep Achaeans from abandoning the war seat. Athena, hating, like Hera, Troy and the Trojans, readily parts to fulfil Hera's wish and immediately after the arrival in the camp finds there Odysseus, though discontented by the flight of warriors but embarrassed and inactive. Addressing Odysseus, Athena impels him to hinder this flight. Under the influence of her words, the mood of Odysseus changes. He firmly stands still on the way of flying warriors and – now by persuasion now by force – turns them back. This very action inspired by Pallas prevents the retreat of Achaean Army from under Troy.

In respect of tectonics, the interpretation of three following moments of this journey is especially interesting. These moments are:

- a) the appeal to depart under Troy directed to Athena by Hera (2.3. The formulation of an errand):
 - b) the departure of Athena from Olympus (3.1.2. The departure properly);
- c) Athena's coming near Odysseus or, more precisely, her stopping close to him (3.3.2. The approach to addressee).

As to Athena's return onto the Olympus (6. The way back), there is no mention of it here, so we must manage without it.

According to Homer, Hera expresses her appeal thus:

άλλ' ἴθι νῦν κατὰ λαὸν Αχαιῶν χαλκοχιτώνων

('But go now to the host of bronze-clad Achaeans' – 163)

Having used here the imperative mood form $i\theta\iota$ of the verb $\epsilon i\mu\iota$ that primarily means the pedestrian movement, Homer did not give his translators any occasion to understand himself otherwise. Nevertheless, already Hessus renders this verse in that way:

Sed nunc age labere velox | Ad Graium populos aerata veste nitentes ('Now then, glide [literally!], the fast, | To hosts of Greeks shining with bronzed clothes' – p. 34).

Once more we see here a kind of locomotion without rests and pushes (*labere velox*), such a motion, which is possible only due to the weakened resistance of the medium and so differs from the walking and running, which require such (i.e. ground) resistance. In its turn, due to the association of ideas, the *labere*²² attracts the *aerata veste nitentes*, since the glitter of bronze, here notably unmentioned by Homer, presupposes a polishes surface of this metal and so is reminiscent of a gliding!

In reinterpretation of this passage, French translators Houdar de La Motte and Dubois de Rochefort have come still farther. The former renders parting wishes of Hera by words *cours*, *vole* ('run, *fly*'), the latter in early edition of his translation (1772) does the same similarly, *allez*, *volez vers eux* ('go, *fly* to them'). The rendering of Kostrov is also like those: *Speši*, *stremis*', *leti* ('haste, rush, *fly*'(178). Thus, in last three examples Athens's way is rendered by figure of climax crowned by the verb again denoting the flight.

Such reinterpretation of image becomes more remarkable a little further, where narrator tells of Athena's motion into the Achaean camp as of an action that is already performed:

βῆ δὲ κατ' Οὐλύμποιο καρήνων ἀΐξασα: καρπαλίμως δ' ἵκανε θοὰς ἐπὶ νῆας Ἀχαιῶν.

('[She] **stepped** rushing from Olympus' heights, | soon reached quick ships of Achaeans' -167-8).

It can be plainly seen that also here the way of Athena is, at least from the outset, represented as pedestrian one (since from Olympus, the goddess 'stepped', $\beta\tilde{\eta}$), the end of her way being by no means defined in respect of the mode of locomotion. Hence, the image tectonics is positive enough. It must be admitted that it is observed and even enhanced in the Blohm's translation, although very far from literal rendering:

Minerva folgte dem: ihr Fuß strich von den Hügeln | Des ewigen Olymps, gleich schnellen Adlerflügeln, | Zur griechschen Flotte fort... ('Minerva listened to it [i.e. to the command]: her foot rushed away from the hills | Of eternal Olympus, like the quick wings of eagle, | To the Greek navy' – p. 43).

Homer mentions the function of foot; here, however, there is the foot itself. Besides, it is mentioned as the motive organ. And although this foot is assimilated with eagle wings, but on the basis of speed: otherwise the comparison would lose any sense.

With such a translation of this passage, Blohm has rested alone: other interpreters preferred either rejection of tectonic expressiveness or its radical change. Among the first ones having replaced here the positive tectonics of Homer by the negative tectonics was none other than Angelo Poliziano, who represented Athena as flying (*advolat* – 88). Shortly after Lorenzo Valla with his prosaic translation of the Iliad followed in the same direction:

Pallas autem... repente de alto coelo in Achiuorum castra deuolauit ('And Pallas... immediately flew away from the high heaven into the camp of Achaeans' – p. 30).

٠,

²² In classical Roman poetry, this verb denotes besides other processes also the irreversible flow of time. Cf. e.g. *labuntur anni* from Horace's reading-book poem (II. 14: Ad Posthumum).

Another Latin translator, Hessus develops here his own (v. above) motif of gliding Athena:

...Repentino delata per aëra lapsu ('...Carried away by the impetuous gliding' – p.34), the passive nature of the gliding being accentuated by the passive form of participle delata, 'carried away'.

Also Chapman had recourse to the motif of gliding:

From the tops of heaven's steep hill she \underline{slid} – 140.

As to the Pope and French translators, they, while departing from tectonics of the original together with Hessus and Chapman, replaced it unlike these by the more habitual flight motif:

Pallas obeys, and from Olympus' height | Swift to the ships precipitates her <u>flight</u> (Pope, 204);

Pour réponse Pallas descend d'un vol rapide | Aux vaisseaux... ('In reply, Pallas descends with a rapid *flight* to the ships... Houdar de La Motte);

D'un vole rapide elle franchit l'éspace ('With a rapid *flight*, she overcomes the space' – Leprince Lebrun);

[Minerve] arrive d'un vol agile aux vaisseaux des Grecs ('With a quick flight, [Minerva] reaches the ships of Greeks' – Bitaubé);

Elle descend d'un vol rapide des sommets de l'Olympe ('She descends with a rapid *flight* from the tops of the Olympus' – Bareste).

The same motif is present in Italian translation by Malipiero:

Ella volò giù dall'Olimpo, e subit il volo rattene sopra le Naui de' Greci ('She flew down from Olympus and immediately ceased the flight on the ships of Greeks' – p. 21).

A fidelity to the idea of flight is shown here also by German interpreters:

...| Fliegt Palas von Olymp sofort zur Flotte hin ('Pallas flies away from Olympus to the fleet' – Gries, 214);

Leicht entschwebte sie den Gipfeln des hohen Olympos ('She easily [or else "lightly"] soared away from the tops of Olympus' – Stolberg, 165);

Und sie entfuhr den Höhn des Olympos eilenden Fluges ('And she left the heights of Olympus with a hurried *flight*' – Bürger-hex.);

Stürmenden Schwungs entflog sie den Felsenhön des Olympos ('With impetuous rush, she flew away from the rocky heights of Olympus' – Voss).

One must admit, however, that the negatively tectonic bias told upon all these four examples to a different extent. It is especially noticeable in Stolberg, since the flight mentioned here is light (*leicht*) and in addition it is passive i.e. soaring one (*entschwebte*). In Gries and Bürger, it is already a mere flight (although in both cases – very quick; *sofort*, *eillenden Fluges*). And at last in Voss, Athena's flying away is attended by a mighty rush (*stürmenden Schwungs*) and hence mentally associated with initial overcoming of gravity and subsequent inertial motion²³.

The translation of this passage by Cowper is noteworthy in its own way: But darting swift from the Olympian heights, | Reach'd soon Achaia's fleet... – 195-6).

At first glance far away from interpretations quoted above, Cowper's translation proves to be close to them in that it is opposite to the original, because in respect of poetic figurativeness, he depicts the motion of the goddess as a flight and, in point of fact, passive flight. The key significance belongs here to the participle *darting* ("flying like an arrow"), the gerund of verb *to dart*, which denotes the motion of a flung arrow or thrown javelin. However, this motion is not only inertial, but passive from the very outset: it is

-

²³ Incidentally, it may be noticed that an effect of this kind, slightly hinted and scarcely perceptible in the quoted translation excerpt, is widely and expressively elaborated in the language of music, in the famous "Ride of the Valkyries" from Richard Wagner's opera "Die Walküre" (1856; the opera cycle "Der Ring des Nibelungen").

quite clear that the arrow does not fly out by itself. Being present in a poetic context, the motion denoted by this verb is perceiveable exactly as such, as initially passive, even if it is used metaphorically.

And, concluding the survey of translators' interpretations of the verse 167, I shall cite another one, where there are neither effect of flight nor that of gliding, but where a neutralization of Homer's tectonics is nevertheless notable for its radicalism. I mean a passage from the translation of Monti:

Dalle cime d'Olimpo dispiccossi | *velocissima* ('The swiftest | detached herself [literally so!] from the tops of Olympus' – 222-3).

As may be seen, the predicate semantics here is fully deprived of muscle-motor shades; a purely visual idea occupies the place of empathic body image.

The third and last of tectonically significant moments in Homer's depiction of the given episode fixes the stop of Athena after having approached Odysseus:

```
εὖοεν ἔπειτ' Ὀδυσῆα Διῗ μῆτιν ἀτάλαντον ... 
ἀγχοῦ δ' ἱσταμένη ποοσέφη γλαυκῶπις Ἀθήνη ('Then [she] found Odysseus similar to Zeus in counsel. | ... | Having stood still close by, the bright-eyed Athena addressed [him]' – 169, 173).
```

From the viewpoint of plot action rendering, the words $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\chi\tilde{o}\tilde{v}$ δ' $i\sigma\tau\alpha\mu\acute{e}\nu\eta$ are redundant as well as a great deal in Homer is. Such redundancy has many causes. One of them consists in that *princeps poetarum* so far as one may judge was preoccupied with the all-roundness, the sculptural stereometry in rendering events more than with compactness of their exposition, and this is testified by the quoted syntagma. As we shall see further, this syntagma recurs over and over again throughout both poems, usually anticipating the address of some deity to a mortal. And in all these instances, it exactly coincides with the hemistich-pentemimera, which circumstance accounts for a relative separateness and firmness effect peculiar to the $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\chi\tilde{o}\tilde{v}$ \dot{v}

Thus, the detail expressed by these words is important for Homer as artist since it is a means for sculpturally shaping the figure. How then do early modern translators treated it?

To be sure, the standing still of Athena after her having approached Odysseus is if not mentioned then at least implied. However, by relatively few, this Athena's stop is mentioned directly, like in the original. Of those with whose translations I had occasion to acquaint myself, such are Hessus (*Quem prope consistens sic est affata Minerva* – p. 34), Macpherson (...*Near him stood*), Cowper (...*Beside him stood* –201), Bitaubé (*La déesse s'arrétant*²⁴ *auprès de lui* ...) and Gnedič (*Stav bliz nego* ...), but if to take into consideration also some formulae closely related by meaning, one can refer to them the hexametrical translation of Bürger (*Neben ihm tretend* ...), as well as translations of Monti (*Gli si fece*²⁵ *davanti la divina* – 227) and Bareste (*Minerve se place*²⁶ à ses côtés). There are more, however, those who do not mention this stop at all. It is Lorenzo Valla, Salel, Chapman, Souhait, Hobbes, Houdar de La Motte, Pope, Blohm, Stolberg, Leprince Lebrun, Dubois de Rochefort, Kostrov, Morrice, Voss. Meanwhile several of them, namely Salel, Chapman, Souhait, Hobbes, Gries, Stolberg, Morrice, mention neither the stop nor the approach to Odyssey. Such passing over in silence of Athena's way and its

²⁶ V. note 24.

,

²⁴ Nevertheless, the sense of this word containing no indication of the foot rest ending the pedestrian motion is void of sculptural expression that is present here in Homer.

²⁵ V. note 24.

end results in that the figurative idea of both fades and the accent transfers itself onto the first stage of journey, which, as we have already seen, is associated in the creative imagination of the translators rather with an idea of flight.

The Third Journey. The Purpose: Not to allow the conclusion of peace

The account of this event is to be read in the book IV. On advice of Hera, Zeus sends Athena to frustrate the reconciliation between both warring parties, which was nearly concluded. Having appeared at Troy and being disguised as the son of a Trojan elder Antenor, Athena persuades the Lycian Pandaus, a Trojan ally, to kill the Achaean king Menelaus by treacherously shooting an arrow at him. And although Pandarus has managed only to wound Menelaus slightly, the will of gods is done and the war, for a short while interrupted, recommences.

Tectonically significant moments of this episode are such:

- a) Zeus tells Athena to set out (2.3. The formulation of an errand);
- b) Athena sets out (3.1.3. The departure properly);
- c) Athena lends (3.3.1. The landing);
- *d*) Athena approaches Pandarus (3.3.2. The approach to addressee). As regards a subsequent Athena's return on the Heaven, the only thing said about it in the next book (V. 510-1) is that she left the battle-field, where she had fought.

The command to set out sounds in Homer thus:

αἶψα μάλ' ἐς στρατὸν ἐλθὲ μετὰ Τρῶας καὶ Ἀχαιούς ('come without delay between hosts of Trojans and Achaeans' – 70).

As we see, the motion that Zeus demands from Athena is rendered in the original by the imperative $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\dot{\epsilon}$ ('come'), neutral in tectonic respect i.e. void of any indications of motion mode. It's necessary to say that the unfilled position in the semantics of given expression was filled by several translators in their own way. And this is what they have managed to do:

Departing from a chronological sequence, I shall begin with the translation of Blohm (1756):

Verdopple deine Schritte, | *Geh ins trojansche Heer* (,Redouble your steps, | Go in the Trojan host' – p. 108).

Here, in the first hemistich, we see the indication of locomotion mode, which is absent in the corresponding place of original. In the second, there is no such one but also there is no any mental associating of movement with the flight. So, the tectonic tonus of Homer's expression proves to be in a whole not lowered but even heightened: having departed from the letter of the original, Blohm succeeded in rendering its tectonic spirit. This Blohm's translation is here cited by me right from the start in order once more to call attention to the fact that the tendency to a negatively tectonic treatment of the Homeric original in the work of early modern translators was, though prevalent, by no means alternativeless, that they were quite able to feel and – in those cases when a conscientious interpreter of ancient poetry turned out to be in them stronger than a person with tastes and predilections of its own time – to reproduce the tectonic character of Homer's figurativeness²⁷.

²⁷ I should remind here that the Blohm's translation has been published before the tradition of so-called philological translation initiated by Stolberg and continued by Voss came into existence in Germany.

Judging from translations, which are known to me, Blohm, in interpreting this passage, has had not any forerunners²⁸ and did not find followers. The latters were not found even among Germans; thus, Stolberg places here: *Eile schnell* (68), Voss – *Eile sofort*; meanwhile, as if by arrangement, the majority of translators put into words of Zeus the idea of flight:

[Jove] bade Minerva <u>fly</u> (Pope, 96; the fly is accentuated by rhyming with the sky in the previous verse);

[Athena] swift <u>fly</u> to yonder field (Cowper, 83);

Va, dit-il à Minerve, vole aux champs d'Ilion ('Go, he said to Minerva, *fly* to the Ilion fields' – Leprince Lebrun);

Vole, exite les Troyens à rompre l'accord ('Fly, impel the Trojans to break off the agreement' – Bitaubé; somewhat above in the same Bitaubé, Hera asks Zeus: Ordonne à Minerve de voler entre les deux armées, 'Command Minerva to fly between two armies');

Leti mgnovenno v dol ('*Fly* right away into the vale' – 97);

Bystro, Afina, leti k opolčen'jam trojan i Danaev ('Fly quickly, Athena, to the hosts of Trojans and Danaians' – Gnedič);

Vole promptement vers les deux armées ('Fly hastily towards two armies' – Bareste).

But let us return to the original. There, having gladly submitted to the will of Zeus, Athena:

```
βῆ δὲ κατ' Οὐλύμποιο καρήνων ἀΐξασα ('stepped rushing from the tops of Olympus – 74).
```

This is the formular verse recurring in different places of Homeric epics as applied to Athena as well as to the other deities. We have already met it under the verse number II. 167, while considering Athena's second journey. There, the tectonic expression is rendered by the aorist $\beta\tilde{\eta}$ ('stepped') placed in the first mora of the verse, semantically strong in view of its initial position: the $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\imath}\xi\alpha\sigma\alpha$ (\approx 'having rushed') is rather tectonically neutral.

I could say that as a formular verse it was rendered by very few to whom Voss belongs. It was he who exactly repeated his own tectonically negative interpretation of verse II. 167. The majority, however, each time modified the translation of this formula one way or another (such disregarding of Homeric formulas' identity is typical for early modern translators).

The spirit of Homer's tectonics here is vividly rendered again by the same Blohm: Sie brach in größter Eil von Idas Hügel auf, | Und ihre Rachbegier beschleunigt ihren Lauf ('With greatest haste, she departed [according to the transparent etymology, ≈ 'broke forth'; so, the muscular effort is meant] from Ida's hill | And her thirst of revenge quickens her running' – p. 109).

Athena's way is perceived here as begun with a mighty push-off (brach... auf) and carried out in form of running, the significant of which, Lauf, is placed at the end of the verse i.e. in a position strengthening the expression. And in addition, these two indications are linked together by rhyme: ...auf | ...Lauf. Thus, the idea of the ancient poet proved to be nearly conveyed²⁹ by new stylistic means.

²⁸ Some similarity may be found only in Hessus: *Vade age nata, cito pede labere*... However, if the first part of this phrase is not void of positive tectonic expression, the second abolishes it. Cf. *Cito pede labitur aetas* (Ovid., *Ars amatoria*; the same verb as in Hessus but here as applied to the flowing –! – time).

²⁹ Notwithstanding the patent lack of literal accuracy; e.g. Ida instead of Olympus.

However, also here Blohm found himself isolated. Approximately two centuries before him, this passage was translated by Hessus so:

Alto | Labitur illa uolans summi de uertice Olympi ('From the high | summit of lofty Olympus, she glides flying' – p. 85).

Above, we have happened to note the presence of gliding and flight motifs in texts of different translators. Here, for the first time, we meet with their combination, due to which we can establish in the image engendered by this Latin verse not merely the negative tectonics but also its forcing. It turns out that in her flight onto the earth, Athena glides; in other words, the effect of her flight is free even of a weak association with efforts made by flapping the wings, and that closely recalls the image of soaring deity already met with above in excerpts from Pope's translations.

As to the latter, he also forced here the idea of flight but by means of adding to it an idea of head-forward movement and subsequent loss of balance instead of idea of gliding:

Fired with the charge, she <u>headlong</u> urged her <u>flight</u> (99).

The other translators have chosen in this case approximately the same direction as Hessus and Pope:

Pallas vole et franchit le vaste sein des airs ('Pallas flies and traverses the wide bosom of the air – Dubois de Rochefort, 61; as a medium of Athena's movement, the air is mentioned, which accentuates the flight idea);

Ona letit s cholmov ot otčeska prestola ('She flies from hills, off the father's throne' – Kostrov, 102);

... <u>Down-darted</u> swift from the Olympian heights – Cowper, 87; motif of passive flight: arrow);

The martial goddess urg'd her rapid flight – Morrice, 74);

Swift at the word of Jove, on instant <u>flight</u> | Minerva <u>darted</u> from the Olympian height – Sotheby, v. 1, p. 110; again hidden comparison with flying arrow³⁰);

La déesse s'envole en s'élançant des sommets de l'Olympe ('The goddess flies away rushing from the tops of Olympus' – Bareste).

Also two German translations can be added to these instances, one of which, that by Stolberg, conveys the motion of Athena with the words *eilend entschwebte* ('hastily *soared* away' – 72) and the other, by Voss (exactly, as already said, repeating his own translation of verse II, 167) renders the same with the phrase *Stürmenden Schwungs entflog sie*.

And at last, I shall cite one of many instances of purely visual interpretation, exempting the reader from the need of motor-muscular empathy into the image:

... *In un baleno* | *Dall'Olimpo calò* ('With lightning speed, | [Minerva] descends from Olympus – Monti, 81-90).

Further, Homer develops a simile comparing Athena coming to the earth, with a shooting star as a certain augury of fateful events, — with the star especially bright, scattering innumerable sparks all round. It is comprehensible that at the first reading such simile will cast away inevitable tectonically negative reflexes on the image of compared object. However, that to what degree the image itself justifies such reflexes is defined by what is traditionally called tertium comparationis or, in other words, by a sign serving as a basis for the mental rapprochement of different objects. The content of this sign is shown in two verses immediately following the simile:

ἐϊκυῖ' ἤϊξεν ἐπὶ χθόνα Παλλὰς Ἀθήνη, κὰδ δ' ἔθορ' ἐς μέσσον...

_

³⁰ V. also enhancing rhyme ...flight | ...height.

('Similar to it [i.e. "a shooting star"], Pallas Athena rushed onto the earth', | **jumped** down into the middle [i.e. "between the armies"]' - 78-9).

In his commentary to this passage, A. Zaicev noted: "In Homer, 'jumped down' (sprygnula) is the literal meaning' And really, the correct translation of the word $\xi\theta$ oos in the verse 79 is exactly such. Thus, in the given episode, Athena jumped down. Meanwhile, it is usually presupposed that the shooting star keeps falling and not jumps. But Homer intends to say that Athena of this episode is similar to such a star in her outward appearance (in this way, we must understand the word $\xi\theta$ oos, which defines her relation to the star). Consequently, according to Homer, the goddess appears as a shooting star in eyes of the terrified warriors, who perceived an ominous sign in its apparition. As to Athena herself, she is depicted here as a being, which performs the volitional muscular action opposed to the fall on the basis of activity/passivity.

How then our translators understood this relation of the goddess' phenomenon with her epiphenomenon?

By a majority of them, this opposition of activity and passivity is either disregarded or completely abolished in favour of passivity: in them, Athena, as well as the star representing her, doesn't jumps but falls, tumble down or merely descends, it being incomprehensible how, on the ground. Gnedič, for example, translates: *Pala v sredinu polkov* ('[She] fell amidst the hosts'); Pope, having obviously mingled the image of Athena with that of shooting star, renders: *Shot the bright goddess in a trail of light* (108). In Salel we read: ...*S'en descendit* (p. 114), in Paolo la Badessa: ...*Subito scese* (f. 26 v.) in Macpherson: ...*Swiftly descends* (p. 98). Lorenzo Valla conceives Athena's descent as a gliding: *In medio delapsa est* (p. 68), Bareste as a flight (*d'un vol rapide descend sur la terre*).

Meanwhile, instances of tectonically correct rendering are rare. Thus in Hobbes: Glad of the errand from the sky she leapt (68), in Stolberg: ...Sprang zwischen die Heere ('[Athena] jumped between the hosts' – 73).

Athena's finding out Pandarus, her approaching and addressing to him are rendered in the original by two following verses:

εὖφε Λυκάονος υίὸν ἀμύμονά τε κρατερόν τε ('[Athena] has found the son of Lykaon [who was] blameless and mighty' – 89); [further Pandarus standing between his companions-in-arms is depicted]. ἀγχοῦ δ' ἱσταμένη ἔπεα πτερόεντα προσηύδα ('having **stood still** beside [him, she] pronounced winged words' – 92).

Here we deal with an episode having analogy to that represented in the book II and considered here above ("The Second Journey"); there, however, it is Odysseus who occupies the place of Pandarus. Here as well as there, the only things Homer directly says is that Athena: a) saw her addressee, i.e. Pandarus; and b) stood still in front of him before addressing. What was between these two moments – viz. that the goddess already disguised as Antenor approaches Pandarus), – is mean t. As we may see, of two moments, dynamic (Athena approaches) and static (Athena stands still), Homer explicitly – $\alpha \gamma \chi o \tilde{v}$ δ' $(\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon v \eta^{32} - \text{mentions solely the second thus showing for this one.$

From their side, the majority of translators preferred to exchange this relation for the reverse, like in the case of treating of the analogous episode in the book II. In other words, in them Athena explicitly goes, implicitly stands still. Moreover, by many of that

This formular hemistich is also considered in the chapter "The second journey" (v. above).

-

³¹ Gomer. *Iliada*. Edited by A.I. Zaicev. Leningrad: Nauka. 1990. P. 451.

majority, even the indication of Athena's locomotion is verbalized so, that the mode of locomotion (here the walking) remains beyond the borders of direct meaning. As a result, all tectonic accents are eliminated. For example:

Lors s'approche la Deesse aux vers yeulx, | En lui disant... (Salel, p. 114);

A cui la Dea appressandosi gli disse... (Paolo la Badessa, f. 26 v.);

Minerve | *S'approche de Pandare* (Houdar de La Motte);

To his side the goddess came | And in wing'd accents ardent him bespake... (Cowper, 106-7);

And [Athena] near approaching, thus address'd the chief (Morrice, 90);

La déesse s'approchant de lui: M'en croiras tu, dit-elle (Bitaubé)³³;

Elle s'approche du guerrier et lui parle... (Dugas Montbel);

La déesse s'approche de ce héros et lui adresse ces paroles rapides... (Bareste).

Sometimes, the span between the initial moment of this episode (recognition of Pandarus) and the conclusive one (address to Pandarus) turns out to be filled by nothing. Accordingly, the reader conceives an unaccountable idea of the unmentioned action's easiness and insignificance:

Amidst the ranks Lycaon's son she found, | ... | To him the goddess: "..." (Pope, 119, 123);

There famed Lycaon's son the goddess found | ... | Then - thus address'd... (Sotheby, p. 111).

Another instance, from Beaumanoir, is interesting by that the physical moment (the stop) is replaced in it by the perceptual psychological (visual image instead the body):

...Se montre aux yeux de Pandarus ('[She]... appears [/shows herself] to the eyes of Pandarus' – p. 86).

And only quite rarely, the $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\chi\tilde{o}\tilde{\upsilon}$ δ' $\dot{\iota}\tilde{\sigma}\tau\alpha\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta$ occurs to be rendered correctly. One instance is from Gnedič:

Stav bliz nego, ustremila krylatye reči ('Having stood still, [she] directed winged speeches').

The Fourth Journey. The Purpose: To compel Ares, who helps the Trojans, to leave the battle field

The story of this event can be found in the book V. Incensed by the behaviour of Ares, who has meddled in the fight on side of Trojans, Hera calls Athena to part with her to the precincts of Ilion in order to prevent the war god from providing Trojans with victory. Hera equips horses whereas Pallas puts on her armour and arms herself with spear. Having stepped into the chariot, both goddesses, almost immediately after driving through the gate of Olympus, notice Zeus sitting on a high top and watching their ride. Then Hera informs him of their journey's purpose and begs him of approval. Zeus does not object to it; the one thing he demands of Hera is not to try to punish Ares by herself but let it be done by Athena more accustomed to fighting. Thereupon the goddesses continue their way. Having stopped the chariot not far from Troy, they are in a hurry to reach the battlefield. As soon as they come there, Hera with mighty voice urges the Achaeans to fight more bravely; meanwhile Athena finds out the wounded Diomedes, imparted to him hew strength for fighting and induces him to attack Ares. Together with Diomedes, she enters his chariot in capacity of a charioteer. Reached by Diomedes, Ares is wounded by him in the groin, so the war god is compelled to leave the combat for

³³ It is noteworthy that by the same Bitaubé, the beginning of Athena's way to Pandarus across the battle field is represented thus: *La déesse ... se glisse dans les rangs des Troyens* ('The goddess... slips into the ranks of Trojans'). Here once more we have to do with associating the image of deity with a gliding.

Olympus filling the air with horrible cries. At the same time, both goddesses also return debeing content with having attained their object.

In this episode, Homer communicated tectonic expression to several moments, of which the most notable is perhaps the goddesses' ride in the chariot. But since the moving force here is represented not by gods themselves but by gods' horses, the tectonics of them is liable to be considered somewhere outside of this research, while here it is necessary to dwell on two action elements:

- a) having left the chariot, Hera and Athena hurry to the battlefield (3.3.2. The approach to addressee);
- b) Athena mounts into the chariot (4.1. The preparation for execution of intention).

The first moment is noticeable by the translators' approach to it, whereas the second – by the approach of Homer himself. Let us begin with the first one, taking however into consideration that it has to do no less with Athena (now the object of our interest) than Hera:

αὶ δὲ βάτην τοήρωσι πελειάσιν ἴθμαθ' ὁμοῖαι ('They **stepped** similar to shy doves in **gait**' – 778).

Although here in the plane of literal sense, birds are represented, but, as we may see, it is not the flying birds at all. The flight motif is absent also in the plane of figurative sense; thus according to the original, the goddesses "stepped" ($\beta\acute{\alpha}\tau\eta\nu$) and, even if with shy, pigeon-like, but nevertheless "gait" ($i\theta\mu\alpha\theta\iota$). And surely, considering all the examples that were cited above, it is easy to take for granted that in their rendering of this passage, many translators remained true to themselves rather than to Homer. Here are several quotations confirming such assumption:

Smooth as the sailing doves they glide along (Pope, 971);

Through air they fly, like timid doves (Macpherson, vol. 1, p. 160);

Les deux divinités alloint d'un vol égal, | Telles qu'on voit planer des colombes rapide ('The two divinities went by equal flight | Like two doves, which quickly soar' – Dubois de Rochefort, 735-6);

Les deux Déesse volent sur la plaine avec la légèreté des colombes ('The two goddesses fly over the plain with the lightness of doves' – Leprince Lebrun);

Swift as her <u>pinions waft</u> the dove away | They sought the Grecians, ardent to begin (Cowper, 925-6);

Cependant les déesses... s'avancent comme deux colombes dont le vol égal et léger rase la terre ('Meanwhile the goddesses... advance like two doves, whose equal and light flying shaves the earth' – Bitaubé);

Swift as the dove <u>on wing</u>, the Jove-born maid | And Juno <u>flew</u>, the Argive host to aid – Sotheby, p. 174)³⁴

In two of these seven instances, the negative tectonics of flight motif is strengthened with terms of passive gliding: *smooth*, *sailing*, *glide* in Pope; *planer* in Dubois de Rochefort. However, neither such accentuated nor the more feebly marked and even merely atectonic rendering of this verse can be resulted from a supposedly

³⁴ This passage received tectonically negative interpretation also in the iconographic instruction of de Caylus. So there we read: "According to Homer, the two goddesses move away without touching the earth like two soaring doves (*Les deux Déesse... partent sans toucher la terre comme deux colombes qui planent, selon Homere*). And further, Caylus calls upon artists to paint the goddeses in this scene, however difficult it may be, exactly in this way, i.e. soaring over the earth, by relying here on the great poet's authority (p. 45). However, it was well known to many before Caylus that Homer has told nothing similar. Cf. e.g. the literal translation of this (778) Homeric verse by Divus: *Hae autem iuerunt timidis columbis passus similes* (Homeri poetarum omnium principis *Ilias*, Andrea Diuo Iustinopolitano interprete, ad verbum translata. Venetiis. 1537. P. 61 r.).

erroneous comprehension of it by the philology of those times. The meaning of that verse was comprehended in those days correctly enough. Some translations, and not only word-for-word as by Divus (v. n. 34) but two at least of poetic kind, clearly confirm it, for there the locomotion of metaphorical doves and, all the more, of goddesses themselves is unambiguously rendered as the walking. The one of these two translations, which is dated to the mid 16th c is elder than cited here, whereas the other, dated to the late 18th c is contemporary of most of them:

Partant de la, s'approcherent les belles | Du Camps Gregeois, semblans deux Colombelles | A leur marcher ('Parting thence, the beauties approach | The Grecian camp, similar to two pigeons | with their **step**' – Salel, p. 180);

Sie nun eilten dahin gleich schüchternen Tauben am Gange (,Now they hurried forth, very similar to shy doves by the **gait**' – Voss).

The next tectonically expressive moment in the fourth journey narration is the pointing out of loud crack produced by the chariot axle under the weight of Athena and Diomedes stepping into the chariot:

...μέγα δ' ἔβραχε φήγινος ἄξων βριθοσύνη: δεινὴν γὰρ ἄγεν θεὸν ἄνδρά τ' ἄριστον (The oak axle loudly groaned | under the weight, for it carried the goddess and noble man')

Thus, the main content of this expression is the casual dependence of axle's unusually strong deformation conditioned by the body weight of goddess mounted into the chariot as the charioteer. In any case, Athena's weight is here represented as decisive because, when one or another hero of the Iliad steps into the chariot accompanied by a mortal charioteer, such effect is never mentioned. This idea bears the logical stress, it is not an attendant detail and therefore here as well as in other such cases, it was especially difficult for translators to deviate from its correct reproduction. Hence there is no wonder that Athena's body weight is mentioned as the cause of the axle's sag in twelve³⁵ of fourteen cases established by me, in one (Gnedič³⁶) of them this connection is not mentioned directly although clearly implied and only in one case (Beaumanoir) the sag of axle is not indicated at all. However, among those twelve, there are two, who managed to lessen somehow the weight of goddess without any justification from the side of the original. Thus, here Sotheby called Athena mailed God, so inducing the reader to suppose that her ponderosity is not inherent but occasioned by heaviness of her armour, while Kostrov, in regard to Athena added letit v krovavyj boj ('flies into the bloody battle') and although he is certainly used *letit* ('flies') in figurative sense but nevertheless this expression lost nothing of its associative flight imagery.

Magnum gemuit tum faginus axis | Pondere tantorum divaeque virique gravatus (Hessus, p. 138); Le Chariot (pour la grande pesanteur | De la Deesse & du fort Combateur) | Ployoit dessoubz; & l'Essieu tres puissant | En fleschissoit soubs le Char gemissant (Salel, p. 183); The beechen tree did crack | Beneathh the burthen; and good cause, it bore so large a thing: | A goddess so replete with power, and such a puissant king (Chapman, 839-41); L'essieu gémit sous le poids, car il porte une Déesse terrible & un des plus grands guerriers (Dacier, vol. 1, p. 231); The groaning axle bent beneath the load; | So great hero and so great a god (Pope, 1033-4); Es stöhnte die buchene Achse | Unter der schrecklichen Göttin Last und des tapferen Helden (Stolberg, 820-1); Laut | Erseufzete die buchne Achse von | Der Last, die die Erhabne Tochter Zeus | Und die gewaltigste der Helden wog (Bürger, 1050-3); L'essieu plie & gémit sous ces deux grands fardeaux (Dubois de Rochefort, 790); Loud groan'd the beechen axle ,under weight | Unwonted, for it bore into the fight | A awful Goddess and the chief of men (Cowper, 997-9); Pod tjažestiju os' so treskom vostenala | Boginja bo ee s geroem otjagčala (Kostrov, 1033-4); Laut stöhnte die buchene Achse | lastvoll tragend den tapfersten Mann und die schreckliche Göttin (Voss); The beechen axle groan'd beneath the load | Of Grecia's leader and the mailed God (Sotheby, v. 1, p. 177).

³⁶ Užasno dubovaja os' zastonala | Zevsa pod''javšaja groznuju dščer' i chrabrejšego muža.

The Fifth Journey. The Purpose: To stop the successful onslaught of Trojans

This journey as well as its outcome is described by Homer in book VII of the Iliad. Athena having seen from the height of Olympus that the Trojans destroy the Achaeans in fierce battle (2.1. The origin of intention to depart), rushes to Troy (3.1. The departure and 3.2. The passing of the way) in order to stop the slaughter. Having arrived there, she comes to terms with Apollo, who also arrived here, that the battle must be ceased. They put this idea into the mind of a Trojan seer Helenus, who persuades Hector to challenge one of Achaeans to a duel and with it to put an end to the protracted battle. Hector's challenge is accepted by Ajax Telamonides. In this duel, Ajax's victory is already near but the coming of night separates the fighters and both armies leave the battlefield (4. The execution of intention). As to Athena's return on Olympus (6. The way back), the reader learns it only from the beginning of next, VIII book, wher she is mentioned as present at the gods' gathering (7. The recommencement of initial situation).

The text relating this Athena's journey contains one verse, which is definitely significant in respect of tectonics:

```
βῆ ῥα κατ' Οὐλύμποιο καρήνων ἀΐξασα ('[Athena] stepped rushing from the tops of Olympus – 19).
```

After all that is stated above, there is no need to point out specially the tectonically positive accent of this formular verse; here we may to apply directly to the question of what early modern translators have made of this accent. It must be said right away that these translators either disregarded it or replaced it by a contrary, i.e. tectonically negative one.

A good instance of the former approach, i.e. of tectonic neutralization, is contained in Italian translation:

Dall'Olimpo calossi impetuosa ('[Athena] impetuously sank from Olympus' – Monti, 22).

Thus here it is told only about the speed and perhaps also about the locomotion trajectory (the verb *calarsi* can point out the verticality of sinking), while nothing is said about the mode of locomotion.

Near degree of abstraction from characteristics, which are inessential for the narratve as such reach interpretations of the verse by Chapman ($From\ high\ Olympus'\ top\ she\ stoop'd-18$) and Dugas Montbel ($Minerve...\ descend\ des\ sommets\ de\ l'Olympe$, 'Minerva... descends from tops of the Olympus'). Strange as it may seem, Pope being inclined to negatively tectonic fancies perhaps more than any other Homer's translator, rendered here Athena's motion with the same minimum of image-bearing definiteness:

From vast Olympus to the gleaming plain | Fierce she descends... (24-5)

However, Pope recompenses himself for his poetic abstention straight after, in the second hemistich of the latter verse:

...Apollo marked her flight.

But in the original, this phrase has no correspondence: it is said there (20) that Apollo rushed on to meet Athena (τῆ δ' ἀντίος ὤρνυτ' Ἀπόλλων), whereas there is not a hint of flight proper.

An analogous kind of compensation, though within the limits of the same verse translation, is used by Bitaubé. While beginning with the words *elle descend* ('she descends'), he immediately adds the tectonically negative modifier of manner *d'un vol impétueux* ('with an impetuous flight'. In Leprince Lebrun, it is Apollo, who calls Athena's motion by the word *vol* ('flight'): *Pourquoi d'un vol rapide descend-tu de l'Olympe?* ('Why do you descend from Olympus with rapid *flight?*'). Meanwhile in

Homer, Apollo asking Athena this question, defines her arrival at Troy simply: $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta$ ες $\dot{\alpha}\pi'$ Οὐλύμποιο ("You come from Olympus' – 25).

As to Bareste, he in the spirit of negative tectonics readjusted the Dugas Montbel's tectonically neutral translation (cited here above): *Minerve... descend des sommets de l'Olympe...* ('Minerva descends from the tops of Olympus...') by adding to this: ...et dirige son vol vers les murs sacrées d'Ilion ('...and directs her flight to the sacred walls of Ilion').

Still more definite in his tectonic figurativeness is Sotheby:

The Goddess downward from the Olympian height, | To consecrated Ilion <u>wing'd</u> her flight – vol 1, p. 214).

The same flight motif though expressed more laconically is available in Dubois de Rochefort ('Du sommet de l'Olympe elle vole à Scamandre – 17), in Cowper ([Minerva] flew down to the sacred Ilium – 11-2), in Stolberg (Eilend schwebt sie herab von den Gipfeln des hohen Olympos – 20). Voss renders this formular verse with also a formular one but, like other translators, decidedly replaces the positive tectonics of original by negative: Stürmenden Flugs entflog³⁷ sie den Felsenhöhn des Olympos ('With stormy flight she flew away from the rocky heights of Olympus')³⁸.

This descent of Athena is as usually depicted in the form of flight also by Malipiero: *Minerva... a volo precipitò dal Cielo in Troia antica* ('Minerva... rushed with *flight* from the Heaven into the age-old Troy' – p. 90). As regards Lorenzo Valla, this time he used the motif of gliding instead of that of flight: ... *protinus ad sacram Troiam de caelo delapsa est* ('[Minerva] immediately slipped down from the Heaven'').

The Sixth Journey. The Purpose: To strengthen the body and spirit of Achilles, by having satiated him with ambrosia instead of ordinary food, which he rejects in mourning for Patroclus

The short account of this momentary journey is to be found in the book XIX. While deploring the loss of his faithful friend Patroclus fallen in the battle, Achilles rejects the food and pines away. Having noticed that from Olympus' height Zeus sends Athena on the earth with the command not to let the strongest Achaean hero starve himself to death (2.2. The address of dispatching deity to would-be-dispatched with an errand). After her arrival to Troy (3. The way to a place of destination), Athena penetrates into the tent of Achilles and being invisible to him sprinkles his breast with nectar and ambrosia, which restore the hero's strength (4.3. The execution of errand). Immediatelly after, Athena departs back to Olympus (6. The way back).

The translators' rendering of this episode is of interest for us only due to two action moments that respond to items: 3. The way to a place of destination: and 4.3. The execution of errand. As regards the address of Zeus to Athena (2.2. The address of dispatching deity to would-be-dispatched with an errand), almost no one of this address' translations considered by me contains tectonically negative interpretations and in this regard they are more or less correct. It is only Hessus' translation, which stands out against the common background: in it the appeal $i\theta_1$ ('go!', 'walk!') addressed to Athena by Zeus is rendered as *delabere* (literally 'slide off!').

The picture of Athena's descent from Olympus is worded by Homer in this way:

³⁷ While translating above the formular correspondences of this verse (II. 167; IV. 74), Voss uses *Schwungs* instead of *Flugs*. On this occasion however, the semanteme of flight is twice repeated (*Flugs entflog*), stressing thus the flight idea.

³⁸ In last five examples and in that from Chapman, which are quoted here, the height of Athena's departure point (Olympus' top) is explicitly pointed out in spite of the original. Also it reinforces the idea of flight.

η δ' ἄρπη ἐϊκυῖα τανυπτέρυγι λιγυφώνω οὐρανοῦ ἐκκατεπᾶλτο δι' αἰθέρος...

('Like a shrill-voiced falcon widely spreading wings, she **jumped** down from the Heaven through the ether' -350-1).

Thus, the poet has compared Athena with flying bird and, by having done it, he gave his translators occasion to represent Athena herself as flying. The question however may be put: whether this occasion was a sufficient reason for such a transfer of flight motif from significant to significate? As we know, sometimes Homer himself in the same Iliad provides the expanded similes for various warriors, in which the images of flying birds³⁹ and other winged creatures⁴⁰ are vehicles, not meaning at all that these warriors fly or are able to fly! Nonetheless, there were at least four translators, who found it appropriate to take this occasion to indulge in poetic license. Athena in Stolberg *flog von Olympos herab durch die Lüfte* (*'flew...* from Olympus down through the air space' – 350), in Morrice through aether down... <u>flew</u> – 353), in Pope to great Achilles... her <u>flight</u> address'd (374), in Gnedič s neba sletela po vosduchu ('flew down through the air')⁴¹.

Two Latin translators, Lorenzo Valla and Hessus chose the other way of translating. Having associated Athena's locomotion with gliding, they interpreted it as passive, not requiring special muscular efforts: resp.: *coelo delabitur* and *per auras* | *Labitur*.

The return of Athena onto Olympus is shown in Homer thus:

```
αὐτὴ δὲ πρὸς πατρὸς ἐρισθενέος πυκινὸν δῶ ῷχετο... ('She to the firmly built house of mighty father | went/walked' -355-6).
```

How then rendered translators the Homeric $\mathring{\omega}\chi\epsilon\tau o$ ('went', 'walked') in respect to Athena?

As to the French ones, a series of them beginning with Jamyn (16th c) did it by means of the verb *revoler* whose principal meaning is 'to fly back':

Puis elle revola vers le stable maison | De son pere puissant ('Then she flew back to the durable house | Of her mighty father' – Salel & Jamyn, f. 306 v.);

La déesse revole à céleste palais ('The goddess flies back to heavenly palace' – Leprince Lebrun);

Puis elle revole au palais éternel du plus puissant des dieux ('Thereupon she flies back to the eternal palace of the most mighty of gods' – Bitaubé);

Soudain la déesse revole dans les palais de son glorieux père ('The goddess immesdiately flies back into the palaces of her glorious father' – Dugas Montbel).

Meanwhile, Cowper supplements the flight motif with the motif of gliding, thus lowering still more the tectonic tonus of walking Athena's original image.

... Then soar'd again | To her great Sire's unperishing abode $(434-5)^{42}$.

³⁹ For example, Hector bursting into an Achaean ship is compared to an eagle attacking a flock of peaceful birds (XV. 690-3); Patroclus pursuing Trojans, which run away, – to a falcon chasing doves and jackdaws (XVI. 582-4); Trojans coming into a battle – to migrating cranes (III. 3-5).

The Achaean army is compared to a swarm of bees (II. 87-91); fighting Achaeans – to disturbed wasps and bees (XII. 167-70) as well as to swarming wasps attacking men (XVI. 259-66) etc.

⁴¹ Already early 20th c, Lagerlöf in similar way will render here the nature of Athena's motion: *Flög hon från himmelen ner genom etern* ('She flew from the Heaven through the ether').

⁴² Here it may be of interest to note an accentuating of *soar'd* through the alliteration with *Sire's*.

Seventh Journey. The Purpose: To participate in the battle between the gods favourable and the gods hostile to Trojans

According to the book XX (20-30), Zeus ordered all the Olympian gods, both propitious to the Trojans and those who help the Achaeans, to depart from Olympus to Troy in order to take part in fighting, each on that side, which is dear to him or her; meanwhile Zeus himself stays on Olympus to watch the events from above. It is also Athena who, together with others came to the battlefield. She not only interferes in the fight, as usually on the Achaean side, but successfully fights Aphrodite sympathizing with the Trojans. the coming back of all gods except Apollo onto the Olympus is related already in the book XXI (518); the return of Athena is not mentioned but implied.

As a matter of fact, there are no tectonically significant moments in this narration; so now we can omit it and go over to

The Eighth (and Last) Journey. The Purpose: To induce Hector by deception to enter single combat with Achilles in order to ruin the former

Book XXII, devoted to the fight of Hector and Achilles resulting in the former's death, narrates in particular how Athena is sent by Zeus to Troy and in the guise of Hector's brother Deiphobus appears to Hector, running away from Achilles, how then she deceptively promises Hector assistance, thus inducing him to accept Achilles' challenge.

Particular attention must be paid here to the tectonic interpretation of three moments of this episode by the translators:

- a) words said by Zeus while he sends Athena from Olympus (2.3. The formulation of an errand);
 - b) Athena's shifting from Olympus to Troy (3.2. The passing of the way);
- c) Athena's stops before the participants of forthcoming combat, first in front of Achilles and then in front of Hector (4.2. The getting-ready for execution of errand).

Prompting Athena to act, Zeus utters these words:

ἔοξον ὅπη δή τοι νόος ἔπλετο, μηδέ τ' ἐρώει ('Do according to your mind and don't linger' -185).

Zeus' words are obviously devoid of any tectonic figurativeness. The abstract nature of this expression is observed also in all translations taken by me into account except one, this one being Dugas Montbel's translation, where Zeus as usually urges Athena to "fly":

Vole où te porte ta pensée n'hésite pas ('Fly wither your thought carries you')⁴³

Lesser dependence on the original must be noticed in translators, when they interpret the moment of Athena's shifting to Troy. In Homer it is represented by the formular verse already familiar to us through other contexts:

βῆ δὲ κατ' Οὐλύμποιο καρήνων ἀΐξασα ('[Athena] **stepped** rushing from the tops of Olympus' –187).

⁴³ On the plane of direct or literal meaning, the weightless (*pensé*) can be carrier of Athena. What then may the weight of Athena, the carried, be imagined?

Positive tectonics of Homer's predicate $\beta\tilde{\eta}$ is here on hand. Nonetheless the Latin translators, Lorenzo Valla and Hessus, replaced it by negative tectonics of their favourite gliding motif:

Minervam... lapsam a coeli vertice ('...Minerva, who *glided* from the summit of Heaven' – Lorenzo Valla, p. 401);

... Tenues quae lapsa per auras... ('... Who having glided along the unsteady air...' – Hessus, p. 545).

In later translations, however, the flight motif appears:

Swift at the mandate pleased Tritonia flies (Pope, 241);

La déesse impatiente vole aux murs d'Ilion ('The goddess impatient flies to the walls of Ilion' – Leprince Lebrun

And from the heights Olympian down she <u>flew</u> (Cowper, 817);

...Descend du haut des cieux d'un vol précipité ('...Descends from the height of heavens with a headlong flight' – Bitaubé).

The series of other translators – we must do justice to them – showed here more restraint in respect of tectonics, but they also remained far from the tectonic adequacy. For example, Monti gave here the peculiar varation of tectonically neutral rendering by applying the verb *spiccarsi* ('to get detached') to the begin of Athena's motion: ...Dall'olimpie cime impetuosa | spicossi e scese ('...From Olympian summits [she] swiftly | get detached and descened' – 237-8). As to Hobbes, he replaced the Homeric 'stepped' by his own *leapt*, may be on the analogy of other Homer's verses that relate Athena's descents from Olympus (e.g. IV, 79),but anyhow having added the semantema of at least shortest hanging in air, which is absent here, to the semantics of the predicate:

Athena glad leapt down to Troy (187).

Having arrived, Athena by turns addresses Achilles and Hector, giving them both the promise to help in the single combat: for Achilles her promise is sincere, for Hector – hypocritical. Depicting the approach of Athena to these addressees, Homer as usually puts the accent on the static end of her motion, the stop. The approach to Achilles is represented thus:

Πηλεΐωνα δ' ἵκανε θεὰ γλαυκῶπις Ἀθήνη,

άγχοῦ δ' ἱσταμένη ἔπεα πτερόεντα προσηύδα:

('The bright-eyed goddess Athena reached Peleion; | having **stood still** beside [him, she] pronounced winged words' – 214-5).

Athena's approach to Hector is rendered similarly:

η δ' ἄρα τὸν μὲν ἔλειπε, κιχήσατο δ' Έκτορα δῖον

Δηϊφόβω ἐϊκυῖα δέμας καὶ ἀτειρέα φωνήν:

άγχοῦ δ' ἱσταμένη ἔπεα πτερόεντα προσηύδα:

('And now she left him and reached divine Hector,| seeming similar to Deiphobus by the body form and mighty voice; | having **stood still** beside [him, she] pronounced winged words' – 226-8).

The approach of Athena is presented here as distinctly divided in two moments: *a*) the approach as the process and *b*) the approach as the result i.e. the stop. The stress on the latter marks a dignity of goddess expressed by the standing (or statuary) posture, which for Homer is the aesthetic value in itself and at the same time a full-weight symbol of the supreme sacral value. Meanwhile, to judge from the disregard shown by early modern translators for the static moment in these two passages, the dynamic aspect

attracted them much more. In any case, the corresponding passages of translations the approach as the process is rendered explicitly, whereas the approach as the result is only implied.

Here are several examples of such interpretation:

War's queen came to resolve the strife | In th' other's [i.e. Achilles'] knowledge: "Now," said she: ... (Chapman, 184-5); ... Then came close the changed deity | To Hector, like Deiphobus in shape and voice, and said: ... (idem, 194-5);

...And t' Achilles came | Athena nigh, and speaking to him, said: ... (Hobbes, 207-8); To Hector Pallas came. | ... | And when she with him was, she to him said: ... (idem, 218, 221);

Fierce Minerva <u>flies</u> | To stern Pelides and triumphing cries:... (Pope, 277-8); By hapless Hector's side | Approach'd, and greets him thus:... (idem, 293-4);

Pallas vole au fils de Pelée:... ('Pallas flies to the sun of Peleus [the speech of Athena follows right away]' – Leprince Lebrun); La déesse... vole auprès d'Hector ('The goddess... flies towards Hector [the speech of Athena follows right away]' – idem);

Then blue-eyed Pallas hasting to the son | Of Peleus, in wing'd accents him address'd:... (Cowper, 250-1); But she, | (Achilles left) to noble Hector pass'd, | And in the form, and with the voice loud-toned | Approaching of Deiphobus, his ear | In accents, as of pity, thus address'd:... (idem, 263-7);

Et Pallas s'approchant... du fils de Pelée... dit-elle... ('And Pallas approaching... the son of Peleus...says... 44' – Bitaubé); La déesse... joint Hector ('The goddess... comes nearer to Hector [the speech of Athena follows right away]' – idem);

Alors la Déesse Pallas accourt auprès du fils de Pelée, et lui dit ces paroles:... ('Then the goddess Pallas speeds towards the son of Peleus and says him these words:...' – Dugas Montbel); Alors, près du héros, elle lui adresse ces paroles:... ('Then, being beside the hero [i.e. Hector], she addresses him in these words:...' – idem);

Minerve... s'avance près d'Achille et lui adresse ces paroles rapides:... ('Minerva... advances nearer to Achilles and addresses him in these rapid words:...' (Bareste); La déesse s'avance vers Hector... puis... elle dit au fils de Priam:... ('The goddess advances nearer towards Hector... then... she says to the son of Priam:...' – idem).

It would be advisable to note that in three of the instances quoted above (in one from Pope and in two from Leprince Lebrun) the motion of Athena towards her addressee is rendered with the verbs signifying the state of flight: resp. *flies* and *vole*. One cannobt exclude of course that both these verbs are used there in the metaphorical sense, namely 'quickly goes', 'runs'; in any case the context meaning makes such comprehension quite plausible. But anyway such comprehension strengthens the dynamic aspect at the expence of the static one. Besides, it cannot be forgotten that the text we are considering now is a poetic one, so having the power to revive (actualize) direct meanings of metaphors. Thus, as applied to the three mentioned translating passages, it means that if on the narrative plane Athena runs, on the plane of poetic connotations she nonetheless flies.

It was rather Voss, who came near to the Homeric tectonics. He represented the Athens's approach to both heroes in this twice repeated verse:

Nahe trat sie hinan und sprach die geflügelten Worte ("She **stepped** near and spoke the winged words").

The case with the translation of Gnedič, which seems to have rendered the tectonics of Homer correctly in episode with Hector (*Stala pred nim I krylatye reči*

⁴⁴ S'approchant and dit-elle – the adverbial and the predicate, both are given in present tense, thus favouring the impression of simultaneity of the two actions, i.e. Athena's approaching and the addressing, between which the stop faints passing from the field of imaginable into the field of thinkable.

kovarno veščala, '[She] **stood still** before him and insidiously spoke the winged words'), but failed to do the same while rendering the Athena's address to Achilles (*Blizko prišla i emu proveščala krylatye reči*, '[She] came nearby and spake him the winged words'). Thus, the Homeric tectonics became devoid of its main, moral sense. Because, in the episode where Athena speaks truth, the positive tectonics of of the original is almost neutralized (*blizko prišla*, 'came nearby' instead of $\alpha\gamma\chi$ 00 δ' $\alpha\chi$ 00 δ'

ATHENA IN THE ODYSSEY

The First Journey. The Purpose: To send Telemachus on the search of his father

The book one tells how the goddess being present at the gods' conference presided over by Zeus (1. The initial situation) expresses to the supreme Olimpian her concern about the fate of Odysseus (2.1. The origin of intention to depart), who, after long and dangerous wanderings by sea, languishes for seven years in captivity on the island of nymph Calypso, all the while pining for his native Ithaca, for his wife Penelope and unique son Telemachus. Zeus makes it clear to Athena that he shares her anxiety. Then Athena taking his words as an encouragement to act, communicates her intention to depart to Ithaca for the purpose of meeting Telemachus (2.3. The formulation of an intention) and persuading him to preparate all for the father's return. Immediately after, the goddess puts on her magical velocity sandals, equips herself with arms (3.1.1. The preparation for departure) and goes from Olympus to Ithaca (3.1.3. The departure properly). There, in the guise of Mentes, the king of the Taphians, she stands still on the threshold of Odysseus' house (3.3.1. The landing), while Telemachus noticing the newcomer invites Athena inside to the banquet table (3.3.2. The approach to addressee). Sitting at the banquet hall beside Telemachus, she listen to his account of the lost father and outrages arbitrarily committed in father's house by suitors of his wife Penelope. Then Athena advises Telemachus to appeal to all Penelpe's suitors to withdraw on time from the house of Odvsseus as though in order to give Penelope the opportunity of choosing from them one most worthy to become her husband, Athena also advises Telemachus afterwards to leave Ithaca for Pylos and Sparta with the object of questioning their kings, Nestor and Menelaus about the destiny of his father. Immediately after inspiring him resolution to do so (5. The reaction of addressee), Athena disappears (6.1. The departure on the way back) with such great swiftness that Teemachus comes to conclusion, the man with whom he now spoke is a deity.

In respect of tectonics, four moments of this episode are deserving attention:

- a) Athena's preparation for departure from Olympus to Ithaca;
- b) her departure;
- c) her stop on the threshold of Odysseus' house;
- d) her disappearance.

The first moment (Athena's preparation) is, properly speaking, identical with two narratively analogous moments, although concerning not Athena but Hermes: one in the Iliad (XXIV), another in Odyssey (V); that's why it will be more expedient to consider them all together, in a special part.

As to the second moment, it is represented by the formular verse we have dealt with above:

βῆ δὲ κατ' Οὐλύμποιο καρήνων ἀΐξασα ('[Athena] **stepped** rushing from the tops of Olympus' -187).

Whithout binding themselves by the rule to render a formular verse through a formulare verse, translators of our interest also here produce peculiar versions of the given passage. And the majority of them (though not everyone, of course)⁴⁵ are inclined to disregard the passage's tectonic hers accent. But whereas some simply bring it to naught, others, more audacious have recourse to the negative tectonics, especially dear to their innermost ideal of beauty.

Thus, Griffolini rendering the nature of Athena's motion, uses as predicate the verb *labor*, loved by Homer's Latin translators. In him, Athena *labitur autem concita a coeli vertice* ('glides swiftly from the Heaven's top' – f. 2 r.; also noteworthy is a certain semantic shade of passivity inherent of the word *concita* as primarily the passive participle of the verb *concieo*).

While Griffolini clearly points out, how (according to his ideas) Athena advances, Chapman speaks only of her advance's beginning. But already this beginning is represented – significantly enough – as the lunge with head forward, i.e. in a posture evidently breaking the body equilibrium, whereas any mention of a force that could restore the lost equilibrium is absent: *Down from Olympus' tops she <u>headlong div'd</u>* (169).

Another English interpretor, Pope combines the dynamic motif of disturbed equilibrium with the motif of flight so usual for him: *From high Olympus prone her flight she bends* (132).

For his part Dubois de Rochefort does not use the motif of lost equilibrium but instead accentuates the flight motif by a concretizing indication of flight instrument, the wings: *Elle part aussitôt & d'une aile légère* | *Descend aux bords d'Ithaque* ('She departs immediately and *with light wing* | Descends on the shores of Ithaca' – 124-5).

Lemnius, meanwhile, restricts the expression of his tectonic fantasy solely to the flight motif: ...uolat summo de culmine coeli ('...flies from the highest top of Heaven' – p. 7). The same is done by Schaidenreisser, Cowper and Bitaubé. But whereas Schaidenreisser and Cowper as well as Lemnius express the flight idea through one word with suitable direct meaning – these are uolat in Lemnius, fliehend in Schaidenreisser (f. 2r.), flew in Cowper (127), – Bitaubé uses more complicated, descriptive form the highest top of Heaven' – p. 7). The same is done by Schaidenreisser and Cowper as well as Lemnius express the flight idea through one word with suitable direct meaning – these are uolat in Lemnius, fliehend in Schaidenreisser (f. 2r.), flew in Cowper (127), – Bitaubé uses more complicated, descriptive form the highest top of Heaven' – p. 7).

Un rapide vol la précipite des sommets de l'Olympe ('A *rapid flight rushes her* from the tops of Olympus).

Among the tanslations of this passage, which neutralize the original tectonics, the La Valterie's translation is perhaps the most interesting:

On la vit aussi-tost... passer au dessus des mers, & descendre au Palais de Pénélope ('One sees her right away... passing above the seas and descending at the Palace of Penelope').

It seems that in this passage the negative tectonics is on hand: Athena's motion is wordily fixed while her hanging in the air or else in the most unstable position. It is certainly so, but at the same time more complicated. The fact is that in this phrase, the figure of Athena proper ceases to be the object of infeeling; the empathy focus is transferred here from her to an indeterminate eye-witness, who is seeing (on la vit aussi-tost) how the goddess rapidly floats through the air. Generally speaking, the wordy image of Athena is replaced by the wordy image of her visual image, which by itself is tectonically indifferent. Thus, tectonically negative accent is here supplemented by tectonically neutral one.

The stop of Athena in front of Odysseus' house is represented in the poem as follows:

⁴⁵ Tectonically correct rendering can be found, for example, in Žukovskij's translation; *Burno s veršiny Olimpa v Itaku šagnula boginja*, although the word *burno* (literally 'like a storm') introduces here some detectinizing accent. ⁴⁶ This form (*un rapide vol la précipite*) is also remarkable by presenting the factual agent of the moment, which is Athena, as a patient and so additionally confirming the common tendency of our translators towards passivization of Homeric body images.

στῆ δ' Ἰθάκης ἐνὶ δήμω ἐπὶ προθύροις Ὀδυσῆος, οὐδοῦ ἐπ' αὐλείου: παλάμη δ' ἔχε χάλκεον ἔγχος

('... Stood still on the land of Ithaca, in the porch of Odysseus' house, on the threshold of court-yard; in her hand [she] held a brazen spear' – 103-4).

Here there are two word-combinations that are of interest from the viewpoint of their tectonic interpretation given by early modern translators: $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \dots o \tilde{v} \delta o \tilde{v} \tilde{\epsilon} \pi' \alpha \tilde{v} \lambda \epsilon i o v$ ('stood still... on the threshold of court-yard') and $\pi\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\delta'$ exe $\chi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\kappa\epsilon\sigma\nu$ eyxos ('in her hand [she] held a brazen speer'). The first of them is tectonically expressive by itself, since it explicitly presents Athena standing on the firm support of threshold, an object whose spatial limits accentuate the static moment (cf. plinth of a statue); another one is interesting as having become a subject of interpretations arbitrary in tectonical respect.

In interpretations of the first word-combination the following atectonizing moment can be stated:

- 1. The direct indication of Athena's stop is disregarded or replaced by another one: Peletier du Mans, Gonzalo Pérez, La Valterie, Pope, Dubois de Rochefort, Bitaubé.
- 2. The indication of the threshold as a support of stopping Athena. Here two variations are distinguishable;
- a) the threshold is not mentioned at all: Raffaello Maffei, Gonzalo Pérez⁴⁷, Chapman, Malipiero, La Valterie, Hobbes, Pope, Dubois de Rochefort, Bitaubé;
- b) the threshold is mentioned, however not as a support but as a place, before which Athena stops: Francesco Florido (Descendit Pallas... prope limen Ullyssis – f. 2v.-3r.), Žukovskij (Tam na dvore, u poroga dverej Odisseeva doma | Stala ona – 102-2).
- 3. The both are disregarded: Peletier du Mans, Gonzalo Pérez, La Valterie, Pope, Dubois de Rochefort, Bitaubé.

As to the second of two mentioned word-combinations, namely $\pi\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\delta'$ $\xi\chi\epsilon$ χάλκεον ἔγχος ('in her hand [she] held a brazen spear'), it proved to be the stumbling-block for at least four translators, who misinterpreted it in the spirit of negative tectonics. Nevertheless, this interpretative error is of especial interest, because she replaces the Homeric tectonics of stable body not by the effect of lightness and flight, repeatedly noted above, but by that of instability. In Francesco Florido we read: Vestibulo adstiterat, gravis hastae robore nixa ('[She] stood still at the porch, *leaning* on the firm shaft of spear' – f. 3r.). The same but in other words is said in Lemnius: ...ualida tum constitit hasta ('...leaned on the mighty spear⁴⁸' – p. 7). Also Chapman translates these words in the same spirit: She... for her breast's support, | Leaned on her iron lance (172-3). These three are seconded by Bodmer: Stand... | Vor Ulysses Palast, auf den Spieß von Eisen gelehnet (,[She] stood... | At the Ulysses' palace, leaning on the javelin of iron' – p. 6.

The account of Athena's first journey in the Odyssey is concluded with the following passage:

...ἀπέβη γλαυκῶπις Ἀθήνη, ὄρνις δ' ῶς ἀνόπαια διέπτατο...

⁴⁷ In Gonzalo Pérez' translation of this passage, the wordy image of Athena is replaced by the wordy image of her visual perceptual image, what makes tectonical indifference still more appreciable: Parose ante las puertas del *palacio* (f. 4v.).

48 Literally 'stood still by spear'; *hasta* is here ablativus instrumenti.

(The bright-eyed Athena moved away (literally 'stepped back'), | flew away like an unseen⁴⁹ bird' -319-20).

Here there are two important words, or else a couple of grammatically analogous verbal predicates forming a kind of chiasmus (ring) that closes the quoted phrase on both sides. Being almost identical grammatically, both words are also very similar by degree of their tectonic significance. But only by degree as such, because the concrete tectonic sense distinctly opposes them to each other. In respect of tectonics, one of them is positive ('stepped back'), while the other negative ('flew'); thus, here we have to do with a typical case of paronomasia, i.e. contrariety of signifieds coming out against the background of similarity of signifiers.

Why did Homer combine so different and seemingly even incompatible characteristics of one and the same motion? Are they really incompatible?

According to an established tradition, Homer is the father of Hellenic poetry as well as of Greek literature as a whole. Of course, the grounds of this idea are weighty enough to be accepted, but it does not abolish the fact that the founder himself of literature had rested upon a certain minutely elaborated, regulated and authoritative system of the oral poetic creation.

What had been this creation? To judge of that system, when the question is about something specific or about details, is difficult, if not impossible at all. But when it is asked of the generic features which it had in common with poetic traditions of other peoples' oral epics, one can perhaps understand something through analoges. So it becomes conceivable that the poetry of aoidoi as traditionalist by nature abounded not only with archaic formulae but also with atavistic topica, especially where the question is about the subjects of religion. Besides the other such subjects, it regards also deities as totemic animals. In Protogreeks' eyes, Athena, for instance, was not only represented by the image of motionless owl as it became later for Athenians of Pericles' time (cf. that on the reverse of Atheian coins); in a sense, she herself was an owl⁵⁰, therefore her mental associating with the flight in preHomeric oral tradition must have been quite natural. Besides, if we take into account the highly probable connection of this tradition with the legacy of oral poetry of Mycenaean time, that very time whose aesthetics having the Minoan roots was penetrated with the spirit of negative tectonics, it must seem quite permissible to suppose the flight of deity – of Athena in particular – to be usual motif of preHomeric oral poetry.

Could Homer, for all his innovation remaining a poet inseparably linked with the whole complex of preceding traditions, be able to renounce exactly this tradition? It is doubtful. Most likely, it was the only exit from such a situation open to him as an innovative poet in traditionalist milieu: to search for a compromise the most acceptable to his aesthetics of dense and stable corporeity.

While considering above the Agamemnon's account of Dream's visit to him in Book I of the Iliad, we have seen that a word with the meaning of flight is only there and only once applied to the locomotion of sleepy visions' deity, whereas the same locomotion is represented as pedestrian six times. But that once, when the locomotion of Dreem is represented as the flight, takes place just in the Agamemnon's account and thus it renders the humanly imperfect vision of divinity's high speed movement.

The same, double vision rather is also discernible in the considered passage. The point is that immediately after the words about Athena's flying away (ὄρνις δ' ὡς ἀνόπαια διέπτατο), it follows the description of Telemachus' emotional reaction to what he has seen and is communicated that so rapid disappearance convinced him of his recent inerlocutor's divine nature. So it seems very possible that also here as well as in the account of Agamemnon's dream,

⁴⁹ The question of right interpretation of ἀνόπαια, one of Homeric hapax legomena, remains open since the time of antiquity. Most often, it is roughly translated as 'invisibly', 'through the smoke hole / the window', 'into the air aloft'. As regrds my own translation of this word, I don't insist on it; it is merely hypothetical. ⁵⁰ "Athena is the owl-eyed. Hense she herself was an owl somewhere". Losev A.F. *Op. cit.* P. 293.

but more sharply, Homer contrasted his own idea of deity's locomotion with its human vision, unprepared and hence inappropriate⁵¹.

Be that as it may, the availability of one word signifying the flight makes this excerpt unlike the overwhelming majority of those Homeric passages, which we already have considered and intend to consider. That's why, in contrast to the majority of other instances, there is no reason to perceive here any display of predilection alien to Homer in that, while rendering the given passage, translators have chosen words nearly identical to Homeric $\delta\iota \acute{\epsilon}\pi\tau \alpha\tau o$ ('flew away') in meaning. What is revealing here is disregarding the first of two predicates, namely $\mathring{\alpha}\pi\acute{\epsilon}\beta\eta$ ('stepped back') asunambiguously positive in respect of tectonics. Moorover, disregard for that here and there is accompanied by tectonically negative accents.

Here are several examples:

....Aui similis ab oculis euolans... ('...Flying away out of sight...' – Griffolini, f. 3r.); Vt auis anopaea euolando disparuit ('Flying away, [she] disappeared like the bird anopaea' – Raffaello Maffei, p. 13);

Vtque Anopaea uolans se sustulit ('Like the flying Anopaea, she sped away' – Francesco Florido, f. 6v.);

Spiegò a guisa d'un Aquila inuisibile nel volo gl'ali, e gli sparì dagl' occhi ('In the guise of an eagle invisible in flight, [she] spread the wings and disappeared out of sight' – Malipiero, p. 9);

... Comme l'oiseau Anopee s'en volle | Legerement ('Like the bird Anopy [she] lightly flies away' – Peletier du Mans, f. 17r.);

La Déesse le quitte et s'envole comme un oiseau ('The Goddess lieves him and flies away like a bird' – Dacier⁵²

Assitôt, comme un aigle élancé vers la la nue, | Elle franchit les airs, disparoît à sa vue ('Right away, like an eagle rushing towards the cloud | She cleaves the air, disappears out of his [j.e. Telemachus'] sight' – Dubois de Rochefort, 371-2);

Minerve s'échappe, et s'envole comme un oiseau qui se perd dans la nue ('Minerva moves off and flies away like a bird disappearing in the cloud' – Dugas Montbel);

Eilend | *Flug wie ein Vogel sie durch den Kamin* ('Swiftly | She flew like a bird through the smoke hole' – Voss);

Zevesova doč' svetlookaja skrylas' | Bystro nevidimoj pticej vdrug uletev ('The light-eyed daughter of Zeus disappeared | Like an invisible bird, quickly and suddenly flying away' – Žukovskij, 315-6).

And by all that, there are no appropriate equivalents for the first predicate $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\beta\eta$ with its unambiguous tectonic expression.

⁵¹ It would be erroneous to see in διέπτατο ('flew away') a metaphoric indication of Athena hastening into some far-off place, since following mentions of Athena show that having moved off she did not leave Ithaca: a little further, in the same book (363-4), it is said of Athena as of inspiring a dream in Penelope, and at the beginning of the following book (12) – as of endowing Telemachus' appearance with an especial nicety.

⁵² L'Iliade... par Madame Dacier. T. 1. Paris. 1741. P. 27-8. From Dacier's commentary to this passage, it follows that she understood (or else wanted to understand) the step of Athena, – which Homer points out and which he, because of its especial rapidity, metaphorically likens to a bird's flight, – as the flight in proper sense: Le poete commpare le vol de Minerve à celui d'un oiseau ('The poet compares the flight of Minerva to that of a bird' – Ibid. P. 97). The expression le vol de Minerve ('the flight of Minerva') is here revealing.