INTRODUCTION
Within the seventy years of Kushite rule in Egypt (Twenty-fifth Dynasty, 722-655 BC) a large number of people must have migrated into Egypt from the south: accompanying the king, working for the court, as soldiers or as traders. Many probably settled in Egypt, either with their families or establishing new families by marriage with Egyptian women (Morkot 2000: 289). One can assume a large number of “second generation Kushites born in Egypt” (Morkot 2000: 289) and not all of them had to leave their new homeland with the retreat of the Kushite rulers.

Thebes was a prosperous city during the Twenty-fifth Dynasty with a notable Kushite presence and royal building activity (Redford 2004: 117-138). Certain key offices, like the post of mayor of Thebes, were held by Kushites who were selected and appointed by the king.2 King’s sons were installed as High Priests, as well as in other offices, and marriage alliances with leading Theban families are well attested, e.g. Monthouemhat (Morkot 2000: 237). Despite these factors, evidence for Kushites in Egypt is scarce, especially outside the royal family branch. The main reason is not missing evidence, but the problem of identifying Kushites in the Egyptian context (Wenig 1990: 346 and Vittmann 2007). Leading officials of Kushite descent in particular may have adopted Egyptian names and were represented in Egyptian style (cf. Horemakhet and Horakhbit and other royal sons). Nevertheless, there are several ways to clarify the Kushite origin of a person buried in Late Period Egypt: especially helpful are his/her name or the names of the parents and characteristic physical proportions, coiffure and costume as shown on coffins, stelae and other objects.

Important evidence for Kushites in rather lower official positions comes from tomb VII in the Austrian concession in the Asasif. Apart from the contents of this small funerary monument, remarkably few tomb groups of Kushite families in Egypt have survived.3 This paper gives an overview of the tomb groups found in Thebes.

1. TOMB ARCHITECTURE
Several Late Period burials of different types at Western Thebes are associated with people of Kushite origin [Fig. 1]. The earliest evidence comes from intrusive shaft burials in Sheikh Abd el-Gurna (TT 99 and TT 367). This type of architecture — a shaft sunk in an earlier structure — is typical of the Third Intermediate Period (Strudwick 2000: 252 and Budka 2006b: II, 584). Around 700 BC a new type of tomb was developed at Thebes. This was the so-called tomb palace (Grabpalast), a monumental tomb with a freestanding superstructure in mud brick. The earliest was built by Harwa in the Northern Asasif (TT 37) (Eigner 1984: 37-40). Of special interest are the more or less contemporaneous structures further south. Two monumental tombs in the area known as the Southern Asasif can be assigned to Kushites: the tomb of Karabesken (TT 391), mayor of Thebes and Fourth prophet of Amun, and that of the ‘Tc-priest Karakhamun (TT 223) (Eigner 1984: 34, Fig. 8; Budka 2006b: I, 78-80).

The dating of Karabesken is currently being discussed, but he seems to have been the predecessor of Monthuemhat (TT 34).4 The origins of the owners of two more tombs in this

---

1 This study is part of my PhD thesis on the Austrian excavations in the Asasif, supervised by Manfred Bietak and Helmut Satzinger (Budka 2006b: vol. III, 566-603; Budka in press: 330-352). I have benefited considerably from communication with Günter Vittmann and the use of his then unpublished paper (now Vittmann 2007), especially on the subject of Kushite personal names and orthographies. I am indebted to Nigel Strudwick for information on the burials in TT 99.
2 Strudwick 1995: 93 describes this policy as “Nubian kings placing their own men in power at Thebes”.
3 Royal women and some of their courtiers were buried in Abydos; see Priese 1968: 178-179; Leahy 1994; Lohwasser 2001: 79-80.
4 Leclant 1961: 270, note 8; Leclant 1965: 389. For the differing dates for Karabesken, see Kitchen, 1996: 482 and § 344 (first half of Twenty-fifth Dynasty) and Strudwick 1995: 93, note 111 (later, within the second half, following Taylor; see also Payraudeau 2003: 147, note 89). I am inclined to prefer the earlier date and thus follow Eigner 1984 in his classification of Karabesken’s funerary monument as the early type.
Southern group – TT 390, Iritieru and TT 132, Ramose – are unclear; a Kushite connection cannot be ruled out.

These tombs in the Southern Asasif are important for the development of the Late Period Grabpalast-type with its freestanding monumental superstructure. Eigner calls their axial orientation typically Kushite (Eigner 1984: 43 and Budka 2006b: I, 78-79). Another characteristic of the southern group is the use of a stairway to reach the substructures. This feature may well be of Nubian inspiration: stairways were elements of Kushite tombs beginning in the reign of Piye (747-722) and thus earlier than the Egyptian examples. The first to abandon axial orientation for his tomb was Monthuemhat whose tomb (TT 34) is situated in the Northern Asasif. Thereafter, this area became the most important part of the Theban Necropolis during the Late Period.

A series of small tombs with mud-brick superstructures was built in the Northern Asasif against the prominent feature designated as Hill 104. These structures can be dated between 750-650 BC and are earlier than similar ones on the plain in the eastern part of the Asasif. Since tomb VII of the Austrian concession can be attributed to a family of Kushite origin (Irw and Kherirw), it is tempting to assume a cluster of Kushite burials along Hill 104. Interestingly, the small tomb VII shows many characteristics of a monumental Grabpalast on a smaller scale, including a stairway leading to the substructure.

1.1. TT 99: intrusive shaft burials
During excavations of the New Kingdom tomb of Sennefer (TT 99), conducted by the University of Cambridge under the directorship of Nigel Strudwick, several intrusive shafts were cleared.
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Shafts A and B yielded material which is clearly associated with Kushites. Several of the mummy bandages discovered bore the name of Shabako and the names and titles of the Fourth Priest of Amun Wedjahor and of his son, the Fourth Priest of Amun Horenpe. Since the linen shrouds give year dates as well, these officials can be securely dated (see Strudwick 2000) [see Table 1]. Another important discovery was the openwork coffin case of Niw, daughter of Padiamun, Priest of Amun in Gempaaton (Kawa). This is an unequivocal link to Nubia. The connection is underscored by the representation of Niw on her coffin: she wears an Egyptian garment but her hair is cut very short to resemble the typical coiffure of Kushite women.\(^8\) The mud shabtis from TT 99 provide further evidence for the Kushite origin of the persons buried there. Unlike Egyptian examples, these figures carry baskets on their heads. The only parallels found come from the burials of royal women in the cemetery at Kurru.\(^9\) For this reason it is tempting to attribute the shabtis from TT 99 to Niw.

1.2. Tomb VII: intact burials in a tomb with mud-brick superstructure

In 1971, an Austrian team directed by Manfred Bietak working in the debris on the slope of Hill 104 uncovered a small tomb, later registered as tomb VII [Fig. 2] (Bietak 1972: 30-35; Budka 2006b: I, 160-201 and Budka in press: 111-132). The relatively complete equipment of several burials came to light in burial chambers 2 and 3,

---

\(^8\) Strudwick 2000: 254; for pictures, see http://www.newton.cam.ac.uk/egypt/tt99/ (25.08.2006).

\(^9\) See Dunham 1950: Pl. XLVI, D. Ku. 62. 19-4-146, E. Ku. 71. 19-4-201, Pl. XLVII, A. Ku. 72. 19-4-202 and Strudwick 2000. As a parallel for this peculiar type Schneider 1977: 172 refers to Dunham 1953: Fig. 199, an illustration based on the previously cited finds from Kurru, not on pieces found in Nuri.
providing the first concrete information about the ownership and date of one of the tombs set into the hillside.

The mud-brick superstructure of tomb VII, erected between two pre-existing structures (tomb V and tomb XXIV), is divided into two parts: a small open court at the front and a vaulted cult sanctuary with a niche at the back. A staircase opening in the courtyard provides access to the substructure [cf. Fig. 2]. The six steps comprising it are built of reused blocks from the causeway of Thutmose III. At the foot of the last step the

Table 1. Variant writings of the names of Kushites as attested in Tomb VII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>IDENTITY</th>
<th>VARIANTS</th>
<th>OCCURRENCE</th>
<th>FREQUENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irw/Il</td>
<td>tomb owner, buried in burial chamber 3</td>
<td>inner and outer coffin dominating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ji</td>
<td></td>
<td>inner coffin</td>
<td>once</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakhor</td>
<td>father of Irw</td>
<td>inner and outer coffin of Irw</td>
<td>sole variant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepet-Iset</td>
<td>mother of Irw</td>
<td>outer coffin of Irw</td>
<td>sole variant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kheriw/</td>
<td>woman buried in burial chamber 2</td>
<td>all coffins dominating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kheril</td>
<td>(wife of Irw?)</td>
<td>qrsu-coffin frequent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>qrsu-coffin rare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>innermost coffin once</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>innermost coffin once</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>innermost coffin once</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>shabti-box reg. 300 once</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>shabti-box reg. 301 once</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>innermost coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawen</td>
<td>father of Kheriw</td>
<td>complete coffin-set of Kheriw dominating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penhy</td>
<td></td>
<td>qrsu-coffin once</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penpenaneh</td>
<td></td>
<td>innermost coffin (interior of lid)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rnmj(t)</td>
<td>mother of Kheriw</td>
<td>innermost coffin of Kheriw frequent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rtm(m)(t)</td>
<td></td>
<td>qrsu-coffin and innermost coffin of Kheriw dominating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lmmty</td>
<td></td>
<td>innermost coffin (interior of lid)</td>
<td>once</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 The “Grab mit Felsräumen und vorgesetztem Schlammziegelbau” type according to Eigner 1984: 36.
excavators found an intact wall built of mud-brick. Behind it a corridor opens into a small chamber. In a later building phase, this room was used as a burial chamber ("burial chamber" 1 in Fig. 2). In the floor of this chamber a shaft, 3 m long, descends to the original burial chambers.

Burial chamber 3 belongs to the first building phase. When discovered it was full of rubble and stones. In this debris, five wooden coffins were found together in a heap. At the very bottom of the pile, a sparsely decorated anthropoid coffin came to light; inside it there was another wooden case with rich decoration. The inner coffin disclosed the untouched burial of an adult male, covered with a fine bead net and provided with amulets. The body itself was poorly preserved (Bietak 1972: 34, Pls 23-25). The inscriptions [see Table 1] name the owner as Irw/Il (cf. PN 43.22), son of Pakhor (PN 116.17) and Shepet-Iset (cf. PN 325.17). The writing of these personal names does not conform exactly to that given by Ranke.11 In addition, the painted face of the innermost coffin is very dark, and the skeleton of the young man, in the estimation of the excavator, displays non-Egyptian features. For these reasons, Bietak identifies the tomb owner as a person of Kushite origin (Bietak 1972: 34). No titles are mentioned; therefore his status and function in Thebes remain unclear. The innermost coffin with a depiction of a ram-headed solar falcon on the chest and a small scale Abydos fetish below should belong to Taylor’s design 4A group (Taylor 2003: 115). It is datable to around 700-670 BC (Budka 2006b: II, 462-468 and Budka 2007: 243).

The four remaining burials in chamber 3 include an undecorated wooden coffin, roughly anthropoid in shape, containing the body of an adult female, and three simple wooden boxes with skeletons of infants. The helter-skelter arrangement of these coffins is evidence of plundering. Furthermore, two of the infant-coffins, as well as some of the mummy bandages of the children, were partially burnt. Evidently the robbers set them aflame to provide light during their hurried search for valuables. A small pottery vessel (reg. 306, A1998) found in one of the infant-coffins (reg. 305c) is the only item of burial equipment remaining. No close parallels exist within the contemporaneous corpus of Egyptian pottery for either the shape or the ware of this slender-bottomed beaker [Fig. 3] (Budka 2006b: III, 589 and Budka 2007: 244).

Burial chamber 2 also belongs to the first building phase of tomb VII, but it is probably slightly later in date than chamber 3. Even though the chamber suffered intense plundering and the mummy is missing, the nearly complete set of burial equipment of a lady named Kherirw was found [Fig. 4].12 The tomb group comprises a set of coffins (a large outer qrsw-coffin still in situ, an anthropoid intermediary coffin and an inner case of anthropoid shape with a pedestal), a bead net (fragments found within the innermost case), two shabti-boxes full of mud shabtis (196 and 181, in total 377 pieces) and an uninscribed wooden Osiris statuette. The wooden figure of a jackal, found in the fill of the shaft just outside chamber 2, is like those customarily attached to the lids of qrsw-coffins; it might derive from Kherirw’s coffin of that type. In addition, a wooden coffin-mask with a flat back was found in the dust inside the plundered inner coffin (reg. 305, JE 94514). This item, which once served as the face of a separate coffin, suggests that there was one more burial made in chamber 2 (see below).

Kherirw’s coffin ensemble can be dated stylistically towards the transitional phase between the Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth Dynasties, around 675-640 BC (Taylor 1985: II, 365-367; Aston 1994: 35; Budka 2006b: II, 474-482). The register with the judgement scene on the inner coffin (Seeber 1976: 44-45, cat. 36) is of particular interest, because it depicts

11 Morkot 2000: 250 compared the name Iru and its spelling with that of Alara.
12 Her relationship with Iru remains dubious in some aspects, but marriage between the two is likely; see in more detail Budka 2006b: I, 197-201.
Fig. 4. Tomb group of Kheriru: set of coffins, Osiris statuette, 2 shabti-boxes + shabtis, wooden jackal and falcon(s) as possible accessories of qrsu-coffin; (not to scale).
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The deceased (as on the lid of the qrsw-coffin) with non-Egyptian physique and costume. Both are clearly identifiable as Kushite in character. The lady Kherirw, daughter of Pawen and Lmmty, has a non-Egyptian coiffure (short wig or more likely natural, very curly hair) and wears a Kushite garment with fringed sleeves [Fig. 5]. Another characteristically Kushite feature of this costume is a small tail-like tassel at the hem which, according to Lohwasser, is a female fertility amulet in the shape of a fox-tail (Lohwasser 1999: 593).

The personal names in the inscriptions on the coffins support the identification of the woman as Kushite [Table 1]. On Kherirw’s coffins, her name occurs with varying orthography, just as in the case of Irw (Budka 2006b: I, 193, Table 14; Budka 2007: 246-247; Budka and Kammerzell 2007: 171). There is a noticeable difference between the writing used on the qrsw-coffin, the intermediary and the inner coffin, and also between the exterior of the latter and the underside of its lid. On the last, the father’s name in a syllabic writing is clearly not Egyptian, but rather the orthography may be termed “Kushite” (Penpen-aneh/Penah, instead of Pawen, see Table 1).

Also the mother’s name, Lmmty, appears in several variants and possibly illustrates difficulties in spelling a foreign name (Budka and Kammerzell 2007: 171; cf. Zibelius-Chen 2009: 103-107 for a possible relation between this name and the Meroitic personal name It-ye).

The set of coffins and the two shabti-boxes are the only objects of Kherirw’s equipment that were inscribed. The front of her Osiris statuette, where the personal name of the owner is regularly written, was painted yellow without a trace of any script (Budka 2003: 35-36; Budka 2006b: II, 420-421). A similar “lack” of hieroglyphs can be observed on a fragmented coffin lid, found in the fill of the shaft outside chamber 2. Stylistically, it is datable to before 640 BC (Budka 2006b: I, 200). The piece is missing its wooden mask which was worked separately, like the coffin mask found inside the coffins of Kherirw. This feature, the findspot and the rather crude painting of the coffin lid taken together may imply the Kushite descent of its owner and suggest a relationship to Kherirw and/or Irw. The most interesting aspect of the texts on the coffin lid is the use of what could be called a “pseudo-script” or “pseudo-hieroglyphs”: the columns end with simple strokes and lines, which do not resemble hieroglyphs or indeed any other script, but they may nevertheless be intended to render a (foreign?) name (Budka 2006b: II, 200-201).

In sum, there are several indicators for the non-Egyptian identity of the persons buried in tomb VII. Of particular importance are the coffin

---

13 Of the few representations showing private individuals of Kushite descent wearing traditional costumes, those of Kherirw are the only ones to survive on coffins until now.
14 For details of possible relationships, see Budka 2006b: I, 172, 200-201.
15 Hieratic or demotic can be ruled out; for verifying this assumption I am indebted to Günter Vittmann.
ensembles of both Irw and Kherirw with their inscriptions, variants in personal names and figures depicted in Kushite costume. A further, very clear indication of foreign origin is the beaker found within the infant-coffin in burial chamber 3. It is made of a non-Egyptian marl fabric which has its closest parallels in vessels from the chapel of Amenirdis in Medinet Habu and particularly in the Kushite cemeteries (e.g. Nuri, see Fig. 3). Because the beaker was deposited with one of the infants, it is reasonable to assume that these children belong to Irw’s family and were buried during the Twenty-fifth Dynasty.

The salient aspect of the burials of both Irw and Kherirw is what may be termed a dualistic approach (Budka 2007: 249-250). Although the type of tomb, the coffins and the choice of equipment (except for the Kushite beaker) are entirely Egyptian, an indigenous touch was added to everything, from the texts – the (mis)spelling of the names – to the representations (costume and coiffure of the figures).

2. Kushite Tomb Groups in Thebes

No objects of the original equipment have survived from the burials in the monumental Kushite tombs in the Southern Asasif. The case of Wedjarenes, one of Monthuemhat’s wives and a granddaughter of Piye, is somewhat exceptional. A small number of shabtis attest to her burial in Egypt – she was probably interred with her husband in the Northern Asasif in TT 34 (Lohwasser 2001: 190-191 with literature). Aside from this single exception, evidence for Kushite burials is limited to intrusive shaft burials, comprising tomb groups of high-ranking families allied by marriage with Kushites, like Wedjahor and Niw, as well as simple priests of Kushite descent, like Ididi and his family, and to small buildings with mud-brick superstructure like tomb VII in the Asasif which yielded the remains of a Kushite family of presumably even lower rank, since no titles are mentioned.

In all, 20 tomb groups (TG 1-20) in the Theban area can be attributed to Kushites [Table 2]. Seven of these burials come from the small tomb VII; therefore the current state of evidence is very selective – there must have been many more burials in Thebes (Wenig 1990: 346).

As Table 2 illustrates, most of the owners of known tomb groups held either priestly office or title, or no title at all. Vittmann has shown that the lack of titles is a phenomenon very well attested for foreigners in Egypt during the first millennium (Vittmann 1978: 101 and 2007). It does not necessarily mean that these people lacked a title and held no official position – rather that they did not mention it on their monuments (Vittmann 2007), and thus the possibility to reconstruct their social status is very limited.

2.1 Characteristics of the burial

The finds of intact burial groups in tomb VII in the Asasif can be called representative for lower-ranking Kushites buried in Thebes. But even the minimal remains of the burials of Horemakhet and Horakibit as High Priests of Amun and members of the royal family seem to indicate that there was a difference in the equipment of leading officials. Unfortunately, this remains unclear, since nothing has survived of the burials of those who erected monumental tombs (Grabpaläste) for themselves such as, for example, the mayor Karabesken.

It is important to point out that the intact burial of the lady Kherirw conforms to the standard for Egyptian tomb groups of the mid to late Twenty-fifth Dynasty as established by Aston (Aston 2003: 147, Fig. 7). But despite the Egyptian character and appearance of the equipment, obvious at first glance, the owner’s Kushite origin is not neglected. Small details like the spelling of the personal names, the depictions of the person and special objects furnish evidence for the cultural identity of the people with whom they were buried.

Another object that reveals the cultural identity of its owner is the small beaker (reg. 306) from one of the infant burials in tomb VII. Probably the beaker saw everyday use as a drinking vessel before it was deposited in the tomb.

In sum, the evidence from tomb VII illustrates that the Kushites buried there were not fully Egyptianized. Several points attest to their partial acculturation:

1) Personal names and their rendering in hieroglyphs (several variants, “syllabic” writing, atypical orthography).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>TOMB</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TOMB GROUP/REMAINS OF BURIAL</th>
<th>EQUIPMENT</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>BIBLIOGRAPHY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TG 02</td>
<td>Anonymous (woman)</td>
<td></td>
<td>306a: coffin, anthropoid, plastered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bietak 1972; Budka 2006b: III, 565, 571 and 624; Budka 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG 03</td>
<td>Infans I-II</td>
<td></td>
<td>305c: infant-coffin, box-shaped, wood (2002.2: coarse human figure of wood?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG 05</td>
<td>Infans II</td>
<td></td>
<td>305x: infant-coffin, box-shaped, wood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Tomb groups in the Theban area attributed to Kushites (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>TOMB</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TOMBS GROUP/REMAINS OF BURIAL EQUIPMENT</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>BIBLIOGRAPHY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TG 08</td>
<td>TT 99 intrusive shaft A or B</td>
<td>Niw</td>
<td>openwork coffin; bead net; mud shabti, blue, Kushite type with basket on head; (faience vessels?)</td>
<td>(sām)-šš</td>
<td>710-680 BC</td>
<td>Strudwick 2000; Budka 2006b: III, 572; <a href="http://www.newton.cam.ac.uk/egpyt/tr99/">http://www.newton.cam.ac.uk/egpyt/tr99/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*TG 09</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wedjahor</td>
<td>mummy bandages; anthropoid coffins, wood, painted; Ptaḥ-Sokar-Osiris figure, wood, painted (Turin Inv. 2470); (faience vessels?)</td>
<td>Fourth Prophet of Amun and mayor of Thebes (ned' i bꜣf n nb.t)</td>
<td>705 BC</td>
<td>Strudwick 1995; 2000; Payraudeau 2003; Budka 2006b: III, 572; <a href="http://www.newton.cam.ac.uk/egpyt/tr99/">http://www.newton.cam.ac.uk/egpyt/tr99/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*TG 11</td>
<td>TT 367 intrusive shaft</td>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>mummy bandages with cartouche of Taharqa</td>
<td>Priest?</td>
<td>690–664 BC (Taharqa)</td>
<td>Fakhry 1943: 412; Leclant 1965: 180 (C7); Budka 2006b: III, 573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*TG 12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>mummy bandages with cartouche of Taharqa</td>
<td>Priest?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*TG 16</td>
<td>Thebes</td>
<td>Tjesraperet</td>
<td>intact burial: outer ásrw-coffin, two inner anthropoid ones, wood, painted (Firenze no. 2159-2161); bead net (?); shabti (+ boxes?); Ptaḥ-Sokar-Osiris statuette (Louvre N 3940); wooden stela (Louvre N 3936); stone vessels; bronze mirror with wooden box; pottery vessels (?)</td>
<td>wet nurse of a king's (=Taharqa's) daughter</td>
<td>early Twenty-sixth Dynasty</td>
<td>Botti 1945: 88; Bresciani 1972; Gras 1985: 36, No. 124; Munro 1973: 207; Morkot 2000: 250; Straßburg 1990: 182–183; Laurent 1997: 74–75; Budka 2006b: III, 573–574, G. Vittmann, personal communication; Vittmann 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG 17</td>
<td>Thebes</td>
<td>Kelkeheteki</td>
<td>unpublished coffin lid (Louvre E 3987, today in Musée Champollion in Figeac)</td>
<td>sðm-šš n st šk3t</td>
<td>Twenty-fifth Dynasty</td>
<td>G. Vittmann, personal communication; Vittmann 2007; Budka 2006: III, 576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP</td>
<td>TOMB</td>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>TOMB GROUP/REMAINS OF BURIAL EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>TITLE</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>BIBLIOGRAPHY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG 18</td>
<td>Asasif</td>
<td>Wedjarenes</td>
<td>Funerary cones, shabtis (EA 68986, EA 24715; Berlin 10663, two in Cairo and one in a private collection), offering table (BM 1259), fragment of statue, representations in TT 34 (both Egyptian and Kushite iconography) (small fragment of wooden coffin from Austrian excavations?, K02/93c, see Budka 2006b: vol. IV, Kat. 982)</td>
<td>Priestess of Hathor; <em>nb.t pr śpa.t</em></td>
<td>early Twenty-sixth Dynasty</td>
<td>Barguet <em>et al.</em> 1951: 493–494, Pl. 2; Leclant 1961: 264; Bierbrier 1993: 274–275, Pl. 28.3 and 4; Russmann 1997: 21–39; Lohwasser 2001: 190–191; Budka 2006b: 569, vol. IV: 301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG 20</td>
<td>intrusive shaft</td>
<td>Ididi and parents</td>
<td>coffin of Ididi, son of Montuirdis and Tamit coffin fragment of Montuirdis Ptah-Sokar-Isiris statuettes of Montuirdis and Tamit stela of Tamit</td>
<td>Wab-priest of Amun</td>
<td>Twenty-fifth Twenty-sixth Dynasty</td>
<td>Bierbrier 1984: 233–238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2) Peculiarities in depictions of persons (body proportions, coiffure, costume).

3) The use/misuse or absence of hieroglyphic inscriptions on objects (e.g., the uninscribed Osiris figure of Kherirw; the "pseudo-script" on coffin lid reg. 305a; frequent misspelling on the various coffins, etc.).

4) Objects of daily use imported from the old homeland (cf. drinking vessel).\(^{18}\) The intact burial of Tjesraperet, a wet nurse of a daughter of Taharqa, which Rosellini discovered in 1829, is in some respects similar to the tomb group of Kherirw (\textit{qrsu}-coffin, two inner anthropoid coffins, Ptah-Sokar-Osiris statuette) (Morkot 2000: 250 and 291 and the bibliography cited in Table 2). Some objects are unparalleled in the standard repertoire of burial equipments of this period. This holds true for the elaborate stone vessels and a bronze mirror within its wooden box. Mirrors are common in Kushite burials (Lohwasser 2001: 98). Some examples found in Egypt can be attributed to persons of Kushite origin (cf. TG 19, Kekuiru). Therefore, yet another feature can be cited as evidence for the partial acculturation of Kushites in Egypt.

5) Individualized design of objects of Egyptian origin. This is best illustrated by the example of the burial of Niw. Her openwork coffin and her shabtis are highly unusual by Egyptian standards. Furthermore, the peculiar shabtis from TT 99 demonstrate that the Kushites adopted Egyptian burial customs and used Egyptian equipment, sometimes without knowing the function or inventing their own interpretation for it. The Kushite concept of shabtis is totally different from that of the Egyptians (Lohwasser 2001: 99-103).

Very unusual coffins belong to the High Priests of Amun Horemakhet and Horakhbit. These are so-called ancillary coffins, which were filled with refuse material from embalming and then deposited near TT 33. Similar coffins are attested for Egyptians during the Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth Dynasties; their relationship to the actual burial remains unclear (see Budka 2006a: 99; Budka 2006b, III, 732-734). The burial places of Horemakhet and Horakhbit have yet to be found, but proof that they were buried in Egypt comes from some remains of their burial equipment, like an amuletic papyrus for Horemakhet and canopics for Horakhbit. The latter is the only Kushite who is known to have been supplied with canopic jars, and this may be due to his links with the royal family.

Individual Kushite concepts seem to have influenced contemporaneous Egyptian burial customs as well. The most prominent example was the use of the bead net, which is attested in Egypt from the Twenty-fifth Dynasty to the Ptolemaic period. It seems to have originated in Nubia (Boisse-Griffiths 1978: 106; Aston 1987: 650; Budka 2006b: II, 401).

Another Kushite tradition which left traces in Egypt is the bed burial. The burial of king Piye (Ku. 17) illustrates the association of the Kushite burial bed with the Egyptian embalming bed (Welsby 1996: 82). A bronze bed was found in the crypt of Amenirdis at Medinet Habu and might recall this association (Hölscher 1954: 22-24, Fig. 27 and Pl. 20a; Budka 2006b: III, 758). A woman in Lahun, from the late 8th-7th century BC, was buried on a bed in the shape of a lion. Aston calls this assemblage non-Egyptian and raises the question of possible inspiration from Nubia (Aston 1999: 161 with note 12). If Amenirdis really was of Kushite origin, her burial would provide the only evidence for a Kushite interment outside Upper Egypt.\(^{19}\)

3. \textbf{Summary}

Two centers of Kushite burials can be located on the Theban west bank [cf. Fig. 1]:

1) The Southern Asasif and its extension westwards into Sheikh Abd el-Gurna: This area yielded the earliest evidence for Kushite burials. Intrusive shaft tombs in the tradition of the Third Intermediate Period are attested from 740 BC; freestanding tombs of monumental dimensions were built beginning about 700/690 BC.

2) The Northern Asasif: By 680 BC this area served as the main burial ground for high officials in Thebes. In addition to monumental tombs, several small tomb chapels are attested. The earliest are situated along Hill 104 (750-650 BC). One of these buildings is tomb VII which yielded at least six Kushite burials. Some what later, Horemakhet and Horakhbit were buried on the Asasif plain in the vicinity of TT 33, an area subsequently filled with tomb monuments.

The group around the Southern Asasif and Sheikh Abd el-Gurna is therefore slightly earlier in date; after 680/660 BC there occurred a general shift to the Northern Asasif which remained a popular site for another 140 years.

Analysis of the remains of Kushite tomb groups in Thebes sheds light on the partial acculturation of Kushites buried in Egypt. Five

\(^{18}\) For the use of such vessels because of their specific function in the daily routine, especially connected with eating, see Seidlmayer 2002: 103.

\(^{19}\) For the restriction of Kushite remains to Upper Egypt, cf. Vittmann 2007.
specific aspects of burial equipment can be cited in this context: personal names and their spelling/hieroglyphic orthography; peculiarities in the depiction of individuals; use/misuse or lack of hieroglyphic inscriptions; imported objects of daily use; and, finally, the individualized design of Egyptian objects. In general, these are elements of Egyptian culture frequently adopted by foreigners (Vittmann 2003: 241-242). The way these features were reinterpreted provides information about the cultural identity of the person adopting them. Here, it is important to note that the self-confidence of the Kushites is exceptional for foreigners in Egypt (Loprieno 1988: 35-40): most of them were probably never fully acculturated and an insistence on Kushite tradition is obvious. Their foreign descent was demonstrated openly, for example, by the fact that the Kushites are shown wearing their indigenous costume in an Egyptian context (Lohwasser 2006: 136).

4. PROSPECTS FOR FUTURE WORK
The tomb groups of Kushite individuals currently known give us only a glimpse of the actual situation in Thebes during the Late Period. Thebes flourished under Kushite rule (Morkot 2000: 229-230; Redford 2004: 115-117) and many more tombs and burials are to be expected. One reason for the apparent scarcity of evidence is the difficulty of identifying Kushites among the high-ranking officials who may bear Egyptian names (cf. Horemakhet and Horakhbit and other royal sons). But, as the example of tomb VII in the Asasif demonstrates, the burials of lower-ranking people like Irw and Kherirw testify to the Egyptianized status of Kushites on one hand and their indigenous cultural identity on the other.

The author hopes to resume excavations in the Austrian concession in the Asasif in the near future. Work will focus on the small buildings along Hill 104, especially on the as yet unexplored tomb west of tomb VII, in anticipation of finding additional evidence for Kushites of the Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth Dynasties.
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