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Summary

Biosynthesis of vitamin B₆ is essential for all living cells. Most organisms use the pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP) synthase complex to synthesize the cofactor form, PLP, from the three substrates ribose 5-phosphate (R5P), glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (G3P) and ammonia. PLP synthase complex is a glutamine amidotransferase (GATase) class I, consisting of 12 Pdx1 and 12 Pdx2 subunits. Pdx1 is responsible for the PLP synthesis and Pdx2 is the glutaminase that hydrolyses glutamine to produce ammonia, which is transferred to the Pdx1 active site. In this PhD Thesis, studying Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins from the human parasite Plasmodium falciparum and from the rodent parasite Plasmodium berghei gave important insights into the assembly, activation and substrate tunneling of the PLP synthase complex.

Electron microscopy analyses showed that association of the PLP synthase and glutaminase subunits was random, suggesting a non cooperative mechanism independent of neighboring Pdx1 binding sites to be occupied by Pdx2. Complex assembly is critical for glutamine hydrolysis by Pdx2, although the presence of an ammonia acceptor in the Pdx1 active site did neither enhance the Pdx1/Pdx2 interaction nor the catalytic rate of Pdx2 in vitro, as tested by biophysical and kinetic experiments. In particular, the PLP synthase complex does not show allosteric activation by R5P or glutamine binding that would result in synchronization of the glutaminase and PLP synthesis reactions. Therefore, the Pdx1/Pdx2 interaction is enough to stimulate glutamine hydrolysis.

A particular motivation of this Thesis was to crystallize the PLP synthase complex from the malaria causing parasite, P. falciparum, for the potential use of the 3D structure in drug design. However, the complex assembled into fibers in vitro, induced by the Pdx1 subunit, making the crystallization trials of this enzyme impossible. A chimeric complex formed by Pdx1 from P. berghei and Pdx2 from P. falciparum proved to be a catalytically active system, suitable for structural studies of the plasmodial complex. Crystal structure of this enzyme complex gave two major advances in the understanding how prokaryotic and eukaryotic PLP synthase complexes resemble each other or differ in protein interaction and activation. Variations at the Pdx1/Pdx2 interface occur through insertion sequences in eukaryotic systems, notably in the plasmodial PLP synthase complex by the loop 95-111 in Pdx2. Activation of the glutaminase is highly conserved in both systems. The process entails reorganization of structural regions at the Pdx1/Pdx2 interface through stabilization of αN and the oxyanion hole region. Activation of the PLP synthase requires a helical segment, named α2', for sugar binding. The helix is observed in two alternative positions in the Pdx1/Pdx2 and Pdx1-R5P structures: an open conformation to allow the entrance of the substrate and a closed conformation oriented towards R5P, sequestering the substrate in the catalytic center. The pentose substrate is bound in the P1 site to the catalytic Lys84 via a Schiff base with the ribose C1 atom.
GATases are characterized by two separate active sites for glutamine hydrolysis and enzyme-specific metabolite syntheses. Previously, ammonia transfer between two catalytic centers was proposed to occur by flexible methionine residues within a transient tunnel in Pdx1. The plasmodial proteins show an ammonia tunnel distinct from bacterial orthologs as some of the residues lining the passage are exchanged. Biochemical analysis confirmed that the (α/β)$_8$-barrel of Pdx1 passes the reactive ammonia produced in Pdx2 to Pdx1 active site, assigning function of key residues for the ammonia channeling.

The differences between eukaryotic and prokaryotic systems provide insight into PLP synthase complex regulation, which may be exploitable in drug design for the treatment of malaria.
Zusammenfassung


Elektronenmikroskopische Analysen zeigten, dass die Komplexbildung zwischen Pdx1 PLP-synthase und Pdx2 Glutaminase Untereinheiten eher zufällig abläuft, was auf einen nicht kooperativen Mechanismus hindeutet, der unabhängig davon ist, ob benachbarte Bindungsstellen am Pdx1-Ring von Pdx2 Untereinheiten besetzt sind. Die Komplexformierung ist entscheidend für die Glutamin-Hydrolyse durch Pdx2, obwohl die Anwesenheit eines Ammoniumionenakzeptors im aktiven Zentrum von Pdx1 weder zu einer Zunahme der Pdx1/Pdx2 Interaktion noch der Pdx2 katalytische Rate führt, was durch biophysikalische und kinetische Experimente belegt ist. Insbesondere weist der PLP-Synthase-Komplex keine allosterische Aktivierung durch R5P- oder Glutamin-Bindung auf, was in einer Synchronisierung der Glutaminase- und der PLP-Synthase-Reaktionen resultieren würde. Demzufolge ist die Pdx1/Pdx2 Interaktion ausreichend um die Glutamin Hydrolyse zu stimulieren.

Struktur erlaubt eine offene Konformation den Zugang für Substrate; dagegen wird in der Struktur des R5P Substrat Komplexes eine geschlossene Konformation beobachtet, die das Substrat im katalytischen Zentrum sequestriert. Das Pentose Substrat wird an der P1 Stelle des katalytischen Lys84 über eine Schiff-Base an das C1-Atom der Ribose gebunden.


Die Unterschiede zwischen eukaryotischen und prokaryotischen PLP-Synthase Systemen erlauben Einblicke in Enzymregulierung, welche für das Drug Design für die Behandlung von Malaria nutzbar sind.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

In living cells, many biochemical reactions catalyzed by enzymes take place with the support of nonprotein components, called coenzymes or cofactors. They fulfill crucial chemical steps required for a successful enzymatic catalysis. Cells contain different types of cofactors classified as organic molecules such as biotin, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, tetrahydrofolate, thiamine pyrophosphate, etc., and inorganic ions such as, Mg$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, etc. Cofactor biosynthesis is essential for all living organisms because many enzymes reactions depend on at least one cofactor. However, cofactors are not assembled by the ribosome, rather by biosynthetic enzymes and here I focus on Vitamin B$_6$ biosynthesis.

Vitamin B$_6$ is composed by six vitamers with a common pyridinium ring, whose members are pyridoxal (PL), pyridoxamine (PM), pyridoxine$^1$(PN) and their respective phosphorylated forms: pyridoxal 5’-phosphate (PLP), pyridoxamine 5’-phosphate (PMP) and pyridoxine 5’-phosphate (PNP). Of these compounds, only PLP and PMP are the biologically active forms, while the others function as PLP precursors. Their chemical structures are shown in Figure 1.1.

Vitamin B$_6$ in the form of PLP has diverse functions in a large number of enzymes, the majority of them catalyze reactions for the amino acid metabolism. PLP serves as a transient carrier of specific functional groups in different reactions at the α, β and γ carbons of amino acids. Examples of PLP-dependent reactions are decarboxylations, racemizations, α, β-elimination and transaminations. Pyridoxal 5’-phosphate is commonly bound through an aldimine (Schiff base) linkage to the ε-amino group of a lysine residue in the active site of the PLP-dependent enzyme.

As a common role of PLP in many transamination reactions, a general view of the catalytic process is depicted in Figure 1.2. The aldehyde group of PLP can accept an amine via a Schiff base with the amino acid. A highly unstable

---

$^1$also known as pyridoxol
carbonation is avoided because the electron charge at the α-carbon is reduced by resonance stabilization in the pyridinium ring of PLP (aldimine). In all transamination, racemization and carboxylation reactions, a quinonoid intermediate is formed, where the bond between the amine α-carbon to the adjoining functional group - a side chain of an amino acid, a hydrogen, or a carboxyl - weakens and consequently breaks. The products in each of the routes, transamination, racemization, and carboxylation, are an α-ketoacid and PMP, a D-amino acid, or an amine, respectively. The reverse transformation of PMP into PLP can occur when the amino group is donated to an α-keto acid.

PLP is a very diverse functional cofactor, it also participates in reactions required for glycogen breakdown, formation of several amines functional in nervous tissue (e.g., epinephrine, serotonin or norepinephrine), hemoglobin biosynthesis and sphingolipid synthesis. Besides the important role as a cofactor, PLP has antioxidant function against reactive oxygen free radicals [1, 2].

### 1.1 Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate biosynthesis

Enzymes for de novo biosynthesis of vitamin B₆ are found in some organisms, i.e., archaebacteria, bacteria, some protists, fungi and plants [3], while other organisms like humans must obtain it from the diet. Vitamin B₆ in the form of PNP or PLP as the active form can be synthesized directly by two distinct de novo routes: the deoxyxylose 5’-phosphate (DXP)-dependent and ribose 5-phosphate (R5P)-dependent pathways, respectively (Figure 1.3). Both routes contain highly conserved genes, but comparison of the encoded proteins shows they are different in the amino acid sequence, suggesting that the two pathways diverged during evolution. In addition to the de novo PLP biosynthesis, PLP

---

²also found in the literature under the name DXP-independent pathway
can be synthesized from precursors in the form of PL, PN, PM, PNP and PMP that are converted to PLP in the so-called salvage pathway. This pathway functions mainly as the recycling and interconversion of the B₆ vitamers by several kinases and phosphatases present in the known proteome of living organisms, as well as by transaminases and oxidases, Figure 1.3.

1.1.1 The DXP-dependent pathway

The DXP-dependent pathway is found in a subset of the γ-division of proteobacteria and in the well characterized gram-negative bacterium, Escherichia coli [4]. The mechanism is divided into two steps for the synthesis of 4-phosphohydroxy-L-threonine (4-HTP) and deoxyxylulose 5-phosphate (DXP) that converge in a last step to form PNP. Three enzymes PdxA, PdxB and PdxJ are unique for the de novo PNP biosynthesis. The initial step requires D-Erythrose 4-
Figure 1.3: PLP biosynthesis. The three routes to synthesize PLP: two de novo routes DXP-dependent and R5P-dependent for the synthesis of PNP and PLP, respectively; and the salvage pathway, in which the B6 vitamers PN, PNP, PM, PMP and PL are converted to PLP. See §1.1 for more details.

phosphate and glutamate as substrates to produce 4-HTP in sequential steps carried out by the enzymes GapA, PdxB and PdxF. 4-HTP is decarboxylated by PdxA to form 3-hydroxy-1-aminoacetone phosphate (AAP), a very unstable intermediate. DXP is synthesized from pyruvate and G3P by the enzyme DXPS. The process is finalized by PdxJ, which catalyses the cyclization of DXP and AAP to produce PNP and P

1.1.2 The R5P-dependent pathway

Genes encoding proteins involved in the R5P-dependent pathway were first identified in Cercospora nicotianae [5, 6], when investigating the resistance mechanism of the plant fungus against oxidative stress. From this study, a novel de novo pyridoxine biosynthesis pathway was found to be commonly distributed within some bacteria, archaea and many eukaryotes, including plants and fungi.
The pathway consists only of two enzymes: Pdx1 and Pdx2. The three substrates R5P, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (G3P) and glutamine are required for direct PLP biosynthesis\footnote{3}. The heterocyclic nitrogen of PLP is derived through hydrolysis of L-glutamine by Pdx2 \footnote{7, 8}, Figure 1.3

1.2 The glutamine amidotransferase Pdx1 and Pdx2 complex

Most of the reactions in the biosynthesis of amino acids, nucleotides and coenzymes are catalyzed by enzymes which use glutamine as ammonia source, called glutamine amidotransferases (GATases). GATases have two structural domains: a glutaminase, where ammonia is produced from glutamine and a synthase domain, which binds the ammonia acceptor substrate. There are two types of GATases: class I \footnote{4} and class II \footnote{5} GATases \footnote{9}. Both classes of enzymes carry out the same catalytic reaction, using a conserved cysteine residue in the glutaminase binding site. The two classes of GATases differ in the way they use the nucleophilic cysteine: GATases class I use histidine and glutamate residues to activate the thiol group of cysteine; and in GATases class II the cysteine residue is positioned at the N-terminus and is activated by its $\alpha$ amino group \footnote{9}. The thiol group of the cysteine becomes highly nucleophilic by its interaction with the imidazol-N of the His residue and can attack the $\gamma$ carbonyl of glutamine, producing ammonia and glutamate. This reaction results in the formation of a negatively charged transition state with tetrahedral geometry in the active site of the glutaminase, Figure 1.4 This transition state is stabilized by hydrogen bonds oriented to form a pocket called oxyanion hole (OXH), critical for glutamine hydrolysis. The ammonia produced in this reaction is subsequently transferred through an ammonia tunnel to the synthase domain, where it reacts with the acceptor substrate to form the aminated product. An example is the imidazole glycerol phosphate (ImGP) synthase \footnote{10}, a key enzyme required to link amino acid and nucleotide biosynthesis. The PLP biosynthetic Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins assemble into glutamine amidotransferase type I enzyme with Pdx1 as the PLP synthase and Pdx2 as the glutaminase \footnote{11, 12}. A proposed mechanism for class I GATases is depicted in Figure 1.4

1.2.1 Pdx1/Pdx2 interaction

The x-ray crystallographic structure of the PLP synthase complex has been obtained so far from the bacteria \textit{T. maritima} (PDB code 2ISS, \footnote{13}) and \textit{B. subtilis} (PDB code 2NV2, \footnote{14}). The Pdx1/Pdx2 complex builds a 24-mer multienzyme, consisting of each subunit of the dodecameric Pdx1 core in independent interaction with one Pdx2 subunit. Pdx1 and Pdx2 active sites are far from each

\footnote{3}the chemical reaction is explained in more detail in §3.5
\footnote{4}also named triad GATases
\footnote{5}also named N-terminal nucleophile (Ntn)
Figure 1.4: Proposed mechanism for class I glutamine amidotransferases. The GATase has two domains: glutaminase and ammonia acceptor. The glutaminase binding domain contains conserved Cys, His and Glu traid residues required for catalytic reaction to the Gln. The thiol group of Cys (green) attacks the amide group of Gln and the γ-amido nitrogen is released as NH₃ (red). A glutamyl-enzyme intermediate is formed at the γ-carbon with tetrahedral geometry. An oxyanion is stabilized by hydrogen bond from a peptide element in the active site. The produced NH₃ is transfered to the active site of the acceptor domain through a channel, where it reacts with an NH₃-acceptor substrate. Glutamate and water are the products of the glutamine hydrolysis, while an aminated product is synthesized in the NH₃ acceptor domain.

other with a distance of ∼22 Å. As a glutamine amidotransferase enzyme, Pdx2 hydrolyses glutamine and the produced NH₃ in this reaction is subsequently transferred through an ammonia channel to the second active site in Pdx1. It was postulated earlier the ammonia channel localizes inside the (β/α)₈-barrel of Pdx1 and is lined by flexible methionines [14].

The Pdx1 and Pdx2 interaction was suggested to entail ordering of the helix αN from Pdx1, a helix embedded between the two subunits and is in close proximity to the Pdx2 active site. Helix αN plays a key role in heterodimer formation and activation of Pdx2 [14, 15]. The Pdx1/Pdx2 complex involves also organization of the oxyanion hole in Pdx2 by reorientation of two peptides of amino acid residues Pro45-Gly46-Gly47 (nomenclature for B. subtilis Pdx2) [16], when the two subunits interact with each other, Figure 1.5.

1.2.2 The R5P-dependent PLP synthase: Pdx1 with multiple enzymatic reactions

Most of the 3D crystallographic structures of the PLP synthase complex are from the Pdx1 subunit. They are from several prokaryotic organisms such as, Bacillus subtilis (PDB code 2NV1, [14]), Geobacillus stearothermophilus (PDB code 1ZNN, [17]), Methanocaldococcus jannaschii (PDB code 2YZR) and Thermus thermophilus (PDB code 2ZBT). The current available eukaryotic Pdx1 struc-
Figure 1.5: Active site and activation of Pdx2. The active site in Pdx2 alone (orange, PDB entry 2NV0, [14]) and in the Pdx1/Pdx2/Glutamine complex (pink, PDB entry 2NV2, [14]) from *Bacillus subtilis*. The triad catalytic is shown in sticks: C79, H170 and E172. H170 residue was replaced by Asn in Pdx2 to stabilize the Pdx1/Pdx2/Gln complex for crystallization [14]. Reorientation of the residues Q10 at loop β1-α1 and E48 implicated in glutaminase activity and complex formation with Pdx1 [16] are shown in sticks. Dashed lines represent the two peptide flip of P45-G46-G47. Two potential hydrogen bonds are shown in green dots: for tetrahedral intermediate (between the sulphydryl of C79 and the γ-carbonyl of Gln) and for stabilization of the oxyanion hole (the O of the Gln amide group and the N of the G47 peptide bond). Part of the Pdx1 α-N is displayed.

Pdx1 uses R5P and G3P and condenses the two phosphorylated sugars and ammonia (NH₃) from L-glutamine to synthesize directly PLP. The biochemistry of Pdx1 comprises several reactions described recently by Hanes et al 2008.
The proposed mechanism suggests that Pdx1 binds the pentose R5P ring, forms an imine between the R5P aldehyde and a lysine in the active site, isomerizes it to ribulose 5-phosphate (Ru5P), incorporates nitrogen from glutamine to the carbon 5 of the Ru5P backbone by an imine formation, performs tautomerization, amine elimination, phosphate ion elimination, G3P incorporation, imine hydrolysis and cyclization to form the pyridinium ring, PLP. Incorporation of ammonia to the R5P adduct leads to the formation of a stable chromophoric intermediate I with maximum absorbance at 315 nm, used commonly to analyse the enzymatic activity of Pdx1 by spectrophotometric experiments. Noteworthy, Pdx1 has the ability to prescind from Pdx2 in vitro and synthesize PLP using other NH₃ sources such as, NH₄Cl or NH₂SO₄. However, enzymatic studies in vitro have shown that Pdx2 enhances PLP synthesis in Pdx1, suggesting domain communication is important for catalysis.

1.2.3 Pdx2: the glutaminase of the PLP synthase complex

Known structures of the Pdx2 subunit are solved from B. subtilis (PDB codes 1R9G[11], 2NV0[14]), G. stearothermophilus (PDB code 1Q7R, [17]), T. ther-
mophilus (PDB code 2YWD) and *P. falciparum* (PDB code 2ABW, [24]). Pdx2 is a Rossmann-fold protein with a α/β layer structure common to other members of triad glutamine amidotransferases. Pdx2 is highly variable and insertion sequences are common in eukaryotic homologues with approximately 35% identity between bacterial and eukaryotic Pdx2 proteins. The eukaryotic Pdx2 structure from *P. falciparum* has structural segments different to the bacterial Pdx2 and was proposed that some of them are possibly involved in protein-protein interaction with Pdx1 [24].

Pdx2 as the NH$_3$ donor in the PLP synthase complex, has an enzymatic center constituted by the catalytic triad: cysteine, histidine and glutamate (see alignment, Figure D.2) for glutamine hydrolysis, as explained above §1.2. Pdx2 is functional when interacting with Pdx1, as a manner to control glutamine hydrolysis and therefore optimize glutamine use. Whether Pdx2 activation relies simply on Pdx1 interaction or requires an NH$_3$ acceptor bound in the active site of Pdx1 is not known at present.

1.3 Current knowledge of PLP biosynthesis in malaria parasites

Plasmodia are protozoan parasites member of the phylum apicomplexa and responsible for the protozoal disease, Malaria. It is estimated approximately 1 million death annually occurs due to malaria in several countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America [25], caused mainly by *Plasmodium falciparum*.

1.3.1 The elaborate life cycle of *Plasmodium*

*Plasmodium* species have a complex life cycle involving two hosts, the vector *Anopheles* mosquito and vertebrates such as, humans, rodents, monkeys, etc. The most studied malaria-causing parasite is *P. falciparum*. It develops with several morphological stages in the mosquito and human hosts, each having an asexual proliferation and invasive stage, named sporozoite and merozoite, respectively. To complete its life cycle, *P. falciparum* has a sexual stage shared between both hosts, in which gametes produced in the human are fertilized in the mosquito. Different morphologies of the parasite grow in the erythrocytes: ring, trophozoite, schizont, gametocyte and merozoite, causing a notable necessity for nutrients. In consequence of constant feeding by the parasite, the infected host cells degenerate and release the merozoites into the bloodstream, where they can invade other red blood cells and gametocytes can be taken up by a mosquito during feeding (Figure 1.7 A).
Figure 1.7: The complex life cycle of *Plasmodium falciparum*. A) the sexual cycle of the parasite is developed in the mosquito and human hosts. Two asexual proliferative stages are invasive: merozoites and sporozoites. B) a model for the de novo PLP biosynthesis and hypothetical transport of dephosphorylated B6 vitamers from erythrocytes is described in a parasite infected-erythrocyte: direct supply of PLP would occur by the R5P-dependent route and/or hemoglobin (Hb) uptake. Dephosphorylated PL, PN, PM vitamers in the red-blood cell would be transported into the parasite and converted into PLP by proteins of the salvage pathway PdxK, transaminases and oxidases. See §1.1 for more detail of the enzymes involved in the PLP biosynthesis pathways.

1.3.2 Plasmodial PLP biosynthesis in the red blood cell

During intraerythocytic stages, the parasite needs proper survival mechanisms to adapt under different host conditions. Due to the importance of PLP as an essential enzyme cofactor, *Plasmodium* must obtain it and supply cellular PLP demands. *P. falciparum* ingests and degrades more than 75% of the host cell hemoglobin in the acidic vacuole [26] for protein biosynthesis and energy generation [27]. It is known that hemoglobin binds PLP and PL [28] and might serve as a PLP source. However, there are not evidence to date which show the erythrocytes contribute in parasite nutrition in regards to vitamin B6.

The B6 vitamers in the form of PN and PM, as well as their phosphorylated forms would require to be converted to PL in the erythrocyte before delivered to the parasite, because human homologues of PNP and PMP oxidases have not
been identified yet in the genome of *Plasmodium*, suggesting that transamination of pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate and oxidation of pyridoxine 5'-phosphate to form PLP might not be possible in the parasite. Therefore PL and/or PLP might be the mayor B₆ vitamers in *Plasmodium* (Figure 1.7, B).

The *pdx1* and *pdx2* genes encoding enzymes of the R5P-dependent pathway are found in *Plasmodium* [29]. Both genes lack a signal targeting sequence. Their protein expression profile in the intraerytrocytic stages of *P. falciparum* shows that Pdx1 and Pdx2 localize in the cytosol [24], suggesting the de novo PLP biosynthesis in the parasite might be functional.

### 1.4 Aim of the PhD Thesis

Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins from *Plasmodium* are chosen to make this PhD thesis, to gain insights into enzyme function and regulation of the PLP synthase complex, which can contribute in understanding the biology of PLP biosynthesis in the malaria parasite.

The Doctoral thesis was focused on:

- Determination of the first eukaryotic 3D structure of PLP synthase complex
- Insight into the malarial PLP synthase with a vision to drug design
- Study of the assembly of the PLP synthase complex
- Understanding of the mechanism of ammonia substrate tunneling
- Understanding of the PLP biosynthesis reaction mechanism based on structural studies

**PLP synthase complex assembly**

The R5P-dependent PLP synthase assembles into a multi-enzyme of 24mers with 12 PLP synthase and 12 glutaminase subunits. This high order of organization implies the enzyme is regulated to control enzyme function and activation as a fully occupied complex. Pdx2 for instance enhances the R5P binding in bacterial Pdx1 [13]. However, it is not known whether Pdx2 remains bound to Pdx1 and dissociates from Pdx1 once ammonia is delivered or binding of substrates regulate the Pdx1/Pdx2 assembly. This raises the question whether PLP synthase complex functions as a full 24mer enzyme or Pdx2 transiently interacts with Pdx1 to provide ammonia. In order to describe the assembly process of the enzyme complex, the *Plasmodium* PLP synthase complex is analysed in the presence and absence of substrates, using electron microscopy and isothermal titration calorimetry.
The Pdx1/Pdx2 interface has been analysed in detail in *B. subtilis* [14, 16]. However, eukaryotic systems are not yet available to explain whether there exist differences in the interaction mode between Pdx1 and Pdx2 of the PLP synthase complex from both cellular types. Crystallization of the plasmodial PLP synthase complex is pursued to compare how protein-protein interaction in eukaryotic and prokaryotic PLP synthase complexes is built, based on x-ray crystallographic studies.

Ammonia tunnel in the PLP synthase: a common geometry with slight variations in the packing

A proposed model for ammonia shuttling in *BsPdx1* suggested that methionine residues would be involved in transfer of ammonia from Pdx2 to Pdx1 active site [14]. Although, not all methionines are conserved among Pdx1 proteins, suggesting variations in the tunnel packing maintain a similar function for ammonia delivery. Whether this difference is reflected in the regulation of ammonia transferring is not known. To gain insight into the process of ammonia transfer, residues of plasmodial Pdx1 are mutated by site directed-mutagenesis and the resulting variant proteins are analysed by enzymatic assays. The biochemical analysis should provide insights into the function of conserved and variable residues, to understand ammonia uptake by Pdx1.

PLP synthase activation: views towards the mechanism of PLP biosynthesis

The enzymatic reactions that lead to PLP synthesis in the R5P-dependent route occur mainly in Pdx1. The reaction steps involve a chemistry described by [23]. Participation of some residues in substrate binding and catalysis have been characterized [17, 14, 13, 22, 30], providing important knowledge about PLP biosynthesis. The enzyme requires structural regions to stabilize substrate binding and activate PLP synthesis such as, the C-terminus and helix α2’. The C-terminus plays a role in enzyme function, as seen by the depletion of PLP synthesis in C-terminal deletion mutants of *B. subtilis* and *S. cerevisiae* Pdx1 [23, 18]. Helix α2’ orders, when Pdx2 interacts with *B. subtilis* Pdx1 and positions in the vicinity of the active site [14]. Crosslinking studies made in *B. subtilis* Pdx1 suggested that R5P binding is a cooperative process, described by intra-subunit communication between neighboring subunits [23]. Contributions to understand the role of the Pdx1 C-terminus in enzyme regulation were made by deleting this segment in *P. berghei* Pdx1 and dissecting it in various regions in *P. falciparum* Pdx1 (published in [31]). Additionally, activation and reaction mechanism of the PLP synthase is investigated, using Pdx1 structures in the presence and absence of substrates, as well as in complex with Pdx2 to allow understanding how structural elements such as helix α2’ are required for R5P binding and how catalytic residues react with the substrates to produce PLP.
Chapter 2

Materials and Methods

Chemicals. L-Glutamic Dehydrogenase from bovine liver, 3-acetylpyrimidine adenine dinucleotide, D-Ribose 5-phosphate, DL-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, L-Glutamine, Glycine, Tris, NaCl, Dithiothreitol (DTT) were from Sigma - Aldrich Vienna - Austria. Imidazol was from Merck Darmstadt-Germany. KCl, NaH$_2$PO$_4$ and EDTA were from ApliChem GmbH, Darmstadt-Germany. All other chemicals and reagents were from Roth, Karlsruhe-Germany.

2.1 Molecular biology and protein expression

Heterologous Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins from *P. falciparum*, *P. berghei* and the archeabacteria *Thermus thermophilus* were used in this study. Vectors containing the desired genes are listed in Table 2.1.

Gene cloning. The plasmodial *pdx1* and *pdx2* genes from *P. falciparum* 3D7 and *P. berghei* cDNA NK65 strains were cloned by M. Gengenbacher, Institute for Hygiene, Heidelberg 2006 as described in [24]. The genes were inserted into Ndel and Xhol restriction sites of the expression vectors pET21a or pET24a (Novagen, Darmstadt-Germany) using enzymes from New England BioLabs (NEB), Frankfurt-Germany.

Point mutations in the wild-type *pbpdx2* (H199N) and *pbpdx1* (M19V, M46I, L82A, L82S, L82F, L82M, M103A, M103F and M148L) genes were introduced using the Quick change mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). The used primers are listed in Appendix A. Untagged *P. falciparum* Pdx1 and Pdx2$^{H196N}$ constructs were created by PCR amplification using oligonucleotides listed in Appendix A.

Gene encoding for full length *T. thermophilus* Pdx1 protein was amplified by
Table 2.1: Clones and vectors used in this study. Clones marked with an asterisk * were provided by PD Dr. Barbara Kappes, Institute for Hygiene, Heidelberg University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Vector</th>
<th>Tag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1*</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx2*</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx2H196N*</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1*</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx2*</td>
<td>pET24a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1-native</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx2H196N-native</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx2H199N</td>
<td>pET24a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1M19V</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1M46I</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1L82A</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1L82N</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1L82M</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1L82F</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1M103A</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1M103F</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1M148L</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TtPdx1</td>
<td>pET21a</td>
<td>C-terminal 6His-tag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCR from genomic DNA of the T. thermophilus HB8 DSM 579 strain purchased from “Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH”, Germany. The ttpdx1 gene was cloned into BamHI and NotI restriction sites of the PCR®2.1-TOPO® vector (Topo TA cloning kit, Invitrogen). After gene sequencing, the ttpdx1 gene was excised from the TOPO vector using BamHI (from NEB) and NotI restriction enzymes and further digested with NdeI. The digested product was recloned into NdeI and NotI sites of the expression vector pET21a.

Protein expression. All plasmodial proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21-Codon Plus (DE3)-RIL expression cell (Stratagene, USA) transformed with cloned vectors. The TtPdx1 protein was expressed in E. coli BL21-Codon Plus (DE3) expression strain (Stratagene, USA). Cells were grown in LB media at 37°C to an OD600 = 0.7 and then induced by addition of 0.1 mM IPTG for 3 hours. After induction, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4000xg for 20 minutes at 4°C, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C.
2.2 Protein purification

**Cell disruption.** Pellets from 1 liter expression culture were resuspended in 50 ml lysis buffer (50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$ pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM Imidazol). Cells expressing untagged PfPdx1 and PfPdx$_2^{H196N}$ proteins were resuspended in 200 ml buffer A (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol, 0.5 mM MTG) and cells in suspension were disrupted using a microfluidizer, Microfluidics$^TM$ (Newton, Massachusetts, United States). The cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 26000xg at 4°C. The lysate from TtPdx1 was incubated at 70°C for 20 minutes and further centrifuged for 20 minutes at 26000xg at 4°C.

1st purification step: Ni affinity for 6His-tagged proteins. Proteins were purified by metal ion affinity chromatography using the Ni-Nitrilotriacetic-acid beads from GE Healthcare, previously equilibrated with lysis buffer and a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The column containing protein-bead mixtures was washed with 50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$ pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazol and 50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$ pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 60 mM imidazol. Proteins were eluted with elution buffer (50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$ pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazol). Fractions containing the desired protein were concentrated using Amicon$^R$ Ultra filters (Millipore, Ireland) with 30 kDa and 10 kDa cutoff for Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins, respectively, and applied to a gel filtration column.

Purification of native proteins. Untagged PfPdx1 and PfPdx$_2^{H199N}$ were purified by anion exchange chromatography, using a self-packed XK26/20 column (GE Healthcare, Uppsala-Sweden) with 5 ml Source 30Q matrix (GE Healthcare, Uppsala-Sweden) and equilibrated with buffer A. The lysate was applied to the column and the column was washed with 3 column volumes (CV) buffer A with a flow rate of 1 ml/min at 4°C. Protein was eluted with a salt gradient from 10 to 800 mM NaCl against buffer B (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 800 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol, 0.5 mM MTG). The gradient volume was 20 CV. PfPdx1 was detected in elution peaks containing 30 mM (peak A), 220 mM (peak B), 410 mM (peak C), 500 mM (peak E) of NaCl (shown in §3.1.4). PfPdx$_2^{H196N}$ eluted with 286 mM NaCl (Figure B.2). The fractionated proteins from peak A for PfPdx1 or peak B for PfPdx$_2^{H196N}$ were concentrated using Amicon$^R$ Ultra filters with 30 kDa for PfPdx1 or 10 KDa for PfPdx$_2^{H196N}$ and loaded onto a gel filtration column.

2nd purification step: size exclusion chromatography. For Pdx1 proteins, a Superdex$^TM$ 200 26/60 column (GE Healthcare) was used. The column was equilibrated with gel filtration buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MTG). The TtPdx1 gel filtration buffer contained 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM KCl. For Pdx2 proteins, a Superdex$^TM$ 200 26/60 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM Hepes pH 7.0, 200 mM KCl, 0.5 mM MTG was used. The elution profiles for PbPdx1, PbPdx2 and PfPdx2 wild types were the same for PbPdx1 ammonia tunnel mutants, PbPdx$_2^{H199N}$ and PfPdx$_2^{H196N}$, respectively. Calibration of the gel filtration Superdex 200 26/60 column was done using the calibration standards from Bio-Rad (Bio-
Rad Laboratories, Inc. United States) in the same gel filtration buffer used for protein purification. The gel filtration standard components with respective molecular masses were: Thyroglobulin (bovine) 670 kDa, γ-globulin (bovine) 158 kDa, Ovalbumin (chicken) 44 kDa, Myoglobin (horse) 17 kDa and Vitamin B_{12} 1.35 kDa.

Fractions containing the desired protein were pooled and concentrated for further enzymatic, crystallization, electron microscopy and/or ITC experiments. Protein concentrations were estimated by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm. The predicted extinction coefficients for all proteins used were calculated with the ProtParam Tool [http://expasy.org/tools/protparam.html](http://expasy.org/tools/protparam.html).

**Complex formation analysis by size exclusion chromatography.** Purified PbPdx1 and PbPdx2\textsuperscript{H199N} proteins at a concentration of 0.15 mM were mixed 1:1 ratio and further loaded on a Superose 6 10/30 (GE Healthcare) previously equilibrated with buffer 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MTG. For the analysis of the chimeric PbPdx1/PfPdx2\textsuperscript{H196N} complex, proteins were mixed 1:1 molar ratio to a final concentration of ~1 mM in buffer 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MTG, 10 mM L-glutamine. Calibration of the gel filtration Superose 6 10/30 column was done using the calibration standards from Bio-Rad (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) as above, in gel filtration buffer 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MTG.

### 2.3 Enzymology

#### 2.3.1 PLP synthase assay

The enzymatic activity for PLP synthesis was monitored spectrophotometrically at λ = 414 nm (ε<sub>PLP</sub> = 5380 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) at 37°C as described [22]. Purified proteins were exchanged to reaction buffer 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 20 mM NaCl by centrifugation using Amicon<sup>®</sup> Ultra filters with 30 kDa and 10 kDa cutoff for Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins, respectively. PLP synthesis from Pdx1 proteins, including the PbPdx1 mutants (PbPdx1\textsuperscript{M19V}, PbPdx1\textsuperscript{M46I}, PbPdx1\textsuperscript{L82A}, PbPdx1\textsuperscript{L82S}, PbPdx1\textsuperscript{L82M}, PbPdx1\textsuperscript{L82F}, PbPdx1\textsuperscript{M103A}, PbPdx1\textsuperscript{M103F} and PbPdx1\textsuperscript{M148L}) contained a reaction mixture of 40 µM Pdx1, 1 mM R5P, 1 mM G3P and 10 mM NH₄Cl in a final volume of 300 µl. For Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes, additionally 40 µM Pdx2 was present, and NH₄Cl was replaced by 10 mM L-Gln. Measurements were carried out at 37°C for four hours in 50 mM Tris/HCl, 20 mM NaCl pH 8.0.
2.3.2 Glutaminase assay

The enzymatic activity for glutamine hydrolysis was analyzed using a coupled enzyme assay with glutamate dehydrogenase described by [24]. The reaction mixture contained 5 µM Pdx1 or Pdx1 mutants, 5 µM Pdx2, 10 mM L-Gln, 10 U glutamate dehydrogenase and 0.5 mM APAD\(^+\) in 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 20 mM NaCl at a final volume of 300 µl. The reduction of APAD\(^+\) to APADH was monitored at 363 nm (\(\epsilon_{APADH} = 8900\) M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) for 20 min at 30°C.

2.4 Biophysical experiments

2.4.1 Isothermal titration calorimetry, ITC

Protein-protein interactions can be characterized by their heat generated or absorbed. ITC is a method that provides information of enthalpy \(\Delta H^\circ\), entropy \(\Delta S^\circ\) and Gibbs free energy \(\Delta G^\circ\) changes of any reaction under isothermal conditions. In a single ITC experiment, two reactants are titrated against one another generating (exothermic reaction) or absorbing (endothermic reaction) heat during the molecular interaction. The binding constant \(K_a\), enthalpy change \(\Delta H\) and binding stoichiometry \(n\) can be determined by non-linear least squares curve-fitting of the heat change per injection [32]. From the binding constant \(K_a\), the change in free energy of binding \(\Delta G^\circ\) and entropy change \(\Delta S^\circ\) at constant temperature can be calculated using the equation 2.1 and 2.2, where \(R\) is the gas constant and \(T\) the temperature.

\[
\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_a \tag{2.1}
\]

\[
\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H - T \Delta S^\circ \tag{2.2}
\]

In this work, complex formation between \(PbPdx1\) or \(PfPdx1\) with \(PbPdx2\) or \(PfPdx2\) were analysed by ITC, using a VP-ITC Microcalorimeter (MicroCal\(^\text{TM}\) Northampton, USA) as described before [15, 33]. Binding assays were done in absence or presence of 1 mM L-Gln at 25°C. All purified proteins were dialysed overnight in buffer 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 20 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MTG, +/- 1 mM L-glutamine. The experimental protocol used an initial 5 µl injection followed by 29 injections of 7 µl of Pdx2, typically at a concentration of 350 – 400 µM, against a cell containing Pdx1, typically at a concentration of 40 µM. The binding heat was determined by integration of the areas under the peaks, using the Origin 7.0 graphing/data analysis software [34]. The data were corrected for buffer dilution heats, using measurements in which Pdx2 was titrated into buffer.
2.4.2 Analytical ultracentrifugation, AUC

Association of the PbPdx1 and PbPdx2<sup>H199N</sup> in solution in the presence and absence of R5P and L-glutamine were determined by hydrodynamic analysis in analytical ultracentrifugation experiments using a Beckman Optima XL-A ultracentrifuge equipped with absorbance optics and an An60 Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). Sedimentation velocity studies were carried out at 42,000 rpm at 20°C using proteins with absorbance of 1 at λ=280 nm. Centrifugation was done in buffer 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 20 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MTG, +/- 1 mM R5P and +/- 1 mM L-glutamine.

Buffer density ρ and viscosity η were calculated using the program SEDNTERP, Version 1.09 (University of New Hampshire). Sedimentation coefficients were derived from the c(s) distribution of the raw data processed in Sedfit [35]. The theoretical and expected molecular weights were calculated using the aminoacid sequence with and without substrate(s) and from the molar mass distribution using Sedfit [36, 35], respectively.

2.5 Crystallization and Structure determination

Fundamentals of X-ray diffraction

X-rays are electromagnetic waves with wavelengths in the order of atomic distances 0.1 nm = 1 Å. The suitable x-rays used in crystallography are in the range between 0.5 Å and 1.6 Å, because the x-rays can interact and be scattered by electrons of atoms in protein crystals [37]. Crystals contain molecule(s) within a unit cell, which is periodically repeated in three dimensions. Interaction of the incoming X-ray with the atom electrons produces X-ray scattering. The conditions for constructive interference of scattered waves are given by Bragg’s Law (equation 2.3):

\[ n\lambda = 2dsin\theta \]

(2.3)

where \( n \) is integer, \( d \) the spacing distance between scattering planes, \( \theta \) the scattering angle and \( \lambda \) the wavelength of the x-ray.

A reflexion for each set of parallel lattice planes is observed only if the distance \( d \) and \( \theta \) between parallel lattice planes follow Bragg’s Law. The diffracted beam produces spots, whose intensity \( I \propto A^2 \) can be measured by the detector. However, the phase of the beam wave cannot be measured directly, because detectors are sensitive only to the incoming energy and this energy is independent of the wave phase. If the phases are known, the electron density of the diffracting atoms in the unit cell can be obtained by Fourier Transformation.
2.5.1 Protein crystallization and data collection

PbPdx1, PbPdx1-R5P, PbPdx1/PbPdx2H199N and PbPdx1/PfPdx2H196N and TtPdx1 were crystallized by sitting or hanging drop vapor diffusion at 19°C under the conditions written in Tables 2.2. Crystals of PbPdx1 with R5P were obtained by co-crystallization. Before setting drops, the protein was incubated with 10 mM R5P in gel filtration buffer for 1 hour at room temperature. The TtPdx1 crystals were soaked in mother liquor containing substrates as detailed in Tables 2.2. Crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, using respective cryoprotectants (Tables 2.2). Datasets were collected in the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility in Grenoble, France. For complete data collection, optimal starting angle and oscillation range were calculated using the “Strategy” option implemented in the software MOSFLM graphical user interface [38].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein (mg/ml)</th>
<th>PbPdx1 (ga48-3)</th>
<th>PbPdx1-R5P (ga50-3)</th>
<th>PbPdx1/PfPdx2H196N (ga38-5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamline</td>
<td>ID23-2</td>
<td>ID14-4</td>
<td>ID23-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization condition</td>
<td>0.1 Bicine pH 8.5, 1.6 M LiCl</td>
<td>0.1 Bicine pH 8.5, 1.2 M LiCl</td>
<td>0.1 NaK/Phosphate pH 6.2, 0.2 M NaCl, 6% PEG8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>substrate added (mM)</td>
<td>10 mM R5P in the condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryoprotectant</td>
<td>15% glycerol</td>
<td></td>
<td>20% EG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Crystallization conditions for the plasmodial and T. thermophilus structures.

2.5.2 Crystallographic data processing

Fundamentals of data processing

Indexing. The indexing procedure consists of assigning the unit cell parameters a, b and c and corresponding angles α, β and γ based on the symmetry of the diffracted reflections. The software reads the spot positions from one or more diffraction images, considering the crystal distance to detector. The spot position provides information about the crystal lattice, that can enclose several possibilities. The task is to find the lattice vectors with the highest Bravais lattice symmetry and lowest penalty. Once the lattice symmetry is chosen, parameter refinement (unit cell parameters, crystal orientation, the crystal-to-detector distance and position) is constrained to the chosen lattice symmetry.
**Integration and scaling.** After the unit-cell parameters and orientation are known, integration of the spots can be performed. During integration, all raw pixel intensity of full reflections, as well as partial reflections spread over multiple images are converted into single reflections by considering a defined pixel area (peak/background area) of the reflections. The process consists of estimating the intensities of the reflected spots and error value to each intensity by subtracting the x-ray background. The background is measured in a region around the reflection. At the end all values for the intensities are listed in the form of Miller indices $hkl$ with respective intensities $I(hkl)$ and estimated errors $\sigma I(hkl)$ for each observed reflection.

Many measured reflections from identical reflections or symmetry-related reflections are further scaled and merged in a process called data reduction, in order to generate unique reflections dataset that corresponds to the asymmetric unit of the reciprocal space. The indicators of data quality will be reflected in the $R_{\text{merge}}$ (equation 2.4), redundancy and the signal-to-noise ratio ($I/\sigma I$) in each shell and in the entire dataset. The statistical values for each dataset processed in this work are shown in Tables 3.7 and 3.9.

$$R_{\text{merge}} = \frac{\sum_h \sum_{i=1}^N |I(h)_i - \bar{I}(h)|}{\sum_h \sum_{i=1}^N I(h)_i},$$

(2.4)

where $N$ is the number of redundant observations of a given reflection $h$ and $\bar{I}(h)$ is the average intensity of each reflection.

Datasets collected from $\text{PbPdx1}$ and $\text{PbPdx1-R5P}$ crystals were indexed in $R32$ and for $\text{PbPdx1/PfPdx2}^{\text{H196N}}$ crystals in $P6_122$ space groups. The indexed data were reduced using Denzo and Scalepack from HKL 2000 software [39]. Datasets from $\text{TtPdx1}$ crystals were indexed in the $R32$ space group and integrated with iMOSFLM [38]; scaling was performed using Scala [40]. Details of data processing from all structures are listed in Tables 3.7 and 3.9.

### 2.5.3  Structure solution and refinement

**Fundamentals of structure determination and refinement**

Three methods exist to calculate experimental phases:

- Molecular Replacement
- Multiple Isomorphous Replacement
- Multiple Anomalous Dispersion

3-Dimensional structure models were available to permit the use of the molecular replacement method to solve the structures of this study.
Molecular Replacement

The phases are obtained using the coordinates of a model with sufficient homology to the structure to be solved. To calculate the amplitudes $F_{\text{calc}}$ and the phases $\Phi_{\text{calc}}$, the coordinates of the solved model are positioned in the unit cell by rotation and translation functions. With the help of the Patterson map, intramolecular vectors - which depend on the orientation of the molecule - are used in the rotation function. The Patterson map of the unknown structure is compared to the Patterson maps of the known structure in different orientations. The correlation between the two maps is obtained when the two vectors have similar orientation. Subsequently, when the orientation of the molecule is known the translation function - based on the intermolecular vectors - places the molecule to the correct coordinates in the asymmetric unit. The phases derived from the model and the experimental observed amplitudes $F_{\text{obs}}$ are used to calculate an electron density map. The model is further built manually and refined to find the differences between the model and the target and establish an agreement to the experimental data.

In this study, the structures of $\text{PbPdx1}$ and $\text{PbPdx1-R5P}$ at 2.4 Å resolution were solved by molecular replacement using MOLREP from CCP4 suite [41]. $\text{BsPdx1}$ (PDB entry 2NV1, [14]) was used as starting model for the $\text{PbPdx1}$ structure. Iterative model building of the chains in the asymmetric unit and refinement were carried out with Coot [42] and REFMAC5 [43]. Water molecules were added to the model using ARP/wARP. The chimera $\text{PbPdx1/PfPdx2}^{H196N}$ structure was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser [44] with the refined $\text{PbPdx1}$ as a search model. The 6 $\text{PfPdx2}^{H196N}$ subunits were found one by one, using the solution obtained from Phaser in MOLREP as fixed input model and $\text{PfPdx2}$ (PDB entry 2abw, [24]) as a search model. All obtained models were refined in REFMAC5 (Table 3.6).

$\text{TtPdx1}$ structures were solved by molecular replacement using imosflm [38] and phases from $\text{TtPdx1}$ (PDB entry 2ZBT).

Structure refinement. Considerations of refinement at low resolution

After a macromolecular structure model is obtained from molecular replacement, the model can be refined. Refinement consists of minimizing errors in the geometry and stereochemistry of bond lengths and angles, which remain after real space model building [45]. The parameters of a model are refined against the experimental data to obtain the best fit between the structure factor amplitudes of the observed $F_{\text{obs}}$ and calculated $F_{\text{calc}}$ model, quantified by the $R_{\text{work}}$ (Equation 2.5):

$$R_{\text{factor}} = \frac{\sum_h |F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}|}{\sum_h F_{\text{obs}}} \quad (2.5)$$

A cross-validation against a subset of unused experimental data (5% of the
Crystals that diffract to high resolution produce interpretable electron density maps because the diffraction data contains information of atomic distances d < 2.5 Å (e.g. PbPdx1, TtPdx1 and their corresponding derivatives solved in this work). For low-resolution crystal structures (e.g. PbPdx1/PfPdx2\textsuperscript{H196N} structure), side chains cannot be easily placed. The problem stems from the lack of sufficient number of observations contained in the diffraction data required to define the position of atoms at high resolution. The availability of high resolution structures as molecular replacement models (i.e., PbPdx1 at 2.4 Å resolution and PfPdx2 at 1.6 Å resolution [24]) served as useful starting point for the refinement at low resolution (i.e. PbPdx1/PfPdx2\textsuperscript{H196N} structure). C\textalpha fragments are traced of regions such as, loops and α-helices not possible to visualize in places where they are disordered in the individual subunits. The regions can be modelled manually in the electron density maps with programs like Coot and then refined using non-crystallographic symmetry (if symmetry related molecules are present in the assymmetric unit), rigid body refinement and/or secondary structure restraint using algoritms available in Phenix and/or CCP4i suites to improve experimental phases. Details of refinement statistics from all structures are listed in Tables 3.7 and 3.9.

2.5.4 Structure analysis

Structural superposition
Differences between PbPdx1/PfPdx2\textsuperscript{H196N} and BsPdx1/BsPdx2\textsuperscript{H170N} complexes were seen by superposition of the C\textalpha backbone of a single Pdx1 from the two structures, using the lsqkab program from the CCP4 suite [41]. The program calculated the root main square deviation of the C\textalpha atoms (rmsd). The resulting coordinates were recorded and used to superpose individual Pdx2 chain from PbPdx1/PfPdx2\textsuperscript{H196N} onto a single Pdx2 from PbPdx1/PfPdx2\textsuperscript{H196N} in lsqkab, providing both the rotation matrix and translation distance between centroids. The rotation matrix was used to calculate the rotation angle.

Electrostatic surface analysis
Comparison of the electrostatic surfaces between PbPdx1 (solved in this study) and PfPdx1 from the PfPdx1/PfPdx2 model described by [15] were done by superposition of the two structures in Pymol and further calculation of the electrostatic map using Adaptive Poisson-Boltzmann Solvent (APBS) tool [www.umich.edu/~mlerner/Pymol].

Ligand-protein building and representation
The R5P and PLP coordinates were sketched with the CCP4 library sketcher [41]. The monomer library files were used as input coordinates for manual building in Coot of the R5P in the active site of PbPdx1 and TtPdx1, as well as PLP in TtPdx1 and further refinement in Refmac5. Schematic diagrams of
ligand-protein interaction were generated with the program ligplot [46].

### 2.5.5 Electron microscopy analysis

Protein samples of *Pb*Pdx1 in complex with *Pb*Pdx2 or *Pb*Pdx2$^{H199N}$, as well as *Pf*Pdx1 and *Pf*Pdx1/*Pf*Pdx2$^{H196N}$ complex were prepared in reaction buffer used for enzymatic assays (§ 2.3), containing 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 20 mM NaCl and -/+ 10 mM Gln. The samples in a concentration of 20µg/ml were stained with 2 % uranyl acetate and placed onto a carbon-coated grid using the sandwich technique as detailed in [47]. The data were recorded either with a CM120 Biotwin electron microscope at 100 kV and a nominal magnification of 53,000x on a 4kx4k Tietz-CCD-camera or with a FEI F20 electron microscope at 200 kV with a nominal magnification of 29,000x on a Tietz 8kx8k CMOS camera. The nominal pixel size was 2.36 Å/pixel for the CM120 and 2.8 Å/pixel for the F20, respectively. For image analysis, particle images were semi-automatically selected with the autobox option of Boxer [48]. Subsequent alignment and classification were done with IMAGIC 5 [49].
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Results

3.1 Assembly of the PLP synthase complex in *Plasmodium*

3.1.1 Crystallization of the plasmodial PLP synthase complex

Structural studies in the bacterial Pdx1/Pdx2 complex have shown that several conformational changes occur in the two subunits upon complex formation [14, 16]. Some of these are implicated in mediating the protein-protein interface e.g., the αN terminus of Pdx1 and structural changes leading to a peptide flip in Pdx2 (described in §1.2.1).

To understand what promotes a stronger protein-protein interaction in the plasmodial Pdx1/Pdx2 when compared to the bacterial complex, a structural model was built based on the known bacterial complex and *P. falciparum* Pdx2 structures. This model proposed possible regions required at the interface not present in the bacterial complex and explained the variations seen in the protein sequence between the bacterial Pdx1 and plasmodial *Pf*Pdx1 (54% identical), Alignment [D.1]. However, a true structure was necessary to characterize these differences in more detail.

Several crystallization attempts were pursued with the *P. falciparum* PLP synthase complex and failed. Instead, proteins from the rodent malaria parasite *P. berghei* were successfully crystallized, obtaining different forms: needle-, star-, rombohedral- and spear- crystals. Crystallization was carried out using purified *PbPdx1* and *PbPdx2* or *PbPdx2H199N* proteins in the presence and absence of L-glutamine. Crystals were obtained from different preparations:
- 
PbPdx1 and PbPdx2$^{H199N}$ were mixed 1:1 ratio and gel filtered before crystallization (Figure 3.1 upper panel).

- The mixed proteins were subjected to crystallization in the presence of glutamine (Figure 3.1 lower panel a, c and d) and,

- A protein mixture containing PbPdx1/PbPdx2 was crystallized in the absence of glutamine (Figure 3.1 lower panel b).

All crystal forms were tested by x-ray crystallography, giving weak and anisotropic diffraction at low resolution (≥ 4.5 Å). The highest resolution was obtained by the needle crystals grown from a mixture of PbPdx1/PbPdx2$^{H199N}$ gel filtered previous to crystallization (Figure 3.1 upper panel). Several crystallization conditions such as, temperature, purification buffer and protein ratio variations were tried, in order to improve diffraction resolution, but were unsuccessful and structure solution was not pursued.

Due to the crystallization problems encountered with the Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes from 
P. berghei described above, a chimera consisting of Pdx1 from 
P. berghei and Pdx2$^{H196N}$ from 
P. falciparum was subjected to crystallization. The synthase and glutaminase from the different parasites formed a complex in vitro, as seen in size exclusion chromatography (Figure 3.2). The two Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins from the human and rodent parasites share high similarities in protein sequence, 84.5% between PfPdx1 and PbPdx1 and 63.8% between PfPdx2 and PbPdx2. The chimera PbPdx1/PbPdx2$^{H199N}$ complex successfully crystallized (Figure 3.2 top right) and diffracted to 3.6 Å resolution.

### 3.1.2 Reciprocal activation and interaction of Pdx1 and Pdx2 from different plasmodial species

Earlier studies described the importance of helix αN of Pdx1 for heteromeric Pdx1/Pdx2 interaction and glutaminase activation [14, 15]. Furthermore, the role of αN showed species specific properties, when analysing the interaction of a PfPdx1 “swap mutant” containing the αN helix from BsPdx1 by isothermal titration calorimetry [15]. The mutant interacted and activated the BsPdx2 glutaminase subunit but not PfPdx2. Additionally, in vivo complementation and in vitro studies showed PLP synthesis is depleted when the parasite Pdx1 and bacterial Pdx2 interaction is induced [24], suggesting there are species-specific features for the PLP synthase complex activation.

To test whether the 
P. falciparum and 
P. berghei Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes share similar activation properties that would allow to validate the chimeric PbPdx1/PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ complex as an appropriate system to study the plasmodial PLP synthase complex, enzymatic and thermodynamic experiments by ITC were carried out with the genuine complexes and the two possible chimeras 
P. berghei Pdx1 with 
P. falciparum Pdx2 and viceversa.
Figure 3.1: Purification and crystallization of the *P. berghei* Pdx1/Pdx2 complex. Upper panel, purified *PbPdx1* and *PbPdx2* proteins were mixed 1:1 ratio to a final concentration of 0.5 mM and loaded onto a Superose 6 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare). The elution profile is shown and collected fractions from corresponding A, B, C and D peaks were evaluated by SDS-PAGE (middle). The apparent molecular weight of peak A is 817 kDa, for the 24 mer of Pdx1/Pdx2 (theoretical value 708 kDa) calculated with the calibration curve (lower, right). Needle crystals (upper, right) from the pooled peak A fractions appeared under the condition containing 0.1 M Hepes pH 6.0, 0.2 M NaNO₃, 1.9 M NH₄SO₄. The needle crystals diffracted anisotropically to 4.5-5.5 Å resolution.

Lower panel, the purified *PhPdx1* and *PhPdx2* or *PhPdx2* were mixed 1:1 ratio to a final concentration of 0.5 - 1 mM in the absence and presence of 10 mM glutamine and crystallized under the conditions: a, 0.1 MES pH 6.0, 5% PEG6000; b, 0.1 Hepes pH 7.0, 5% PEG6000; c, 0.1 M Bicine pH 9.0, 2 M NaCl, 10% PEG6000; and d, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.0, 1 M LiCl, 10% PEG6000. Crystals obtained in a, c and d, contained variant *PhPdx2* in the presence of glutamine and, crystals obtained under the condition b, contained Pdx2 in the absence of glutamine. All four crystal forms diffracted to poor resolutions lower than 8 Å resolution.
Figure 3.2: Purification and crystallization of the chimeric PbPdx1/PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ complex in the presence of glutamine. Purified PbPdx1 and PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ were mixed in a 1:1 molar ratio to a final concentration of ~1 mM in the presence of 10 mM glutamine and loaded onto a gel filtration column Superose 6 10/300 GL (elution profile is shown). Samples from peak fractions A, B and C were evaluated by SDS-PAGE (middle). Elution peak B corresponded to the PbPdx1/PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ complex with a molecular mass of 610 kDa, calculated using the calibration curve shown in Figure 3.1. The sample from fraction peak B was concentrated to 15 mg/ml and used for crystallization. Crystals of the PbPdx1/PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ complex with ~20 µm appeared under 0.1 M Na/K Phosphate pH 6.2, 0.2 M NaCl, 10% NaCl at 19°C (right).

Activation of Pdx1 and Pdx2 from *P. falciparum* and *P. berghei*

PLP synthesis and glutaminase activity were monitored under saturating conditions of substrates, as described in §2.3. The PbPdx1/PfPdx2 complex showed an overall PLP and glutaminase activities similar to the genuine complexes PbPdx1/PbPdx2 and *PfPdx1/PfPdx2*, respectively (Table 3.1). The control *PfPdx1/PbPdx2* complex had a PLP activity similar to *PfPdx1/PfPdx2*. Glutamine hydrolysis showed a slight dependency of the subunit origin, for example, the chimera containing *P. falciparum* Pdx2 had a slight increase of glutaminase activity, whereas the chimera containing *PbPdx2* was decreased like the *PbPdx1/PbPdx2*, each with activities similar to the genuine complexes (Table 3.1). The results suggest that variations in the Pdx2 activity are independent on the plasmodial Pdx1 subunit used.

Interaction between Pdx1 and Pdx2 subunits from *P. falciparum* and *P. berghei*

The Pdx2 substrate L-glutamine was reported to play a major role in strengthening the Pdx1 / Pdx2 interaction, leading to 23-fold increased affinity of the B.
**Table 3.1:** PLP synthesis and glutaminase activities of *P. falciparum* and *P. berghei* complexes (in nmol/min.mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>PLP synthesis</th>
<th>Glutaminase activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1/PfPdx2</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>260 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>150 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PfPdx2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>233 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1/PbPdx2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>175 ± 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

subtilis and to 29-fold increased affinity of the *P. falciparum* proteins [15, 33]. To test the influence of L-Gln on complex formation, the chimera *PbPdx1/PfPdx2* and controls *PbPdx1/PbPdx2* or *PfPdx1/PbPdx2* complexes were analysed by ITC as described in § 2.4.1. *Pdx2* (or catalytically inactive *Pdx2*: *PbPdx2^H196N* and *PfPdx2^H199N*) was used as the titrant in the presence or absence of 1 mM glutamine at 25°C. The titration data for all analysed complexes are shown in Figure 3.3. In contrast to *P. falciparum* PLP synthase complex [15], addition of L-glutamine to *P. berghei* proteins did not show significant increase in affinity as judged from the similar dissociation konstant (K\(_d\)) values in the presence and absence of glutamine (Table 3.2). Chimeric complexes behaved like complexes from *P. berghei* and showed no (*PbPdx2* vs. *PfPdx1*) or little (*PfPdx2* vs. *PbPdx1*) dependence on addition of L-glutamine, according to the K\(_d\) values (Table 3.2). The ITC data suggest that in all cases the proteins become more ordered when substrate glutamine binds as entropy loss occurs and additional interactions are formed, seen as enthalpy gain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Kd  (nM)</th>
<th>(\Delta G) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>(\Delta H) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>-T(\Delta S) (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1/PfPdx2</td>
<td>1±0.1</td>
<td>2000±300</td>
<td>-33±1</td>
<td>12±3</td>
<td>-44±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1/PfPdx2/Gln</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>70±40</td>
<td>-41±1</td>
<td>-34±6</td>
<td>-6±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2</td>
<td>0.84±0.1</td>
<td>83.1±30.4</td>
<td>-41±0.9</td>
<td>-16.0±1.1</td>
<td>-25.2±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2/Gln</td>
<td>0.8±0.12</td>
<td>45.9±17.6</td>
<td>-42.5±1.3</td>
<td>-52.7±11.5</td>
<td>10.2±10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PfPdx2</td>
<td>1.1±0.07</td>
<td>90.9±9.0</td>
<td>-40.2±0.3</td>
<td>9.8±1.1</td>
<td>-50.0±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PfPdx2/Gln</td>
<td>0.9±0.13</td>
<td>36.3±9.9</td>
<td>-42.6±0.7</td>
<td>-42.8±2.2</td>
<td>-0.2±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1/PbPdx2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1/PbPdx2/Gln</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.2:** Interaction of Pdx1 and Pdx2 from *P. falciparum* and *P. berghei* in absence and presence of glutamine
Figure 3.3: Isothermal titration calorimetry of the plasmodial PLP synthase complexes in absence or presence of 1 mM L-glutamine. Upper pannel shows the ITC results of the \( \textit{PbPdx1/PbPdx2} \), \( \textit{PbPdx1/PfPdx2} \) and \( \textit{PfPdx1/PbPdx2} \). In the lower panel, the ITC results of the same complexes in the presence of glutamine are shown, using inactive Pdx2 with a mutation at the His residue for Asn (\( \textit{PbPdx2}^\text{H199N} \) and \( \textit{PfPdx2}^\text{H196N} \)). Binding assays and fitting of the heat signals were performed as described in §2.4.4.

### 3.1.3 Reciprocal interaction of Pdx1 and Pdx2 for enzyme activation

Effect of Pdx1 in glutamine binding by Pdx2

Activation of Pdx2 requires the presence of Pdx1 [50]. Basal glutamine hydrolysis is observed in the absence of Pdx1 and is enhanced in the presence of the PLP synthase (Table 3.3). To test whether Pdx2 can bind glutamine before complex formation with Pdx1, ITC measurements were done at 25°C. When glutamine was titrated into \( \textit{PbPdx1} \) or \( \textit{PbPdx2}^\text{H199N} \), no heat was observed, while titration into a mixture of 1:1 molar ratio of \( \textit{PbPdx1/PbPdx2}^\text{H199N} \) did
produce heat of binding generated by the substrate (Figure 3.4). This suggests
that the substrate glutamine does not bind tightly to the autonomous Pdx2
subunit, and instead requires a preformed Pdx1/Pdx2 complex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PbPdx2</th>
<th>24 ± 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2</td>
<td>150 ± 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3: Glutaminase activity of *P. berghei* Pdx2 influenced by Pdx1 in nmol/min/mg

Figure 3.4: Isothermal titration calorimetry of glutamine into the plasmodial PLP
synthase complex. Titration of 100 mM glutamine into 40 µM PbPdx1 (left), 40 µM
PbPdx2/H199N (middle) or 40 µM PbPdx1/PbPdx2/H199N (right). No significant heat
change is observed after titration of glutamine into the autonomous Pdx1 and Pdx2
proteins.

Effect of R5P in Pdx1/Pdx2 interaction and glutaminase activation

A particular feature of glutamine amidotransferases is an acceptor domain for
ammonia. Usually, it is expected that this ammonia acceptor promotes the
activation of the glutaminase. In contrast, the glutaminase rate in the PLP
synthase complex is not influenced by an ammonia acceptor [12]. Whether the
substrate R5P is required to enhance protein complex assembly is not known.
To discard the possibility Pdx1 had bound R5P from the bacterial cytosol during
protein expression, the purified Pdx1 was incubated with 1 mM G3P and 10 mM
NH₄Cl at room temperature, followed by dialysis and gel filtration to remove
remnants of substrates and PLP. The protein free of R5P adduct was used
in the enzymatic and interaction assays. The activity of Pdx2 was tested in
the presence or absence of R5P and was similar in both assays (Table 3.4). In
addition, unbound Pdx1 was used for interaction studies by ITC (Table 3.5) and
analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) (Figure 3.5). The results showed Pdx1 and
Pdx2 can interact in the same manner independent on the presence and absence
of the sugar.
Table 3.4: Glutaminase specific activity of *P. berghei* PLP synthase complex in the presence or absence of R5P (in nmol/min.mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Kd (nM)</th>
<th>ΔG (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>ΔH (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>-TΔS (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2</td>
<td>183 ± 39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2/PP5P</td>
<td>179 ± 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: Effect of R5P on the interaction of Pdx1 and Pdx2 from *P. berghei* analysed by isothermal titration calorimetry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ρa (g/cm³)</th>
<th>ηb (cP)</th>
<th>Scalc,c (S)</th>
<th>Mcalc,d (kDa)</th>
<th>Mexp,e (kDa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>720.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2/PP5P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>590.4</td>
<td>721.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1/PbPdx2/PP5P/Gln</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>724.536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.5: Analysis of the R5P effect in the PbPdx1/PbPdx2H199N complex in the presence of L-Gln by AUC. Sedimentation velocity runs at 35000 rpm at λ = 280 nm. Sample concentration was with an absorbance of 1 at 280 nm. The frictional ratio for all Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes were 1.3.

* and †, buffer density and viscosity, respectively calculated using SEDNTERP version 1.09 (University of New Hampshire).

c, sedimentation coefficients calculated from the c(s) distribution of the raw data processed in Sedfit.

d, molecular weight derived from molar mass distribution using Sedfit.

e, molecular weights calculated from aminoacid sequence with and without substrate(s).

f, corresponds to the molecular weight of PbPdx2 calculated from the protein sequence.
3.1.4 Pdx2 occupies the Pdx1 dodecamer randomly

Since crystallization attempts of the PfPdx1/PfPdx2 or PfPdx1/PfPdx2\textsuperscript{2H196N} complexes in the presence and absence of glutamine did not give any crystal hits, electron microscopy (EM) studies\textsuperscript{1} were carried out in the presence of glutamine and catalytic inactive PfPdx2\textsuperscript{2H196N}. Unexpectedly, the PfPdx1/PfPdx2/L-Gln complex formed high order of fiber-like aggregates constituted by stacked heteromeric 24mer Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes, as shown by the densities in the class averages (see Figure 3.6 a). The protein aggregation was dependent on PfPdx1, since the protein alone also formed fibers (Figure 3.6 b). Interestingly, the high molecular aggregates of PfPdx1 were not seen in size exclusion chromatography. To discard the possibility that modified His-tag proteins would form aggregates, native proteins were also analysed. However, the untagged PfPdx1 formed fibers. During the protein purification Pdx1 eluted under different salt concentrations, i.e., different ionic species were present, as shown in the ionic exchange purification profile (Figure 3.7) and each fraction peak showed the same elution profile after gel filtration (Figure 3.8, example for one purification profile). Neither of these protein samples were crystallizable. The electrostatic surface at the interface of opposite Pdx1 subunits using the PfPdx1/PfPdx2 model \cite{15} and PbPdx1 did not show differences in the contact of the two Pdx1 proteins (Figure 3.9), difficult to discern the cause of fiber formation in the \textit{P. falciparum} PLP synthase.

![Figure 3.6: Fiber formation in the PfPdx1/PfPdx2 complex shown by EM. Purified native PfPdx1 and PfPdx2\textsuperscript{2H196N} proteins were mixed 1:1 ratio and analysed in the presence of 10 mM glutamine by EM. In a) PfPdx1/PfPdx2\textsuperscript{2H196N} in the presence of glutamine; b) PfPdx1 protein. Protein preparation for the EM contained 20 µg/ml. The arrows show fiber-like aggregations of the proteins.](image)

\textsuperscript{1}EM class averages and pictures shown in this study were made by Dr. Katharina Hipp, formerly European Molecular Laboratories (EMBL), now at the University of Edinburgh, UK.
Figure 3.7: Elution profile of native PfPdx1 by AEC using a Source 30Q matrix. PfPdx1 eluted with a NaCl gradient from 10 to 800 mM at different concentrations: 28.2 (peak A), 221 (peak B), 408 (peak C) and 499 (peak E). The green line represents the gradient elution. Each peak fraction was pooled and loaded onto Superdex S200 26/60. An example of the purification by size exclusion chromatography is shown in 3.8.

Figure 3.8: Elution profile and purification of PfPdx1. Elution profile of PfPdx1 from a Superdex S200 26/60 column shows two elution peaks A and B at 121 and 138 ml with molecular mass of 707 and 435 KDa, respectively, calculated using the calibration curve shown in Figure B.1. Theoretical value of one PfPdx1 subunit is 33.013 KDa (accession code C6KT50 http://www.uniprot.org/) and for 12 Pdx1 subunits, 396.01 kDa. Evaluation of the fraction peaks by SDS-PAGE is shown (right). Peak B corresponds to the elution of PfPdx1 dodecamer.
Figure 3.9: Electrostatic surface analysis of PfPdx1 and PbPdx1. A) Two opposite monomers, 1 and 2, of PbPdx1 (left), indicating the interface with a rectangle. Monomer2 is rotated 90° to show the electrostatic surface of the two monomers interface (right). Surface regions of structural elements such as, αN, C-terminus (C-term) and α8' as well as, position of the active site are labelled. B) Two opposite monomers of PfPdx1 from the PfPdx1/PfPdx2 described in [15] are shown as in A). The electrostatic surface of additional segments (βN and α2') not visible in PbPdx1 are labelled. The electrostatic surface was generated with the APBS tool in Pymol, as described in §2.5.4. Blue and red patches correspond to positively and negatively charged residues, respectively. The molecular surface was setted to -25 to 25 to visualize the electrostatic map.

were analysed by electron microscopy to see whether these homologue proteins formed fibers as the P. falciparum proteins. For the assay, active and inactive Pdx2 were used. The PbPdx1 and PbPdx2 proteins were mixed in equimolar concentrations and visualized by electron microscopy. Unexpectedly, few Pdx2 subunits of the active protein occupied the Pdx1 ring, even in the presence of glutamine (Figure 3.10 a). The control experiment, consisting of PbPdx1 and inactive PbPdx2H199N showed Pdx1 dodecameric particles fully occupied with Pdx2 in the presence of glutamine (Figure 3.10 b), as observed by size exclusion chromatography analysis (Figure 3.1).

The fiber formation observed with PfPdx1 and PfPdx1/PfPdx2 not seen when using the P. berghei homologue proteins might be a time and concentration-dependent process and would explain why autonomous PfPdx1 or in complex with PfPdx2 complex failed to crystallize. The results also showed Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes require the inactive Pdx2 to stabilize the heterodimers, as seen in the bacterial complexes because clearly a different pattern distribution is possible to see when active Pdx2 is used, in which Pdx2 attaches to Pdx1 complexes randomly.
3.2 The plasmodial Pdx1/Pdx2 structure

Initial attempts to determine the \( \text{PbPdx1/PfPdx2}^{H196N} \) structure by molecular replacement (MR) failed when using \( \text{BsPdx1/BsPdx2} \) (PBD code 2NV2, [14]) as a template in the form of hetero-dodecamers (6 Pdx1 and 6 Pdx2 subunits) or heterodimers of Pdx1/Pdx2. The experimental phases were then obtained by consecutive MR, using high resolution structures from \( P. \text{berghei} \) Pdx1 at 2.4 Å (determined in this work, Table 3.7) and \( P. \text{falciparum} \) Pdx2 at 1.6 Å (PBD code 2AWB, [24]) as search models. Initially, the Pdx1 hexamer was searched by MR, giving a refinable solution. The packing of the hexamer in the unit cell showed clear space for the placement of Pdx2 subunits. On this MR solution, 6 subunits of \( P/Pdx2 \) (PDB 2ABW) structure were added by their superposition onto the bacterial complex as a reference. Using this model in MR also failed, suggesting from this result and the previous MR attempt with the bacterial dimer or hetero-dodecamer that Pdx2 position in the plasmodial complex differs from the bacterial system. Therefore, MR was performed fixing the Pdx1 model as input coordinates and searching for Pdx2. The Pdx2 subunits were found consecutively and refined as rigid bodies after every MR solution (Table 3.6). The last Pdx2 subunit (chain 6) found in the asymmetric unit after MR had low occupancy, due to the absence of crystal contact and is reflected by the slightly change of the figure of merit (FOM) and \( R \) factors shown in Table 3.6. A final model containing 6 Pdx1 and 6 Pdx2 subunits in the asymmetric unit and 59 % solvent content was refined at 3.6 Å resolution (Table 3.7).

The overall architecture of the complex resembles the complexes described from \( T. \text{maritima} \) [13] and \( B. \text{subtilis} \) [14]. It consists of 12 Pdx1 subunits forming a dodecamer of two hexameric rings occupied by 12 Pdx2 subunits interacting with each Pdx1 (Figure 3.11). Differences on Pdx1 and Pdx2 are seen when the bacterial and plasmodial complexes are superposed, with an rmsd of 0.931 Å calculated over the main chain of 261 residues out of 297, belonging to the \( (\beta/\alpha)_8 \) core of one Pdx1 subunit in the two structures and excluding the residues at the N and C-terminal regions. Superposition of the Pdx1 subunits showed
Table 3.6: Molecular replacement and refinement statistics of the \( PbPdx1/PfPdx2 \) structure. Molecular replacement was performed as described in §2.5.3. Models containing 6 Pdx1 \( (P6_{1}22) \) with 1, 2, 4, 5 or 6 Pdx2 subunits were refined with each Pdx1 and Pdx2 domains as rigid bodies, using Refmac5 from CCP4 [3]. \( R_{work} \) and \( R_{free} \) are defined in equation 2.5. Figure of merit (FOM) represents the phase probability distribution, tending to 1 when the phase angles are well defined and to 0 when the error of the measured phase angles increases. Highlighted values correspond to the final model consisting of 6Pdx1 and 6Pdx2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( P6_{1}22 )</th>
<th>Pdx2</th>
<th>( R_{work} )</th>
<th>( R_{free} )</th>
<th>FOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Pdx1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.7: Crystallographic analysis of the plasmodial structures. \( R_{merge} \), \( R_{work} \) and \( R_{free} \) are defined in equations 2.4 and 2.5. \( R_{free} \) was calculated by excluding 5% of the experimental data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ga48-3</th>
<th>ga59-3</th>
<th>ga38-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( PbPdx1 )</td>
<td>( PbPdx1-R5P )</td>
<td>( PbPdx1/PfPdx2_{P1}^{H196N} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>R32</td>
<td>R32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell a, b, c (( \text{\AA} ))</td>
<td>180, 181, 102</td>
<td>181, 181, 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent content (%)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of mol in AU</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (( \text{\AA} ))</td>
<td>50 - 2.42</td>
<td>62.2 - 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>23093</td>
<td>23988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{merge} ) (%)</td>
<td>3.6 - 44.7</td>
<td>4.2 - 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>100 - 98.5</td>
<td>99.9 - 99.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I/\sigma(I) )</td>
<td>48.7 - 3.2</td>
<td>9 - 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refinement

| \( R_{free} \) (%) | 23.2 | 28 | 29 |
| \( R_{work} \) (%) | 18.5 | 21 | 28 |
| # of amino acids | 539 | 555 | 2988 |
| # of Water | 107 | 68 | 3 |
| # of protein atoms | 4189 | 4168 | 23094 |
| Ligand/ion atoms | 20 $^a$ | 26 $^a$ | 60 $^a$ |
| Ramachandran most favored (%) | 93.2 | 92.1 | 68.5 |
| Ramachandran additional allowed (%) | 6.6 | 6.8 | 20.3 |
| Ramachandran generously allowed (%) | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0 |
| Ramachandran disallowed (%) | 0 | 0.2 | 5.2 |
| RMSD bonds (\( \text{\AA} \)) | 0.022 | 0.0168 | 0.0165 |
| RMSD angles (\( ^\circ \)) | 1.984 | 1.8482 | 1.668 |

Pdx2 backbone is displaced 1.6 \( \text{\AA} \) and rotated 8.5\(^\circ\) with respect to Pdx1 (Figure 3.12 left).

Analysis of the electron density maps of \( PbPdx1/PfPdx2_{P1}^{H196N} \) structure showed regions not resolved in the electron density of autonomous proteins, difficult for the interpretation of amino acid side chain position at 3.6 \( \text{\AA} \) resolution. However, backbone of the main chain of secondary structure regions such as, loops and
α-helices not visible in the autonomous subunits were possible to trace and build manually in the electron density map, using the high resolution structures \textit{BsPdx1/ BsPdx2, P. berghei} Pdx1 and \textit{P. falciparum} Pdx2 as references. The use of non-crystallographic symmetry in \textit{Coot} and rigid body refinement with multiple rigid bodies (each chain considered as a rigid body) in \textit{Phenix} were used to improve experimental phases.

\textbf{Figure 3.11:} Structure of the plasmodial PLP synthase complex. Pdx1 forms a dodecamer-like structure with 12 Pdx1 subunits (blue). Pdx2 (brown) attaches to each Pdx1 subunit. One Pdx1/Pdx2 heterodimer is highlighted (right side). Top and side views are shown by rotating the complex by 90°.
3.2.1 Plasmodial Pdx1/Pdx2 interface: structural changes induced by complex formation

Helix $\alpha_N$ of Pdx1 required for complex formation and glutaminase activation \cite{14,15} is partially ordered and becomes stabilized once Pdx1 interacts with Pdx2. An earlier model of the PLP synthase from \textit{P. falciparum} proposed that helix $\alpha_N$ would be preceded by a small beta strand (named $\beta_N$) that orients to the Pdx2 subunit and runs parallel to the $\beta_7$ of the central beta sheet of this protein, as shown in \textit{T. maritima} and \textit{B. subtilis} \cite{15}. Interestingly, the very N-terminus in the chimeric complex differed in this important region and was not oriented towards Pdx2, instead is oriented to Pdx1 (Figure 3.12 right), suggesting the very N-terminus can acquire a different conformation in eukaryotic PLP synthase complexes.

![Figure 3.12: Comparison between bacterial and plasmodial Pdx1/Pdx2 heterodimers. Superposition of Pdx1 from \textit{Plasmodium} (blue) onto Pdx1 from \textit{B. subtilis} (green) reveals the displacement and rotation of the plasmodial Pdx2 subunit (brown) 1.6 Å and 8.5°, respectively, with respect to bacterial Pdx2 (pink). Helix $\alpha_2'$ of Pdx1 is seen also in a different position. Pdx1/Pdx2 interface is zoomed in to show the position of the N-terminus and loops 95-111 and 124-127 of the plasmodial heterodimer.]

A particular loop that connects $\beta_5$ and $\beta_6$ in Pdx2 (residues 95-111) is extended by additional seven residues not observed in the bacterial homologues, and forms a helical turn $\alpha5$-1 (Figures 3.13). This segment contacts the loop $\alpha2$-$\beta3$ in Pdx1 (Figure 3.12 right), a conserved region in \textit{P. falciparum} Pdx1.

Pdx1/Pdx2 complex formation induces additional changes in the interface. Two loops in Pdx2 important for glutaminase activation \cite{16}, i) loop Q12 connecting $\alpha1$ and $\beta1$ and ii) oxyanion hole loop (Figure 3.13), acquire a similar conformation as seen in the bacterial Pdx2 complexed to Pdx1. In addition, a loop $\beta6$-$\beta7$ (amino acid residues 124-127) not resolved in electron density of the 1.6 Å Pdx2 structure alone is visible in the chimeric complex (Figure 3.13), and is located at the interface region with Pdx1 (Figure 3.12 right).
Figure 3.13: Comparison between bacterial and plasmodial Pdx2 Structures. Superposition of autonomous PfPdx2 (yellow, PDB 2ABW) and BsPdx2 from the Pdx1/Pdx2 complex (pink, PDB 2NV2) onto PbPdx1/PfPdx2*H196N complex (brown) reveals structural changes in the Pdx2 subunit upon complex formation. Regions that remain the same in BsPdx2 and PfPdx2 structures after superposition are omitted for clarity. The structural differences are highlighted in red color and enclosed in rectangles: the insertion sequence in plasmodial Pdx2 is shown as Loop 95-111. Loop 124-127 is disordered in autonomous PfPdx2. The critical activation loop regions: loop Q12 (located between β1 and α1) and loop OXH (oxyanion hole region) are highlighted. Both loops in the plasmodial PLP synthase complex acquires a similar conformation like in the bacterial BsPdx2. Electron density 2mFo-DFc (blue, after refinement) and Fo-DFc (green, before refinement) omit maps contoured at 1.2sigma and 2.2sigma, respectively, for each respective region. Position of the active site shows the catalytic triad in stick representation.

3.3 Activation of the PLP synthase: helix α2’ and C-terminus of Pdx1 are key players in catalysis

The Pdx1 C-terminus plays a key role in catalysis and oligomerization of the hexameric Pdx1 ring [18, 23]. It has low protein sequence conservation (Figure D.1), although its biochemical function in catalysis seems to be conserved. Structure of the full C-terminus is not known because of its high flexibility [13, 14, 18]. In this study, the solved PbPdx1 structure shows the C-terminus forming an extended loop close to loop α2'-α2 of an adjacent Pdx1 monomer (Figure 3.14). The 14 C-terminal residues are not defined in electron density of either PbPdx1 or PbPdx1 in complex with Pdx2. Deletion of 23 residues at the C-terminal loop in PbPdx1 abolished I$_{320}$ formation and therefore no PLP activity was detected by enzymatic studies, without interfering with the glutaminase activity, Table 3.8. However, dodecameric conformation of this deletion mutant was not disrupted as seen for the wild-type PbPdx1 (Figure 3.15 upper panel), according to the elution profile in size exclusion chromatography (Figure 3.15 lower panel).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$I_{320}$ (% Activity)</th>
<th>PLP synthesis (% Activity)</th>
<th>Glutaminase (% Activity)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$PbPdx1$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PbPdx1_{Δ275}$</td>
<td>no activity</td>
<td>no activity</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PbPdx1PbPdx2$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PbPdx1_{Δ275}PbPdx2$</td>
<td>no activity</td>
<td>no activity</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.8: Functional analysis of the $PbPdx1_{Δ275}$ deletion mutant. Specific activities of $I_{320}$ formation, PLP synthesis and glutaminase in the absence and presence of $PbPdx2$.

Figure 3.14: Architecture of the C-terminus in plasmodial Pdx1. Two Pdx1 protomers are shown in light and dark blue. C-terminus of the subunit 2 is oriented toward the adjacent Pdx1 in close proximity to helix $α^2'$. Highlighted hydrogen bonds make contact between subunit 1 and 2 along the C-terminus and loop $α^2'-α^2$. The dashed line represents one possible orientation the C-terminal region of subunit 2 might take towards subunit 1. Covalently bound R5P to Lys 84 is shown as stick model.

To characterize the function of different regions of Pdx1 C-terminus in R5P binding and PLP synthesis, several C-terminal deletion variants of $P. falciparum$ Pdx1 (Alignment shows the mutation position [D.1]) were analysed with respect to PLP and hexamer/dodecamer formation. Contributions to this knowledge were published in Derrer, et al 2010 [31]. The results demonstrated that 5 amino acid residues after helix $α^8''$ are involved in R5P binding and $I_{320}$ intermediate formation, and efficient PLP synthesis requires the residues onward. Furthermore, formation of the hexamers requires the C terminal helix $α^8''$, which helps to maintain the oligomeric state of Pdx1. The $PbPdx1$ structure at 2.42 Å resolution is used here to describe the results. The C-terminus runs parallel to the loop which connects $α^8$ and $α^8'$ of the same protomer (Figure 3.14). When this C-terminal region was deleted, R5P binding was abolished. As helix $α^8'$ is required to tighten R5P in the active site [13], the initial C-terminal segment might assist $α^8'$ in the sugar binding.

Furthermore, the C-terminus and loop $α^2'-α^2$ run parallel with each other (Figure
Figure 3.15: Purification of PbPdx1 and PbPdx1Δ275 C-terminal deletion mutant. Elution profiles PbPdx1 and PbPdx1Δ275 from a Superdex S200 26/60 column. Upper panel, elution profile of PbPdx1 shows two fraction peaks (A and B), evaluated by SDS-PAGE (middle). PbPdx1 eluted at 151 ml, with a molecular mass of 352 KDa for a dodecamer, calculated using the calibration curve shown in Figure 3.19. Rhomboid crystals of PbPdx1 (right) were obtained with size ∼200 µm and diffracted to 2.5 Å resolution (Table 3.7). Lower panel, Elution profile of PbPdx1Δ275 shows a fraction peak (A) evaluated by SDS-PAGE (right). The deletion mutant eluted at 154 ml, with a molecular mass of 319.2 KDa for a dodecamer, calculated using the same calibration curve as PbPdx1. Theoretical values for one protomer of PbPdx1 and PbPdx1Δ275 are 32.689 and 30.17 KDa, respectively, calculated with the server, accession code of PbPdx1: Q4Z0E8.

3.14, forming two main hydrogen bond contacts with distances of 2.9-3.3 Å: two nitrogens of two peptide bonds right after α8” with the carboxyl group of Asp66.
in loop $\alpha_2'$-$\alpha_2$, and the guanidinium group of Arg63 with the carbonyl group of Met 278 peptide bond at the C-terminal loop. Arg63 is a highly conserved residue that might establish an important contact to stabilize the conformation of loop upward $\alpha_8'$ at the adjacent subunit. Biochemical analysis of deletion variants of PfPdx1 lacking the residues onward Met278 showed a decrease in PLP synthesis. This C-terminal region is in close proximity to $\alpha_2'$ of an adjacent protomer (Figure 3.14), an additional segment involved in the initial steps for R5P binding [14], it appears the C-terminus extends towards the adjacent active site and assists in R5P binding, a cooperative regulatory process in Pdx1 core proposed by Raschle, et al 2009 [23].

Conformational changes in helix $\alpha_2'$ of Pdx1 [13] are important for PLP synthase activation. The helix is ordered in the bacterial Pdx1 upon interaction with Pdx2 and most likely prepares enzyme for catalysis [14]. In the plasmodial Pdx1/Pdx2 complex solved at 3.6 Å resolution, $\alpha_2'$ is also ordered and seen in a different orientation, compared with the Pdx1/Pdx2 structure from B. subtilis (Figures 3.12 and 3.16). Since Pdx1 can bind R5P in the absence of Pdx2, crystallization of PfPdx1 in the presence of R5P was tried to understand the importance of this helix in the R5P binding. The Pdx1-R5P structure at 2.44 Å resolution showed the sugar covalently bound in the active site to lysine 84 and $\alpha_2'$ is visible oriented towards the active site, closed to the sugar phosphate. Hence, the three structural conformations of helix $\alpha_2'$ suggest that there is an alternative positioning for this helix and this might be relevant with respect to the catalytic cycle of covalent R5P attachment.

Figure 3.16: Ordering of helix $\alpha_2'$ for Pdx1 activation. A) Pdx1 alone showing lysine 84 as stick model. The loop region of $\alpha_2'$ is shown in blue. B) This panel indicates the position of helix $\alpha_2'$ as observed in the PfPdx1-R5P complex (blue) and in the PfPdx1/PfPdx2 PLP synthase complex (dark-blue). Loops that change position upon R5P binding towards the sugar phosphate are colored green, pink and yellow. Helix $\alpha_8'$ is also shown, as this helix orients towards the sugar, as explained in §3.5.1. The covalently bound substrate ribose 5-phosphate on lysine 84 is represented as stick model.
3.4 Ammonia enters through an “unrestricted” tunnel in Pdx1

Ammonia is an essential substrate for PLP synthesis in the enzyme Pdx1. How it enters to the active site is not really understood. Glutamine is a source of ammonia when is hydrolysed by Pdx2 and can be substituted in vitro conditions for ammonium salts e.g., \( \text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \) and \( \text{NH}_4\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2 \). The Pdx1/Pdx2 complex carries out a coupled reaction, in which glutamine hydrolysis by Pdx2 leads to production of ammonia, which subsequently reacts with R5P in Pdx1. Since the two active sites are remote from each other, a model based on the structure of \( \text{B. subtilis} \) Pdx1 was proposed and suggested that ammonia might enter the \( \beta \)-barrel under the movement of flexible methionine residues [14]. The Pdx1 structure from \( \text{P. berghei} \) solved in this study shows that some methionine residues vary with respect to the position or conservation when compared to the bacterial Pdx1 (Figure 3.17, compare left and right in B). Enzymatic experiments were done in order to investigate the functional role of the residues within the \( \beta \)-barrel in ammonia transfer.

Methionine residues involved in ammonia transfer from Pdx2 to Pdx1

Helix \( \alpha \text{N} \) of Pdx1 was assigned as a key segment placed at the interface of both subunits, probably as the gate for ammonia entrance from Pdx2. Superposition of Pdx1 from \( \text{B. subtilis} \) alone and from the complex with Pdx2 showed no major changes in the conformation of \( \alpha \text{N} \), despite some side chain residues of the helix are not fully resolved in the autonomous \( \text{BsPdx1} \) [14]. However, the functional groups of two methionines (Met \#13 and \#16) on the helix displayed different conformation in the two Pdx1 structures (figure 3.17, B right). Mutation of Leu30 in \( \text{Arabidopsis thaliana} \), a residue in equivalent position to Met13 in \( \text{B. subtilis} \), reduced the coupling between Pdx1 and Pdx2 by increasing the glutaminase activity [50]. The \( \text{PbPdx1} \) structure solved in this study has a tryptophan in place of Met13 (Trp16), which is conserved in all plasmodial Pdx1 proteins (see Alignment D1). Met16 on the contrary, is conserved in the \( \text{P. berghei} \) Pdx1 (corresponds to Met19) and other Pdx1 proteins and in the \( \text{PbPdx1} \) structure adopts a similar conformation (Figure 3.17, A) to the one seen in the \( \text{B. subtilis} \) Pdx1 alone (Figure 3.17, B left). This Met19 was mutated to valine (based on the inactive Pdx1.2 of \( \text{A. thaliana} \)) and the PLP synthase activity was evaluated with and without \( \text{PbPdx2} \). The mutant had an increase of PLP synthesis in the absence of Pdx2 and produced a similar amount of PLP like wild-type in the presence of the glutaminase (Figure 3.18). Ammonia uptake from Pdx2 was efficient, despite the decrease of glutaminase activity in \( \text{PbPdx2} \) (Figure 3.18).
Figure 3.17: Comparison of the ammonia tunnel in PbPdx1 and BsPdx1. A) View of the PbPdx1 β8-barrel divided by three layers and αN helix with residues possibly involved in ammonia transfer. Scheme of the residue organization in the β-barrel in PbPdx1: residues in the inner β8-barrel are highlighted in gray circles. B) Stick representation of the residues in the inner barrel of P. berghei Pdx1 (left) and the superposed B. subtilis Pdx1 (right) in the absence (yellow, PDB code 2NV1[14]) and presence of Pdx2 (green, PDB code 2NV2[14]). Dashed line represents the distance of ∼ 22 Å between the active sites of Pdx1 and Pdx2. The oxyanion region of Pdx2 is shown for BsPdx2 (orange, PDB 2NV0) and BsPdx2 (pink, PDB 2NV2) from the complex with Pdx1. Half of the α-N terminus is shown for the three Pdx1 structures. Amino acids exchanged in PbPdx1 and BsPdx1 are labelled in red color. Glutamine from the BsPdx1/BsPdx2 structure is shown in stick representation. C) and D) Stereo views of the residues within the β-barrel for PbPdx1 (C) and BsPdx1 (D), as seen in the absence (yellow) and presence of BsPdx2 (green). Cavities in the barrels produced with a solvent radius probe of 1.2 Å calculated using Pymol. Cavities of the PbPdx1 and BsPdx1 alone are shown in green. Cavities of the BsPdx1 in the complex with Pdx2 are shown in blue. The two cavities in PbPdx1 not seen in the bacterial Pdx1s are indicated with blue arrows.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>PLP synthesis with NH$_4$Cl as NH$_3$ donor (Activity)</th>
<th>PLP synthesis with Pdx2/Gln as NH$_3$ donor (Activity)</th>
<th>Glutaminase activity (Activity)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1</td>
<td>0.9±0.0 (100)</td>
<td>1.4±0.2 (100)</td>
<td>183±6 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M19V</td>
<td>2.0±0.8 (222)</td>
<td>1.8±0.1 (130)</td>
<td>87±1 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M46I</td>
<td>1.5±0.2 (161)</td>
<td>2.8±0.8 (207)</td>
<td>294±15 (155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L82A</td>
<td>0.3±0.2 (28)</td>
<td>1.2±0.1 (89)</td>
<td>115±12 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L82S</td>
<td>0.3±0.0 (34)</td>
<td>1.3±0.1 (93)</td>
<td>194±20 (104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L82F</td>
<td>0.4±0.3 (44)</td>
<td>1.2±0.9 (89)</td>
<td>138±15 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L82M</td>
<td>0.7±0.2 (72)</td>
<td>1.2±0.2 (82)</td>
<td>179±3 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M103A</td>
<td>0.3±0.0 (34)</td>
<td>0.4±0.1 (30)</td>
<td>178±18 (97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M103F</td>
<td>no activity</td>
<td>no activity</td>
<td>126±47 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M148L</td>
<td>0.8±0.4 (89)</td>
<td>3.7±1.2 (274)</td>
<td>229±7 (125)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.18:** Functional analysis of residues in NH$_3$ tunneling in PbPdx1. Specific activities are indicated in nmol/min.mg for PLP synthesis of the NH$_3$ tunnel mutants in the absence and presence of PbPdx2. Glutaminase specific activities were also determined in nmol/min.mg with corresponding NH$_3$ tunnel mutants. Percentage of PLP synthesis and glutaminase activities were calculated based on the wild type (PbPdx1 or PbPdx1/PbPdx2) specific activities and displayed in a bar plot: light blue, variants using NH$_3$ from NH$_4$Cl source; dark blue, variants using NH$_3$ from L-glutamine hydrolyzed by Pdx2, and brown, glutaminase activities in the presence of the NH$_3$ tunnel mutants.

Residues in the β-barrel of PbPdx1 are organized in three layers represented in Scheme 3.17A. The bottom layer is constituted by Ile25, Leu82, M103, M148 and Phe213. The two residues Leu82 and Met103 are exchanged among Pdx1 proteins by a conserved Met or an aromatic residue (Tyr or Phe), respectively but conserved among plasmodial Pdx1s (see Alignment D.1). An example is shown in BsPdx1 (figure 3.17). The middle layer is formed by conserved residues Met46, Val129 and Phe236 (see Alignment D.1). The upper layer at the C-
terminal end of the β-barrel has invariant residues of the active site, described in more detail in § 3.5.

Interestingly, the reciprocal amino acid exchanges of PbPdx1Met103 for BsPdx1 Tyr100 at the bottom layer and PbPdx1Trp16 for BsPdx1Met13 in αN give the plasmodial Pdx1 ammonia tunnel a different construction, compared to the B. subtilis protein. At the interface of αN and bottom layer of the PbPdx1 barrel, a cavity is visible protruding at the proximity of Leu82, Met103 and Met148, when the tunnel is probed with a solvent surface radius of 1.2 Å (Figure 3.17, C). This cavity is not seen in the BsPdx1 barrel (Figure 3.17, D). To understand the function of the residues aligned within the barrel, point mutations were introduced by site-directed mutagenesis. The ability of the variants to produce PLP was tested in the absence and presence of Pdx2. Replacement of Met103 for Phe (based on the occurring of this residue in TmPdx1) and Ala resulted in no (Figure 3.18) or halved PLP synthesis, respectively. Pdx2 glutaminase activity in the presence of the PbPdx1M103F mutant was decreased to half of the activity. Replacement of Leu82 with Met (highly conserved residue in other Pdx1s) did not show difference in the PLP synthesis activity in the ammonia or Pdx2-dependent reactions. On the contrary, L82A, L82S or L82F showed significant changes in PLP synthase activities in the range of 50 % in the absence of Pdx2 (Figure 3.18), restoring their activities in the presence of Pdx2 (Figure 3.18). However, the exchanged Met148 and Met46 residues for Leu and Ile, respectively, led to two-three fold production of PLP when Pdx2 supplied the ammonia. The variant Met46Ile had also an increased PLP synthesis in the ammonia-dependent assay, suggesting this residue functions in ammonia transfer but not in Pdx1/Pdx2 communication. The results suggest that residues at the lower layer (L82 and M103) might function as a control point for ammonia uptake, and more precisely at M103 position according to the lost or decrease PLP synthase activity when the residue is mutated to Phe or Ala, followed by the conserved M46 and M148. These two methionines would control the ammonia movement once it enters the tunnel, otherwise their mutations would not make more accessible the tunnel for ammonia.
3.5 Insights into the reaction mechanism of PLP biosynthesis in Pdx1

Biosynthesis of PLP de novo in Pdx1 involves a chemistry currently described by Hanes et al 2008 [21, 52]. The authors characterized three key intermediates by NMR studies, incubating Pdx1 with $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N labeled substrates in a stepwise manner to prove: firstly, R5P or Ru5P adduct intermediate is bound to Pdx1 through its C1 carbonyl at the early steps of the reaction; this revises an earlier finding in the crystal structure of *T. maritima* at 2.9 Å resolution, where the sugar was thought to bind through a Schiff base at the C2 atom [13]. Secondly, it was confirmed that a chromophoric intermediate (I$_{320}$) with maximum absorbance at 315 nm previously observed by Raschle et al 2007 [22] is bound to Pdx1 via C5, suggesting a C1 to C5 imine migration. And thirdly, a final intermediate formed after addition of G3P was characterized as PLP bound through C5 to Pdx1 via an imine. These characterizations permitted to construct the several chemical steps in the PLP synthase mechanism as shown in Figure 1.6.

The highest resolution structure at 1.62 Å of Pdx1 deposited in the Protein Data Bank (http://www.pdb.org/, PDB entry 2ZBT) belongs to the extreme thermophile *Thermus thermophilus* from the HB8 strain. *T. termophilus* is a gram-negative bacterium that can grow at temperatures from 50°C to 82°C [53]. To gain insight into the sequence of the chemical reactions that encompasses PLP biosynthesis in the R5P-dependent route at atomic resolution, Pdx1 protein from this organism was cloned, expressed and purified as described in §2.1 and §2.2 (Figure 3.19), with the expectation to produce diffracting crystals at higher resolutions than the obtained with plasmodial *PbPdx1-R5P* complex.

The protein was crystallized under the conditions specified in Table 2.2 using ~1 mM of protein. Crystals for different experiments were soaked in ligands with 10 to 15 excess for R5P or PLP than protein concentration. Data collection statistics are listed in Table 3.9.

### 3.5.1 Architecture of the active site in PLP synthase: R5P binding state

In previous work, elucidation of the bacterial 3D Pdx1 structure at 2.9 Å (PDB 2ISS, [13]) in the presence of Ru5P allowed to assign the active site and the residues possibly involved in the sugar binding and catalysis [13]. This site was located at the C-terminal region of the β-barrel and was referred to P1, constituted by highly conserved residues: D23, K80, D101, S103, Arg146, G213, G234 and S235, see Alignment D.1. The *TtPdx1-R5P* complex structure solved in this study at 1.7 Å resolution higher than the *TmPdx1-Ru5P* complex structure gave additional details of the sugar binding site, P1. At the early steps of

---

2 nomenclature of amino acid residues mentioned throughout this §3.5 are from *T. thermophilus Pdx1*
Figure 3.19: Elution profile and purification of TtPdx1. Elution profile of TtPdx1 from a Superdex S200 26/60 column shows a fraction peak of 147 ml with molecular mass of 400 KDa calculated using the calibration curve (right). Theoretical value of one TtPdx1 subunit is 32.026 KDa (accession code Q5SKD9 http://www.uniprot.org/). The molecular weight for a dodecamer is 384.312 kDa (peak 147 ml). Evaluation of the fraction peak by SDS-PAGE is shown (middle).

### Table 3.9: Crystallographic analysis of the TtPdx1 structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>tt6-2 Pdx1-R5P</th>
<th>20-5 Pdx1-PLP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>R32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>183, 183, 97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β, γ (°)</td>
<td>90, 90, 120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent content (%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>46 - 1.7</td>
<td>90.9 - 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>62742</td>
<td>77155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%)</td>
<td>19.8 - 32.6</td>
<td>19.8 - 32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completens (%)</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σI</td>
<td>4.5 - 2.5</td>
<td>4.7 - 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfree (%)</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwork (%)</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of amino acids</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Water</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># ligands</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, 2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran most favored (%)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran additional allowed (%)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran generously allowed (%)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran disallowed (%)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD bonds (Å)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD angles (°)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> values were calculated for R5P ligand.  
<sup>b</sup> and <sup>c</sup> values were calculated for PLP and phosphate ions, respectively.  
R<sub>free</sub> was calculated by excluding 5% of the experimental data.

PLP biosynthesis, R5P is opened, by an still unknown mechanism, with formation of an R5P adduct at TtPdx1Lys80 and loss of H<sub>2</sub>O, as was demonstrated...
by mass-spectrometry analysis in BsPdx1. The hydrolysis occurs to lead a Schiff base linkage between the ε-N of Lys80 and the C1 carbonyl of R5P. This adduct has a visible electron density in the active site before refinement, when the map is contoured with 2σ (Figure 3.20, green map). Modelling of the sugar in the electron density and further refinement cycles, led to the backbone of the sugar well fitted into the density. Its carbonyl group at C2 is visible and not at C1 (Figure 3.20, light map), whose carbonyl would be expected to be lost during the imine reaction. A water molecule represented by water 1 in Figure 3.20 (see also the diagram in the same figure) is well defined at the high resolution electron density, forming hydrogen bonds with the ε-N of Lys80, Asp101 and Ser103. Asp23 is positioned closer to the hydroxyl group of C2 of the R5P adduct. Although Asp23 does not form direct contact with the sugar, it might function in general acid/base catalysis, because its mutation (i.e., in B. subtilis and P. falciparum Pdx1) inactivated the PLP synthesis in Pdx1, confirming its catalytic importance.

Comparison of the apo and TtPdx1-R5P structures shows that sugar binding induces several conformational changes in the surrounding of the P1 site (Figure 3.20). These structural changes lead to the formation of a pocket, where the phosphate group of R5P forms H-bonds with: the nitrogen of the peptide bond of three glycines (G152, G213 and G234), the hydroxyl group of S235 and three water molecules 2, 3 and 4 (Figure 3.20). The residues orient towards the phosphate ion i.e., residues G234 and S235 in helix α8’ and G156 in loop β6-α6 (Figure 3.20). Helix α2’ also is displaced to the bound sugar (as seen in the PbPdx1 structure, Figure 3.16) and closes the active sites.

3.5.2 From P1 to P2: PLP synthesis

A second active site in Pdx1, named P2, was predicted to be composed by H114, R129, E137, R136, R137, K148 and K186 from a neighboring subunit (Figure 3.21) based on TmPdx1 structure. Recently, H114, R136 and R137 were confirmed to be required for PLP synthesis. After R5P ring opens in P1 site, an imine adduct is formed. Ammonia enters P1 active site and reacts with the ketone of the adduct. This reaction releases water, forming a second imine in C2 with the incoming ammonia, Figure 1.6. The reaction would follow a phosphate elimination that leads to the I320. A particular chemical step of imine migration was described in the PLP reaction mechanism: the imine linkage between Lys80 and the adduct shifts from the C1 to C5 position, Figure 1.6. It would represent a mechanism for the movement of the intermediate to the P2 active site. As Lys148 is located at P2 site of Pdx1, it was proposed earlier that Lys148 main chain would rotate and approach the P1 site. The reaction proceeds from the chromophoric I320 intermediate to PLP synthesis by addition of G3P. A free form of PLP in the active site has been reported in P2 of the yeast Pdx1 structure, but not explanation was given about the reaction step that leads to the release of PLP nor the residues implicated in catalysis. To gain insight into the PLP formation site, Pdx1 crystals were soaked in PLP solution. The obtained TtPdx1-PLP structure at 2.1 Å resolution showed an
Figure 3.20: R5P bound state in the active site of Pdx1. A) Electron density maps $F_oF_c$ (green mesh) before refinement and $2F_oF_c$ (light mesh) after refinement of R5P bound to Lys80. B) Two views of the active site of TtPdx1 (blue) at 1.7 Å resolution with refined electron density of Lys80 bound to opened R5P. The $F_oF_c$ and $2F_oF_c$ maps are contoured with 2.5σ and 1.2σ, respectively. Apo Pdx1 structure (grey) is used to observe the conformational changes upon R5P binding in helices α2', α8' and loop β6-α6. Catalytic residues are represented in sticks. Waters (yellow dots) are enumerated: 1, 2, 3 and 4, according to the scheme shown below. Bottom panel, schematic diagram of R5P-Lysine interaction in P1 active site of Pdx1. Residues and waters in contact to Lys80 bound to the C1 of opened R5P via a Schiff base are shown with the hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonds and respective length.

electron density protruding from Lys148 (Figure 3.22 A) with similar shape to the pyridinium ring of PLP. PLP was modelled in the density and refined. The resulting structure shows PLP forming an imine bond with its C4' and the ε-N of Lys148 (Figure 3.22 B). In this PLP-Pdx1 complex clearly the side chains of
Arg136 and Arg137, as well as Lys186 from an adjacent protomer are in polar contacts with the phosphate of PLP (Diagram 3.22).

Figure 3.21: P1 and P2 active sites of Pdx1 with R5P bound in P1. A Pdx1 subunit with the two active sites highlighted in green (P1) and blue (P2) with respective catalytic residues in stick representation. R5P covalently bound to Lys80 in P1 and phosphate ion in P2 are shown in stick representation.
Figure 3.22: PLP bound state in the active site of Pdx1. Top Panel, A) Pdx1 active sites showing electron density map $F_o-F_c$ (green mesh) of the main chain of Lys148 before refinement in P2 site. Location of P1 shows Lys80. Residues of P2 site are displayed in stick representation. B) The refined electron density $2F_o-F_c$ of modelled PLP in the active site of Pdx1 (blue), superposed onto the unrefined structure as shown in A. Rotation of R129 is represented by dashed arrow. $F_o-F_c$ and $2F_o-F_c$ maps in A and B are contoured with 3.0$\sigma$ and 1.2$\sigma$, respectively. Bottom Panel, schematic diagram of PLP-Lysine interaction in P2 active site of Pdx1. Hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonds with respective length are shown of the residues and waters in contact to Lys148 bound to C1' of PLP via a Schiff base.
Chapter 4

Discussion

Direct de novo biosynthesis of pyridoxal 5′-phosphate requires the three substrates ribose 5-phosphate, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate and L-glutamine as a source for ammonia. The biochemical mechanism consists of the condensation of the two phosphorylated sugars with ammonia in the PLP synthase. The majority of the knowledge available to date about the enzyme describes the activation mode of Pdx2, in which Pdx1 is essential to induce glutamine hydrolysis. However, Pdx1 catalyses most of the chemical steps for PLP biosynthesis and it needs to be understood how the enzyme is activated in order to carry out the catalytic reactions to finally synthesize PLP.

4.1 Activation of the PLP synthase

R5P entrance and organization of the catalytic center for enzyme activation

R5P binding entails reorganization of the active site, in which segments such as, helices α2′ and α8″, move towards the sugar. The structural changes induce the formation of several hydrogen bonds with the substrate mainly at the phosphate group. This leads to the formation of a closed environment surrounding the sugar (Figure 4.1). Earlier structural studies showed that helix α2′ is ordered when Pdx1 interacts with Pdx2 [14, 13] and this was later assumed to occur as an allosteric effect induced by Pdx2 to enhance R5P binding [23]. There are three structural states for α2′ described in this study, which explain how helix α2′ functions in the activation of the PLP synthase. The helix is disordered in the PhPdx1 structure and orders in the plasmoidal Pdx1/Pdx2 structure. Interestingly, the helix is seen in a different position, when compared to bacterial Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes and does not cover the P1 site. Here, this state is proposed as the open conformation of α2′ to allow access for R5P.
Co-crystallization of the plasmodial PbPdx1-R5P complex showed a third conformation of the helix, closer to what was observed for bacterial PLP synthase complexes from *B. subtilis* and *T. maritima* [14, 13]. When the sugar enters the catalytic center, it binds covalently to lysine 84 and helix α2′ closes the active site. It explains helix α2′ has a crucial function in sequestering the substrate intermediate from the solvent, most likely to prepare the enzyme for further catalytic steps.

![Figure 4.1](image_url)

**Figure 4.1**: Scheme for R5P binding in the active site. The open R5P is attached to Lys84 with its C1 atom. H-bonds are shown between the phosphate group and residues G217, G238 and S239. H-bonds with G156 can also be formed (not shown). Displacement of α2′ and α8′ induced by R5P binding are indicated by arrows. Asp27 is shown as a potential residue involved in isomerization of the R5P adduct into Ru5P intermediate, as this residue is seen in close proximity to the oxygen atom of C2 in the R5P-Pdx1 complex.

The activation mechanism of Pdx1 seems to be supported by simultaneous intra and inter subunit communication in the Pdx1 core, by the C-terminus of a Pdx1 protomer. This assumption is not a direct interpretation from the crystal structures of Pdx1 proteins solved in this study or from other known structures, in which the C-terminus is partially resolved in the electron density. Instead, biochemical and mass spectrometry analyses carried out in C-terminal deletion mutants of PfPdx1 showed that the five residues downstream of helix α8′ of the C-terminus (Figure 4.2), are essential for R5P binding and therefore PLP synthesis (results published in [31]). This result and the available data of the partially C-terminal region seen in the *P. berghei* Pdx1 structure, are consistent to assign a function to the C-terminus of Pdx1 in catalysis. The C-terminus of one Pdx1 subunit forms an extended loop at the interface of the adjacent Pdx1 subunit. The cooperative mechanism for PLP biosynthesis might occur by the active role of the C-terminus in pentose binding at two active sites: firstly, mediating the displacement of the N-terminal dipole of helix α8′ towards the substrate phosphate of the same subunit with its initial region (Figure 4.2), and secondly, assisting in sugar binding at the neighboring protomer with the onward region (Figure 4.2), by repositioning helix α2′.
4.1.1 Intermediates of the PLP synthase reaction mechanism

Biochemical evidence for NH$_3$ tunneling in the β/α-barrel

The PLP synthase is classified as a glutamine amidotransferase with two remote active sites, one for the ammonia production and the other for PLP synthesis. The resulting ammonia from glutamine hydrolysis in Pdx2 is channeled to the Pdx1 active site by an unknown mechanism. Structural analyses proposed that methionine residues are involved in NH$_3$ transfer. Pdx1 is functional in the absence of Pdx2 under *in vitro* conditions in the presence of ammonium salts. Furthermore, enzymatic reports have shown that deletion of helix βN of Pdx1, a key segment for glutaminase activation, does not affect PLP synthesis in ammonia-dependent reactions. The ability of Pdx1 to function in the absence of Pdx2, even when lacking its helix βN, indicates the N-terminal side of the β-barrel functions as the ammonia gate. The Pdx1 β-barrel is hydrophobic and encloses an appropriate environment for the passage of reactive ammonia. However, a defined route within the β-barrel to connect the two active sites is not discernible, suggesting opening of the tunnel is transient for ammonia transfer.

Several residues at the inner side of the barrel or within helix αN terminus were exchanged by site-directed mutagenesis in PbPdx1 and resulting proteins were evaluated by enzymatic assays. The results from these assays gave evidence for the β-barrel channels ammonia to the acceptor intermediate in the P1 active site. They also explained which residues are required for ammonia transfer. The residues were chosen based on structural analysis for two reasons: 1) because they showed changes in side chain conformation upon Pdx1 and Pdx2 interaction; 2) to prove their function as the residues formed cavities in the β-barrel that would form - in principle - the place of ammonia. The variants indicated the β-barrel of Pdx1 plays a role in ammonia tunneling, as shown by their significant changes in the PLP synthesis rate. The ammonia tunnel residues were grouped here according to three functions: i) residues involved in the uptake of nascent ammonia from the Pdx2 active site e.g., Met19; ii) residues of the ammonia gate in Pdx1 in the β-barrel (e.g., Leu82, Met103 and M148) and, iii) residues controlling the ammonia transfer to the catalytic center (e.g., Met46). Met19 showed to be involved in glutamine hydrolysis, since a severe effect was observed...
on glutaminase activity of Pdx2 on exchanged of this residue. Met19 lies on αN helix exposed to the Pdx2 catalytic center, close to the peptide required to stabilize the oxyanion region formed during glutamine hydrolysis. It appears this methionine has an influence on the arrangement of the Pdx2 active site, forming a path for the nascent ammonia. The ammonia would then pass helix αN and encounter the ammonia gate at the bottom of the β-barrel. This gate consists of Leu82, Met103 and Met148. Mutations of Leu82 and Met103 showed notable defects in ammonia-dependent PLP synthesis, restoring the enzymatic activity in the Leu82 mutants when ammonia was supplied from the glutaminase. Interestingly, Met103 was unique in this respect showing drastic activity loss in the Pdx2 dependent and independent assays, when substituted either by Phe or Ala. Introduction of a bulky side chain blocked the tunnel completely, however a replacement for alanine still showed a decrease to less than 50% PLP activity with a minor effect on the glutaminase rate, suggesting Met103 serves as a control point for ammonia uptake. An interesting result from the Met148Leu variant showed that the PLP synthesis rate increased approximately five-fold in the glutaminase-dependent assay, therefore this residue directly links glutamine hydrolysis, ammonia transfer and PLP synthesis. The path for ammonia is followed by Met46, which is close to the catalytic Lys84 at the upper part of the β-barrel. Replacement of this conserved methionine upregulated the PLP synthesis in both enzymatic assays, suggesting ammonia can move within the β-barrel until it encounters Met46, which controls the ammonia entrance to the catalytic center of Pdx1.

R5P binding at the catalytic center

Earlier steps of the PLP biosynthesis require the catalytic reaction of R5P with a lysine in the P1 site followed by isomerization of the R5P adduct. NMR experiments demonstrated that the sugar is bound with its C1 carbon to the lysine via a Schiff base [21]. In a recent investigation made by Moccand et al [30], it was suggested that an arginine (Arg288, nomenclature of BsPdx1) positioned at the flexible C-terminal region (not visible in Pdx1 structures) would coordinate the binding of R5P at the adjacent protomer, by functioning as a general base activated by Asp24 (nomenclature for B. subtilis) of Pdx1 [30]. The activated Arg288 would function as a proton abstractor at C5 prior to phosphate elimination [30] on the way of the chromophoric intermediate formation. This residue is not highly conserved and is substituted by lysine in plasmodial Pdx1 proteins (Alignment D.1). The authors also tested an R288K variant which behaved as the wild type. If the Arg functions as a proton acceptor, Lys might have the same chemical function in plasmodial Pdx1 proteins. Crystallographic structures of PbPdx1 and TtPdx1 solved in this study confirmed the R5P substrate is attached to the catalytic lysine with its C1. The aspartate is in close proximity to the C2 carbon of the R5P adduct in the active site, indicating the aspartate might be the proton acceptor from the hydroxyl group of C2 for R5P adduct isomerization into Ru5P. Arg288 could function in substrate isomerization and not for proton shuffling at C5, more precisely, as a proton acceptor at Asp24 subsequently Asp24 functioning as a base in the isomerization to give a carbonyl group at C2.
Intermediate migration from P1 to P2: PLP biosynthesis

The enzymatic reaction after R5P binding continues when the second substrate, NH₃, reacts with the pentose adduct to form the chromophoric intermediate I₁₂₀ after release of water and phosphate ion. The question was if the final steps of PLP biosynthesis take place in the P2 site of Pdx1 and Lys152 (nomenclature for PbPdx1) is involved in the chemical reactions, how the intermediate would be transferred from P1 to P2. A proposed mechanism was suggested after elucidation of the bacterial PLP synthase in complex with an Ru5P adduct and later supported by chemical analyses with NMR experiments [13, 21]: since Lys152 is located in the P2 site, the residue would have to rotate and approach the P1 site [13], to reposition the intermediate in a new environment [21, 56]. This residue was confirmed to play a role in catalysis, as mutants of it were unable to synthesize PLP [13, 22]. Interestingly, mutation of this lysine into arginine did not hinder the enzyme to produce I₁₂₀, unlike mutation to alanine that incapacitated Pdx1 to produce the chromophoric intermediate [22]. This finding was an initial indication that Lys152 might play a role in catalysis and has been recently confirmed by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry studies [30]. The Pdx1-PLP structure solved in this work shows the PLP attached with its C4’ carbon to the catalytic lysine via a Schiff base in the P2 site, giving structural evidence of the P2 site for PLP biosynthesis. Still, it is unclear at what stage of the reaction the intermediate is transferred from P1 to P2, where the PLP is observed. The mechanism remains to be characterized by which the imine migrates from C1 to C5. A hypothetical mechanism based on [21, 56] is illustrated in Figure 4.3.

Figure 4.3: Proposed mechanism for migration of the intermediate to P2 site. As postulated by Hanes et al 2008, an imine migration mechanism takes place from C1 to C5 of the intermediate. Lys152 approaches P1 site by rotation and reacts with the intermediate at C5.
4.2 Assembly of the PLP synthase complex

Studies of the multienzymatic assembly of the PLP synthase complex are sparse. The structural and biochemical data available show the full assembly of the enzyme forms a complex of up to \(\sim 700\) kDa \cite{13, 14, 18, 31} and the association of the Pdx1 or Pdx1/Pdx2 subunits relies on critical structural and functional segments. The core of the PLP synthase complex is constituted by Pdx1 forming a dodecamer, as seen in the crystal structures of bacterial Pdx1 \cite{13, 14}. However, hexamers can be formed in solution for bacterial and eukaryotic systems \cite{13, 14, 31}, and smaller oligomers are absent. The *Plasmodium* structure solved in this study confirms that eukaryotic Pdx1 proteins, in general, can assemble into dodecameric structures, in contrast to the yeast Pdx1 from *S. cerevisiae* that was hexameric in the crystal structure and almost exclusively hexameric in solution \cite{18}. The presence of a unique sequence insertion in the yeast Pdx1 protein is responsible for the different oligomerisation behavior \cite{18}. The Pdx1 complex assembly depends on the additional helices that surround the (\(\beta/\alpha\))\textsubscript{8} barrel and delimit the interface of the hexamer/dodecamer \cite{18, 31}. This assembly determines a catalytically active complex as the Pdx1 quaternary conformation is required for pentose substrate binding and catalysis in a cooperative manner \cite{23, 31}.

Pdx2 assembles randomly to the Pdx1 dodecameric core

The mechanism by which Pdx2 assembles to the Pdx1 core has not been studied in detail. Fully occupied PLP synthase complexes could be obtained *in vitro* using substrates of the enzymes (Ru5P or glutamine), which allowed crystal structure determination \cite{13, 14} and in this study (Figure 4.4, A). Biophysical studies have shown the substrate glutamine strengthens the Pdx1/Pdx2 interaction in prokaryotic and eukaryotic complexes \cite{14, 15, 33}. All tested PLP synthase complexes from *B. subtilis* \cite{33}, *P. falciparum* \cite{15} and *P. berghei* show a high entropy gain in the absence of glutamine that decreases when glutamine is added to the system, suggesting Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes lose conformational freedom tending to the stabilization of the complex. Furthermore, an increase of enthalpy change occurs in the presence of glutamine, most likely due to the additional interactions formed at the protein-protein interface and glutamine binding in the active site. Hence, it can be deduced that the substrate glutamine modulates the complex formation by entropy loss and enthalpy gain.

The mechanism by which the two enzymatic subunits associate / dissociate in the presence of glutamine is not discernible from the ITC studies. It is unknown whether glutamine binding modulates the assembly of Pdx2 with Pdx1 in the complex, as the ITC assays tested complexes using the catalytic inactive Pdx2 to stabilize the complex. Previous pull out assays to analyse the interaction of native Pdx1 and His-tagged Pdx2 from *B. subtilis* in the presence of acivicin \cite{12} showed that Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes were no longer formed after preincubation of the proteins with acivicin. Particularly, it was observed that the complexes dissociated due to transient interactions, allowing Pdx2 to bind acivicin and the
modified acivicin-Pdx2 complexes could not longer interact with Pdx1. Crystal-
ization studies of the B. subtilis Pdx2 in complex with acivicin showed that the
relevant regions for complex formation and Pdx2 active site organization are
distorted [57]. These structural changes caused by acivicin alter the protein-
protein interface, decreasing the affinity of Pdx2 with Pdx1 and therefore, a
Pdx1 and Pdx2 interaction analysis with acivicin is not compatible to explain
the assembly of the PLP synthase complex.

Visualization of the PLP synthase complex by electron microscopy analysis
proves that Pdx1 and Pdx2 assemble transiently. Few Pdx2 subunits occu-
pied the Pdx1 dodecameric core, without a defined pattern for this association,
despite the presence of glutamine in the assay. In contrast, fully assembled com-
plexes were observed, when the catalytic inactive Pdx2 was used. If the mea-
sured ITC data in the absence of glutamine are considered together with the EM
analysis using active Pdx2 and L-glutamine, it can be deduced the Pdx1/Pdx2
assembly has a high rate of association/dissociation and the substrate glutamine
does not modulate a subsequent binding of the Pdx2 subunits on the Pdx1 do-
decamer.

**Substrates do not determine the Pdx1/Pdx2 assembly in vitro**

GATases are regulated in several ways to control glutamine hydrolysis [9]. For
example, in enzymes such as, Anthranilate synthase [58], glucosamine 6-
phosphate synthase [59] or imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase [60] the gluta-
minase domain requires an acceptor substrate bound to the synthase domain
to activate glutamine hydrolysis allosterically. It was reported earlier for the
PLP synthase complex that Pdx1 is sufficient to stimulate the glutaminase; ad-
dition of the Pdx1-substrates did not enhance the rate of glutamine hydrolysis
[12]. Moreover, it is known that Pdx1 catalyzes PLP synthesis with sub-optimal
activity, as observed in this study with PfPdx1 or PbPdx1; more precisely, the
 glutaminase and synthase reactions seem not to be synchronized as most of the
produced NH$_3$ is not used for PLP synthesis. Recent evidence shows PLP re-
lease from Pdx1 depends on the presence of a PLP-depedent enzyme [30] as a
regulatory mechanism to control PLP biosynthesis by Pdx1. Biochemical and
biophysical (ITC and AUC) analyses presented in this study show that Pdx2
can interact with Pdx1 independent of the substrates R5P or L-Gln. In par-
ticular, glutamine does not bind to Pdx2 alone, rather it requires a preformed
Pdx1/Pdx2 complex. This implies Pdx2 interaction with Pdx1 and glutamine
binding in the Pdx1/Pdx2 complex do not modulate PLP synthesis.

In summary, the interpretation that a Pdx1/Pdx2 complex is required for glu-
tamine binding, together with EM analysis leads to the proposal that the com-
oposition of Pdx2 can vary in the multi-enzymatic Pdx1/Pdx2 complexes and
would not require neighboring Pdx1 proteins to promote sequential occupany
with Pdx2. In addition, encounter complexes can associate independent of the
presence of glutamine or NH$_3$-acceptor substrate, i.e, Pdx2 can interact with
Pdx1, subsequently bind glutamine and hydrolyse it without an stimulatory
signal provided by an NH₃ acceptor and then Pdx2 leaves the complex (Figure 4.4 B). Another interpretation would be that Pdx2 binds Pdx1, hydrolyses glutamine and remains in complex with Pdx1 in the presence or absence of an ammonia acceptor (Figure 4.4 B). The resulting NH₃ could be stored until a substrate acceptor enters the active site. The synchronization of ammonia transfer for PLP synthesis would be further regulated by a PLP-dependent enzyme.

A) Assembly of the PLP synthase using catalytically inactive Pdx2

B) Assembly of the PLP synthase in the presence of L-Gln and +/- R5P

Figure 4.4: Assembly of PLP synthase from Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins.

Pdx1/Pdx2 interaction in prokaryotes and eukaryotes

The main motivation of this PhD Thesis was to obtain the crystal structure from *P. falciparum* PLP synthase complex and understand how this enzyme functions for its potential use in drug design to treat malaria. However, *P. falciparum* PLP synthase proved a difficult target for crystallographic 3D structure determination due to the aggregation into fibers *in vitro* observed in electron microscopic analysis, not seen with the proteins from the rodent parasite *P. berghei*. The fibers were seen when using PfPdx1, which also aggregated into fibers in the absence of PfPdx2, Figure 3.6. Comparison of the electrostatic surface at the interface of two opposite Pdx1 subunits from the PfPdx1/PfPdx2 model and PfPdx1 dodecamer did not show differences in the contact of the two Pdx1 proteins (Figure 3.9). One can speculate the C-terminus of PfPdx1, that has eluded structural determination and has high protein sequence variability, might be involved in the fiber formation, since it is known that this region plays a key role in reciprocal activation between two Pdx1 subunits [23, 51]. Future investigations could use C-terminal deletion mutants of PfPdx1 in crys-
tallographic and electron microscopy experiments to understand the cause of PfPdx1 aggregation.

The observed aggregation into fibers was a limitant for continuing crystallization trials with the *P. falciparum* PLP synthase complex. Instead, structural studies were continued on a chimeric complex between *P. berghei* Pdx1 and *P. falciparum* Pdx2. The proteins are highly similar, with more than 60% identity in sequence among the autonomous Pdx1 and Pdx2 from both parasites. The complex successfully crystallized and diffracted to 3.6 Å resolution and is composed of 24 subunits, as seen in the bacterial structures [13, 14]. The plasmodial Pdx1/Pdx2 chimera proved to be a proper system to study an eukaryotic PLP synthase complex because this reconstituted complex was functional, hydrolysing glutamine and synthesizing PLP with catalytic rates similar to the natural *P. falciparum* and *P. berghei* PLP synthase complexes.

Elucidation of the 3D structure from this eukaryotic complex revealed two major advances related to enzyme interaction and activation: i) the importance of insertion sequences for protein-protein interaction in eukaryotic systems, and ii) the importance of key structural conformational changes in the interface for the Pdx2 glutaminase activation, common in prokaryotic and eukaryotic systems. The insertion sequences determine the differences in the Pdx1/Pdx2 interaction. A particular loop named loop 95-111 [24] of Pdx2 was seen in the interface with Pdx1. This region is prone to variation in eukaryotic proteins (Figure D.2) and is absent in bacterial Pdx2, therefore the contact to Pdx1 is abolished. It might explain why the strength of Pdx1/Pdx2 association between bacterial and plasmodial Pdx1/Pdx2 interfaces is different in ITC experiments. Rigid body rotation of Pdx2 was seen in the structure, compared to bacterial systems. This should not be surprising, since changes in the shape of multi-subunit complexes are common for the function and regulation of the proteins in the cell, e.g., chaperonins [61, 62], piruvate kinase [63]. The structural alteration of the Pdx2 position in the chimeric complex could explain another step of the complex formation process, as changes were also seen in the Pdx1 subunit, i.e., helix α2’ was observed in an open conformation, discussed above § 4.1. Despite this positional difference of the Pdx2 subunit, the active site is organized at the interface with Pdx1, similar to the position observed for bacterial complex structures. Its surrounding showed the same structural changes required for Pdx2 activation such as, ordering of loop 124-127 and organization of the critical oxyanion region, supported by the activation loops Q12 and OXH. This suggests the mechanism of Pdx2 activation is conserved in prokaryotic and eukaryotic proteins.

4.2.1 Targeting PLP synthase complex for drug design

Inhibitor-based approaches to combat diseases should be based on the premise that the selected or designed inhibitors should target biological components absent in the host genome, in order to avoid harmful side effects with proteins from the host. Vitamin B₆ is essential for all living organisms. The majority
of organisms can synthesize vitamin $B_6$ de novo but humans must take it from the diet. The genes $pdx1$ and $pdx2$ of the R5P-dependent pathway are present in several pathogenic organisms, such as *Plasmodium*, *Toxoplasma gondii* [64], and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [65, 66]. Recently it has been reported that disruption of the $pdx1$ gene in *M. tuberculosis* is lethal for the bacterium [66]. Moreover, it has been reported that *P. falciparum* expresses $pdx1$ and $pdx2$ during blood stages [24], the ones that cause the malaria disease. The PLP synthase Pdx1/Pdx2 complex from *Plasmodium* was studied here for its potential use as a drug target.

Targeting the PLP synthase complex for drug design should be based on knowledge about the 3D structure of the enzyme more than substrate-based approaches to avoid side effects because, the PLP synthase complex shares substrates (R5P, G3P and Gln) with other essential metabolic enzymes eg., ribose 5-phosphate isomerase 2, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate deshydrogenase 3, glutamine-PRPP amidotransferase 5. Given that the assembly and activation of the PLP synthase complex depends on $\alpha$-helices such as, $\alpha N$ or $\alpha 2'$, these represent an useful information for drug development. Compounds such as small peptides, designed to inhibit protein-protein formation must affect the protein surface selectively, despite protein-protein interfaces having a large surface area. For instance, approaches to disrupt the assembly of the hexameric gp41 6 core using C-terminal heptad repeat peptides of HIV-1 gp41 have been successful [68], and have been confirmed by x-ray crystallography from which the peptide is shown bound to the hydrophobic pocket on the surface of HIV-1 gp41 [68].

Interestingly, Pdx1 and Pdx2 depend on each other for activation and also to provide substrates to the other, marking the importance of the ammonia tunnel entrance as a vulnerable site for drug design. This would circumvent the problem of identifying drugs based only on protein-protein interaction. Taking advantage of the fact that $\alpha N$ is fundamental for Pdx1/Pdx2 assembly and is at the interface of the ammonia gate of the $\beta$-barrel, this region could be considered for developing inhibitors of the PLP synthase complex, i.e., inhibitors (e.g., compounds or peptides) that block the protein-protein interaction and concomitant block the ammonia entrance to the $\beta$-barrel.

In addition to the above strategy, targeting the PLP synthase catalytic center in Pdx1 would be suitable for structure-based inhibitor design for the following reasons: PLP synthesis involves more than 20 enzymatic steps with intermediates uncommon in other enzymatic processes such as I$_{320}$. I$_{320}$ is a very stable intermediate and could guide drug development. Studies of structure-based drug design will also enrich our understanding of the PLP synthase reaction mechanism.
Plasmodial PLP synthase has emerged as an attractive drug target due to the absence of this biosynthetic enzyme in humans. The possibility of translocation of B6 vitamers from the host cell and conversion into PLP by the kinase PdxK in the parasite is considered as a likely survival mechanism under PLP depletion, although genetic manipulation trials are needed to prove that, i.e., knockdown experiments of the gene encoding Pdx1 in the erythrocyte-infesting stages of the malaria parasite to observe the requirement of Pdx1 for cell growth. Regardless of alternative strategies to supply vitamin B6 to the parasite, PLP biosynthesis seems to be an important pathway during the blood stages of *P. falciparum*, because Pdx1 and Pdx2 proteins are expressed constitutively [24], and both enzyme genes are upregulated when the parasite is subjected to oxidative stress conditions [29].

Future investigations to provide additional knowledge of the enzymatic mechanism of plasmodial PLP synthase e.g., PLP release from the Pdx1 catalytic center, in the presence of PLP-dependent enzymes, might contribute in understanding enzyme regulation and its dependency on certain cellular conditions e.g., oxidative stress, PLP demands.

As electron microscopic studies proved to be an useful technique to gain insight into enzyme assembly, additional experiments can be done with this technique to visualize how substrates such as, R5P, G3P, or product like PLP, modulate the Pdx1/Pdx2 assembly *in vitro*.

Elucidation of the PLP synthase 3D structure with its entire C-terminus would improve the understanding of how residues of the C-terminus are involved in the cooperativism of the Pdx1 core, for example, using cross-linkers “to trap” the enzyme in a conformation with the C-terminus bound at the adjacent Pdx1 protomer.

Another interesting question to answer is whether Pdx1 synthesizes PLP in the
absence of Pdx2 in vivo, as shown in the in vitro assays using ammonia sources. In particular, characterization of the PLP biosynthetic mechanism by microspectrophotometry, substrate analogues and x-ray crystallography to get snapshots of the catalytic steps as well as, advances in unraveling the molecular mechanism by which ammonia is transferred along the (β/α)_8-barrel in the PLP synthase, can be used as basis for drug design against malaria.
## Appendix A

### Oligonucleotides

**Table A.1:** List of oligonucleotides used for cloning genes and point-mutants. Codon mutations are highlighted and restriction sites for endonucleases recognition are underlined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 M19V</td>
<td>CTTAGCAGATGGATGTGAAAGTGTTAAAAGGGGAGTCATAATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 M19V</td>
<td>CATATGACTTCCCCCCTTTTAACACTCCACCATCCATGTGGTTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 M196</td>
<td>GGTGAAATAGTTCATATGGATACCTATGACCACCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 L92M</td>
<td>GAAAATGCAATTCTATTTAAAGTGAATTGCAATGATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 L92A</td>
<td>GCCATTTCTAAAAACATTTATAGAATAGCATTTATAGAATATGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 L92A</td>
<td>CATTATGACTCCCCCTTTTAACACTCCACCATCCATGTGGTTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 L92F</td>
<td>ATCTTTGCGAATGCAATTCTATGAGGATGATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 L92F</td>
<td>CATTCTTATTTATAGAATAGCATTTATAGAATATGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 M103A</td>
<td>GAACTCAAAGTTGATGCTGAAAGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 M103A</td>
<td>CACTTCTATTTTAATAGCACTTCTATTTTAGAATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 M103F</td>
<td>CTTCTACTTTATGAGGTGCTGAAAGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 M108F</td>
<td>CTTCTACTTTATGAGGTGCTGAAAGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 H199N</td>
<td>GAATATGCAATTCTATGAGGATGATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbPdx1 H199N</td>
<td>ATGTCCGAAATAACTATAGGGGTATTATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpPdx1 NotI</td>
<td>GAATATGCAATTCTATGAGGATGATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpPdx1 BamHI</td>
<td>ATGTCCGAAATAACTATAGGGGTATTATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1 BamHI</td>
<td>GAATATGCAATTCTATGAGGATGATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx1 Stop XhoI</td>
<td>GAAATGCAATTCTATGAGGATGATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx2 H196N NheI</td>
<td>ATGTCCGAAATAACTATAGGGGTATTATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPdx2 H196N Stop XhoI</td>
<td>GAATATGCAATTCTATGAGGATGATGAGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B

Purification of Pdx2 from *P. berghei* and *P. falciparum*

Figure B.1: Elution profile and purification of *PbPdx2* by SEC. Elution profile of *PbPdx2* from a Superdex S200 26/60 column shows four fraction peaks (A, B, C and D), which were evaluated by SDS-PAGE (left). Peaks A, B and C correspond to high molecular weight aggregates. Monomers of *PbPdx1* eluted at 250 ml, corresponding to a molecular mass of 18 KDa. Theoretical value of *PbPdx2* is 25.675 KDa (accession code Q4PJX5). The calibration curve (middle) of the column was performed as described in § 2.2. 
Figure B.2: Elution profile of native PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ by AEC using a Source 30Q matrix. $PfPdx2^{H196N}$ eluted from a 10-800 mM NaCl gradient at a concentration of 286 mM NaCl (peak B).

Figure B.3: Elution profile and purification of PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ by SEC. Elution profile of PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ from a Superdex S200 26/60 column shows a fraction peak of 249 ml with molecular mass of 18.2 KDa calculated using the calibration curve (middle). The calibration of the column was performed as described in §2.2. Theoretical value of the molar mass of PfPdx2$^{H196N}$ is 24.563 KDa (accession code Q8IK4 [uniprot.org/]). Evaluation of the fraction peak by SDS-PAGE is shown (left).
## Appendix C

## Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AEC</td>
<td>Anion exchange chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Alanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å</td>
<td>Angström</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAD</td>
<td>3-acetylpyrimidine adenine dinucleotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At</td>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>Analytical ultracentrifugation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bs</td>
<td><em>Bacillus subtilis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-terminal</td>
<td>Carboxy terminal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Aspartate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.g.</td>
<td>exempli gratia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRF</td>
<td>European Synchrotron Radiation Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Electron Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATases</td>
<td>Glutamine amidotransferases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. stereothermophilus</td>
<td><em>Geobacillus stereothermophilus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF</td>
<td>Gel filtration (Size exclusion chromatography)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Gln</td>
<td>L-Glutamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>Glutamate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3P</td>
<td>DL-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Glycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.e.</td>
<td>id est</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Histidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>Potassium Chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kDa</td>
<td>Kilo Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>Leucine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>Lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Methionine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTG</td>
<td>1-Thioglycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>Sodium Chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaH$_2$PO$_4$</td>
<td>Sodium monobasic Phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_4$Cl</td>
<td>Ammonium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>Ammonium sulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>amino terminal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCS</td>
<td>Non crystallographic symmetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_b$</td>
<td><em>Plasmodium berghei</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_f$</td>
<td><em>Plasmodium falciparum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXH</td>
<td>Oxyanion hole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Proline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB</td>
<td>Protein data bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLP</td>
<td>Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>Proline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Arginine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5P</td>
<td>D-Ribose 5-phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmsd</td>
<td>Root mean square deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Serine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Size exclusion chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_m$</td>
<td><em>Thermotoga maritima</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_t$</td>
<td><em>Thermus thermophilus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>Hydroxymethyl aminomethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D

Alignments

The alignments were made using TEXshade [69]
Figure D.1: Alignment of amino acid sequences of selected Pdx1 proteins. The sequences are tinted with the purpose to highlight: putative residues of the ammonia tunnel (dark blue); residues of the α2' helix (red); and residues involved in substrate binding and/or catalysis in P1 (light green) and P2 (dark green). Enumeration is based on P. berghei Pdx1, excepting R129 is for T. thermophilus Pdx1. Residues in light blue correspond to the βN region of the B. subtilis and T. maritima Pdx1 proteins that interact with Pdx2 in the Pdx1/2 complexes. Arrows on top of the alignment mark the position where deletion of the C-terminus were made in Derrr et al 2010. Sequence shading and labeling were done using TEXshade package.
Figure D.2: Alignment of amino acid sequences of selected Pdx2 proteins. Residues in dark blue correspond to the residues at the interface with Pdx1 in the Pdx1/2 complexes from B. subtilis, T. maritima and chimera P. berghei Pdx1/ P. falciparum Pdx2. Residues involved in catalysis: triad catalytic (C87, H196 and E198), Q12, R121, R154, E53 and oxianion hole peptide (P50, G51 and G52) are enumerated in the alignment based on P. falciparum Pdx2 protein.
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