# Long-term observations of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and carbon isotopes at continental sites in Germany

By INGEBORG LEVIN\*, ROLF GRAUL<sup>1</sup> and NEIL B. A. TRIVETT<sup>2</sup>, Institut für Umweltphysik, University of Heidelberg, Germany; <sup>1</sup>Umweltbundesamt, Meβstelle Schauinsland, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Atmospheric Environment Service, Toronto, Canada

(Manuscript received 20 December 1993; in final form 1 August 1994)

#### ABSTRACT

A network for regional atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> observations had already been established in Germany by 1972, consisting of 5 stations with basically different characteristics: Westerland, a coastal station at the North Sea, 2 regional stations, Waldhof and Deuselbach, as well as 2 mountain stations, Brotjacklriegel at the eastern boarder of Germany and Schauinsland in the Black Forest. In addition to CO<sub>2</sub> concentration observations, from 1977 onwards quasi-continuous <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> measurements were performed on samples from the Schauinsland site, and for the short period 1985-1988, <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> measurements were also made on Westerland samples. CO<sub>2</sub> data selection based on wind velocity allows for an estimate of the representative continental CO<sub>2</sub> level over Europe. The peak-to-peak amplitude of the seasonal cycles is between 12.1 ppmv (Schauinsland) and 17.6 ppmv (Waldhof). The phase of the seasonal cycles at the German sites is shifted if compared to maritime background sites with the concentration maxima occuring already between beginning of February and beginning of April, the minima in August. The longterm mean CO<sub>2</sub> increase rate in the last 20 years at Westerland and Schauinsland is 1.49 and 1.48 ppmv yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The mean  $\delta^{13}$ C of the seasonal source CO<sub>2</sub> at Schauinsland is calculated from unselected  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub> data to be  $-25.1^{\circ}/_{oo}$ . From the <sup>14</sup>C observations in unselected CO<sub>2</sub>, we derive yearly mean fossil fuel contributions at Westerland of 4 ppmv, and at Schauinsland of only 2.5 ppmv. Based on the seasonality of the fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> component at Schauinsland and on concurrently observed atmospheric <sup>222</sup>Radon activities, we derive a seasonal amplitude of the fossil fuel  $CO_2$  source which is higher by a factor of 3 compared to emission estimates for Europe.

## 1. Introduction

Since the pioneering measurements of atmospheric  $CO_2$  started by Keeling in 1957/58 at Mauna Loa, Hawaii, and at the South Pole (Keeling et al., 1989), numerous sites for  $CO_2$ monitoring have been established all over the world (Tans, 1990). The principal aim of this global network is to document the abundance of  $CO_2$  in the remote atmosphere, and to gain a better insight into the sources and sinks of this important atmospheric greenhouse gas, by using the spatial and temporal variations of  $CO_2$  in combination with atmospheric transport models. One shortcoming of the present global  $CO_2$ network is, however, the lack of representative observations over the continents. The  $CO_2$ climatology in the remote maritime atmosphere seems to be well documented today, which allows for budgeting the large-scale  $CO_2$  fluxes between atmosphere and the surface reservoirs (oceans and terrestrial biosphere) to within  $\pm 20$ %. A better quantification of the uptake of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  by different reservoirs, however, needs an improved knowledge of the sources and sinks on smaller scales, and particularly of the diverse terrestrial biosphere. One approach to gain this

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

information uses observations over continents describing the  $CO_2$  climatology on the continental or even the regional scale.

A German network of regional atmospheric  $CO_2$  observations has been established since 1972 (see Fig. 1). This network consists of five stations with basically different characteristics: The northernmost station Westerland (55° N, 8° E, 8 m a.s.l.) is situated on the island Sylt in the North Sea. Particularly during northwesterly winds, the air collected here most directly represents maritime conditions in this latitudinal belt. The stations Waldhof (53°N, 11°E, 73 m a.s.l.), in a flat agricultural area of northern Germany, and Deuselbach ( $50^{\circ}$  N,  $7^{\circ}$  E, 480 m a.s.l.), in a hilly terrain at the western border of Germany are strongly influenced by regional biogenic and anthropogenic sources or sinks. Their diurnal CO<sub>2</sub> cycle is also strongly modulated by the changing stability of the atmospheric boundary layer. The mountain stations Brotjacklriegel (49° N, 13° E, 1016 m a.s.l.) at the eastern border of Germany, and Schauinsland (48°N, 8°E, 1205 m a.s.l.) in the Black Forest in south west Germany are less influenced by contamination through local sources. In particular the Schauinsland station, during moderate or strong winds is shown to be representative for mean atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> conditions over Western Europe at this elevation of about 1000m above mean sea level.

In addition to continuous concentration observations, from 1977 onwards quasi-continuous



Fig. 1. Map of Central Europe with  $CO_2$  and carbon isotope measurement sites.

 $^{13}$ CO<sub>2</sub> and  $^{14}$ CO<sub>2</sub> measurements were made at the Schauinsland site. For the period of about two years  $^{14}$ CO<sub>2</sub> measurements are also available for the station Westerland. These supplementary isotopic data provide insight into the nature of the dominant sources and sinks—biogenic or anthropogenic—influencing the station in question.

The complex problems and the challenges associated with the interpretation of highly variable continental  $CO_2$  and isotope records are discussed in this paper. The aim is to develop a method of interpretation and selection of continental  $CO_2$ data. Finally, representative continental data records for the Schauinsland and the Westerland station will be provided, and made available for validation of regional as well as global scale carbon cycle models.

# 2. Techniques

## 2.1. CO, concentration measurements

Continuous atmospheric  $CO_2$  measurements by NDIR were performed with URAS-2 (Hartmann & Braun) analysers at all five sites till 1982. From there on, Ultramat-3 (Siemens) analysers were used.  $CO_2$ -in- $N_2$  calibration gases provided by the Scipps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) were used (1959 Adj. Index Scale) until 1991. The standards in the concentration range of 290–355 ppmv were recalibrated with  $CO_2$ -in- $N_2$ calibration gases in the concentration range of 290–375 ppmv kindly provided by NOAA/ CMDL. This allowed us to transfer all our concentration values into the WMO 1985  $CO_2$ -in- $N_2$ scale. A linear transformation function was used:

$$c(WMO 1985) = c(1959 \text{ adj. ind.}) \times 1.0404$$
  
- 12.86 [ppmv]. (1)

From 1992 onwards,  $CO_2$ -in-synthetic-air mixtures, calibrated by SIO in 1990 (WMO 1987 mole fraction scale) have been used in the network. All data obtained by URAS-2 and Ultramat-3 instruments with  $CO_2$ -in- $N_2$  gases had to be corrected for carrier-gas-effect (Griffith et al., 1982). The carrier-gas-effect of one URAS-2 instrument which was still available in the network has been determined experimentally using  $CO_2$ -in- $N_2$  (WMO 1985 scale) and  $CO_2$ -in-air (WMO 1987 mole fraction scale) gases:

$$c_{\text{URAS}}(\text{WMO 1987}) = c_{\text{URAS}}(\text{WMO 1985}) + 4.39 \text{[ppmv]}.$$
 (2)

The correction function (2) has then been applied to all records derived with URAS-2 instruments (the same correction was used at all 5 stations).

For the Ultramat-3 instruments individual carrier gas corrections have been applied on the basis of experimental determinations. The five analysers and one additional instrument of the Institut für Umweltphysik fell into two groups showing carrier gas effects differing by 0.5 ppmv over the concentration range of 320–360 ppmv. For the stations Schauinsland, Westerland, and Brotjacklriegel the correction function

$$c_{\text{Ultramat}}(\text{WMO 1987}) = c_{\text{Ultramat}}(\text{WMO 1985})$$
  
× 1.0044 + 1.882 [ppmv]  
(3)

was used. For Deuselbach and Waldhof the correction function

$$c_{\text{Ultramat}}(\text{WMO 1987}) = c_{\text{Ultramat}}(\text{WMO 1985})$$
  
× 0.999 + 4.47 [ppmv]  
(4)

has been applied to all measurements performed with this instrument from 1982–1991. The errors associated with the scale and carrier gas corrections are estimated to be less than  $\pm 0.5$  ppmv. The overall precision of daily mean concentration values is estimated to be  $\pm 1$  ppmv.

#### 2.2. Isotope measurements

Carbon isotope measurements have been performed on large volume CO<sub>2</sub> samples continuously collected by dynamic quantitative absorption of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in carbonate free sodium hydroxide solution. <sup>13</sup>C analyses of the CO<sub>2</sub> are by mass spectrometry, <sup>14</sup>C analyses by high precision proportional counting of the purified CO<sub>2</sub> sample. All sampling and analysis procedures are described by Levin et al. (1980) and Schoch et al. (1980).  $\delta^{13}$ C values are given relative to the V-PDB standard (Hut, 1987), the overall precision of a single analysis is typically  $\pm 0.1^{\circ}/_{\infty}$ .  $\Delta^{14}$ C data are given relative to NBS oxalic acid activity corrected for decay (Stuiver & Polach, 1977), the precision of a single  $\Delta^{14}$ C measurement is typically  $\pm 5^{\circ}/_{\infty}$ .

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Diurnal concentration variations

The  $CO_2$  climatology of the 5 stations can be derived from their short term (diurnal) CO<sub>2</sub> concentration variability, and from their absolute concentration level compared to background conditions. Fig. 2 shows the typical mean diurnal cycles at all 5 stations for January, April, July, and September, calculated from unselected hourly mean values during the period of 1973-1980. The straight solid lines represent the monthly mean values calculated for the same period from the selected Schauinsland data, assumed to represent the respective background concentration level over Western Europe. During the winter months (c.f, Fig. 2a), we observe almost no diurnal cycle at any of the stations. The two mountain sites show a mean concentration level which is only several ppmv higher than the respective background level. The mean concentration increases towards low elevation sites, and is highest at Waldhof, probably due to frequent strong ground level inversions at that site.

During spring, and more pronounced during the summer months, the stations Waldhof and Deuselbach experience large diurnal cycles with mean night time concentrations rising up to 50 ppmv above the assumed background level. The daytime values at these sites are only slightly lower than the background concentration. This behaviour is a consequence of the large diurnal variation of vertical mixing in the continental boundary layer, particularly during summer, in combination with maximum CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from soil and plant respiration. Obviously, the signal of the ground level plant assimilation sink during daytime is strongly weakened by enhanced vertical mixing. At the mountain sites Schauinsland and Brotjacklriegel the behaviour is just opposite: night time concentrations are close to the background level whereas the daytime concentration values, due to the influence of local vegetation on the upslope winds, are depleted in their CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. This behaviour of the diurnal

 $CO_2$  cycle is a common phenomenon generally observed at mountain sites (Schmitt et al., 1988).

The diurnal  $CO_2$  cycles at the coastal station Westerland show amplitudes which are between those observed at the regional sites Waldhof and Deuselbach and those at the two mountain sites. Here we have basically two meteorological regimes, maritime or continental. The maritime air masses show background concentration levels whereas the continental air masses typically show similar diurnal amplitudes as observed at the two regional sites.

From this  $CO_2$  climatology it seems obvious that only the two mountain sites Schauinsland and Brotjacklriegel are potential candidates for selecting  $CO_2$  concentration data representative for the large scale continental  $CO_2$  level over Western Europe. Westerland also experiences background concentrations when the wind is off the North Sea, which are comparable to observations over the North Atlantic.

# 3.2. Long-term CO<sub>2</sub> records: data selection

As could already be inferred from the mean diurnal cycles, due to the influence from continental sources and sinks the unselected monthly mean CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at all 5 stations deviate considerably from background conditions. During high wind speeds, and thus strong atmospheric mixing conditions, the observations even at regional sites become more representative of a larger area. As a first step towards more representative data records, the raw hourly values have been selected for high wind speeds. The typical wind velocity distribution differs very much from station to station; individual criteria have thus been used at the 5 sites (see Table 1). Hourly CO<sub>2</sub> values were assumed as representative for a site when the wind velocity was higher than the long term mean seasonal value at the station in the summer or in the winter half-year, respectively. Daily mean values and standard deviations  $(1\sigma)$ have then been calculated from the selected hourly



Fig. 2. Mean diurnal cycle of unselected atmospheric  $CO_2$  at the 5 German sites calculated for the period of 1973–1980 for January (a), April (b), July (c), and October (d). The straight solid lines represent the respective background level over Europe determined from the selected Schauinsland data (compare Fig. 4a).

	Minimum velocity $[m s^{-1}]$		% of "background"	Peak to peak amplitude	Seasonal Cycle max. min.	
Station	summer	winter	observations	[ppmv]	[day No.] [day No.]	[day No.]
Waldhof	2.0	2.5	11.1	17.6	31	217
Deuselbach	4.0	5.0	13.1	15.7	46	229
Brotjacklriegel	2.5	3.0	16.2	12.5	71	229
Schauinsland	2.5	3.5	17.1	12.1	90	239
Westerland	7.5	7.5	17.6	16.5	57	239

Table 1. Selection criteria for "background data" and parameters of the selected  $CO_2$  records from the German stations

means if more than six hourly values were selected for a day. The calculated daily mean value was finally accepted as representative when the standard deviation  $(1\sigma)$  of the selected hourly values of the day was less than  $\pm 1.5$  ppmv.

Harmonic curves have then been fitted through the selected daily mean values (Trivett, 1989). The mean seasonal cycles show significant differences in amplitude and phase at the individual sites (Fig. 3): the seasonal amplitude is largest (15.7 to 17.6 ppmv, Table 1) at the low elevation sites Waldhof, Westerland, and Deuselbach. Smallest yearly amplitudes are observed at the mountain stations Schauinsland and Brotjacklriegel which is in accordance to the generally observed decrease of the seasonal  $CO_2$  amplitude in the troposphere with height in the northern hemisphere (Bolin & Bischof, 1970; Nakazawa et al., 1993). The concentration decrease at Waldhof and Deuselbach occurs already in February, at the northernmost station Westerland only at the beginning of April. The dates of maximum and minimum concentrations are listed in Table 1. Also the absolute concentration levels at the five stations differ



Fig. 3. Mean seasonal cycles determined from selected daily values of atmospheric  $CO_2$  over the period of 1972–1990 at the 5 German sites. Peak-to-peak amplitudes are largest at the regional stations Waldhof and Deuselbach and decrease towards high elevation sites (e.g., Schauinsland). Whereas the  $CO_2$  minimum is generally observed in August, the maximum concentration at the regional sites occurs already in February/March, at the mountain station Schauinsland only in April (compare Table 1).

considerably: the yearly mean concentrations at Brotjacklriegel, Deuselbach and Waldhof are higher by approximately 3 ppmv, 4 ppmv, and 4.5 ppmv respectively when compared to Schauinsland and Westerland. The mean yearly increase rates are similar at all sites and lie between 1.38 ppmv  $yr^{-1}$  and 1.49 ppmv  $yr^{-1}$  for the 20 years period of 1972 to 1992.

No complete record of clean air  $CO_2$  data in comparable latitudes (about  $45^{\circ}N$  to  $55^{\circ}N$ ) is available for the measurement period; between about  $50^{\circ}N$  and  $75^{\circ}N$ , however, the yearly mean meridional concentration gradient is usually less than 1 ppmv (e.g., Tans et al., 1989). For the last 10 years, the individual yearly mean concentrations observed at the coastal site Westerland and at the Schauinsland station (Figs. 4a, b) have therefore been compared with data from (clean air) sites in higher latitudes of the northern hemisphere, namely Barrow ( $76^{\circ}N$ ,  $119^{\circ}W$ ) and Mould Bay ( $71^{\circ}N$ ,  $157^{\circ}W$ ) (see Table 2). The agreement of yearly mean concentrations at these four stations is usually better than 1 ppmv. This is partly due to the fact that the data selection for high wind speeds results in an implicit selection of predominately westerly winds (maritime air masses). Nevertheless, at Schauinsland, and even at Westerland there still remains a significant percentage (about 10 and 20%, respectively) of "continental data" in the record.

## 3.3. <sup>13</sup>CO, at the Schauinsland

As a by-product from the  ${}^{14}CO_2$  analyses of large volume  $CO_2$  samples, the stable isotope ratio



*Fig. 4.* Long-term records of selected daily mean values for Schauinsland (a) and Westerland (b). The solid lines are harmonic fit curves through the selected values (Trivett, 1989). Data are considered as *baseline data* if they fall into the  $1\sigma$  range of all deviations from the curve, *non-baseline data* fall into the respective  $2\sigma$  range. The mean increase rates (dashed lines) have been calculated to 1.48 and 1.49 ppmv yr<sup>-1</sup> for Schauinsland and Westerland, respectively.

Year	Mould Bay <sup>a)</sup> (76°N, 119°W)	Barrow <sup>a)</sup> (71° N, 157° W)	Schauinsland (48° N, 8° E)	Westerland (55° N, 8° E)
1982	342.4	342.7	343.4	343.3
1983	343.6	343.7	345.0	342.1
1984	345.6	345.3	345.1	345.8
1985	346.7	346.4	346.9	346.3
1986	348.6	348.6	348.1	346.8
1987	349.8	349.7	350.4	348.7
1988	353.5	353.4	353.2	352.1
1989	355.5	355.0	354.3	356.2
1990	356.0	356.0	354.9	354.6
1991	357.6	357.5	357.7	357.5

Table 2. Yearly mean  $CO_2$  concentrations at the German stations Schauinsland and Westerland (selected) in comparison with sites from the NOAA/CMDL network<sup>a</sup>) (Conway et al., 1990; WMO, 1992)



Fig. 5. Monthly mean values of  $\delta^{13}C$  (a) in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (b) at the Schauinsland site (unselected data), the dashed curves represent harmonic fits calculated through the data sets; the correlation of  $\delta^{13}C$  and invers CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (c) (calculated from the detrended fit curves) leads to an isotopic signature of the mean source responsable for the observed  $\delta^{13}C$  seasonality at Schauinsland of  $\delta^{13}C = -25.1^{\circ}/_{oo}$ .

<sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C in CO<sub>2</sub> has been measured at Schauinsland during the period of 1977–1992 (Fig. 5a). A large seasonal cycle with a mean peak to peak amplitude of  $\delta^{13}C = 0.8^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$  is observed which is strongly anti-correlated with the unselected  $CO_2$  concentrations (Fig. 5b). The mean  $\delta^{13}C$ is decreasing from 1977 to 1992 by about  $0.02^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, with a larger decrease in the first part of the record, and nearly no trend in the second part. Harmonic fits have been obtained for both unselected CO<sub>2</sub> and  $\delta^{13}$ C monthly means. With the assumption that only one source or sink is responsible for the observed CO<sub>2</sub> seasonality, the isotopic composition of CO<sub>2</sub> from this apparent source (resp. the fractionation of the sink) can be calculated from the correlation of the  $\delta^{13}$ C values and the inverse concentrations (Levin, 1987). From the detrended data a mean  $\delta^{13}C = -25.1^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$  of the source is derived (Fig. 5c). This value represents the mean isotopic composition of all sources, biogenic and anthropogenic, contributing to the CO<sub>2</sub> variations at Schauinsland on the regional as well as on the continental and hemispheric scale. It is characteristic for exchange with terrestrial biota, and somewhat greater than the  $\delta^{13}$ C of fossil fuels  $(-27^{\circ})_{00}$  to  $-28^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$ ). It compares very well with values observed at background sites (Keeling et al., 1989), and the source  $\delta^{13}$ C derived from recent observations of the concentration and stable isotopes on flask samples collected at the Schauinsland during supposedly good mixing conditions (Neubert, unpublished data).

## 3.4. <sup>14</sup>CO, at the Schauinsland

The  ${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C$  ratio, expressed as  $\Delta^{14}C$ , in unselected atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> at the Schauinsland station shows a steady and approximately exponential decrease from 1977 until today with a time constant of about T = 16 years (Fig. 6, histogram). Overlying this trend is a seasonality with minimum values occurring during the winter half year. The decline is the consequence of bomb  ${}^{14}C$  still equilibrating with the world oceans and the biosphere, as well as an ongoing input of  ${}^{14}C$ -free fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere. The seasonality is mainly attributed to a seasonally varying contribution of fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> at the measurement site being largest in the winter half year (Levin et al., 1989).

The <sup>14</sup>C background level in mid latitudes of the northern hemisphere can be derived from observa-



*Fig.* 6. Monthly mean  $\Delta^{14}$ C in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> at Schauinsland (histogram) compared to values in background air over Europe (fitted curve). The seasonal cycle of the background fit has been derived from continuous  ${}^{14}$ CO<sub>2</sub> observations at Jungfraujoch (1986–1991). The long-term trend of the background fit curve is the same as observed at the Schauinsland, but we added a constant value of  $\Delta^{14}$ C =  $+6^{\circ}/_{oo}$  (mean  $\Delta^{14}$ C difference between Schauinsland and Jungfraujoch in 1986–1991).

tions at the High Alpine Research Station Jungfraujoch in the Swiss Alps (47°N, 8°E, 3450 m a.s.l.; see Fig. 1). At this site quasi-continuous <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> samples have been measured from 1986 onwards (Fig. 6, smooth curve). A harmonic fit curve and the mean trend have been calculated from the Jungfraujoch data. In the period of 1986 to 1991 the mean Schauinsland <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> level was constantly lower by about  $\Delta^{14}C = 6^{\circ}/_{\infty}$  if compared to Jungfraujoch. This offset is attributed to a general pile up of fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> over central Europe. To derive the <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> background level in mid latitudes of the northern hemisphere for the time period of 1977 to 1986 we used the trend curve calculated for the Schauinsland data and added the mean difference between Jungfraujoch and Schauinsland to this curve. The seasonal variation of the <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> background was derived from the mean seasonality at Jungfraujoch from 1986 to 1991 (Levin et al., 1992).

From the <sup>14</sup>C depletion at Schauinsland relative to the background curve derived from the Jungfraujoch data we calculated monthly mean <sup>14</sup>C-free fossil fuel contributions at the Schauinsland site according to Levin et al. (1989). A mean seasonal cycle for 1977–1992 was derived from these monthly data (Fig. 7). During winter the



Fig. 7. Mean fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> contribution derived from the respective negative  $\Delta^{14}$ C deviations from the background fit (c.f., Figs. 6 and 8) at Schauinsland for the period of 1977–1992 (smooth curve) and the period of 1985–1988 (cycles) in comparison with Westerland data for the same time span (stars). The fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> contribution during the summer months is similar at both sites. During the winter months, probably due to suppressed vertical mixing over the continent, the Schauinsland mountain station experiences much lower fossil fuel contributions than the coastal station Westerland.

fossil fuel component is about a factor of two higher than during summer. Part of this seasonality at the Schauinsland is due to a seasonality of the fossil fuel source (see Fig. 9), but also the atmospheric mixing height is considerably larger during the summer than during the winter season. The <sup>14</sup>C draw down during winters of 1987/88, 1988/89 and 1989/90 is much smaller than in the earlier and later years. This can be explained by the extraordinary warm European winters coupled with a high frequency of maritime (unpolluted) air masses reaching the Schauinsland station. This special situation in the late 1980s is also manifested in low <sup>222</sup>Radon concentrations observed at this site during these winter half years (Sartorius, IAR-Freiburg; see also Subsection 3.6).

# 3.5. <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> at Westerland

Quasi-continuous observations of  ${}^{14}CO_2$  at the coastal site Westerland in the period of 1985-1988 show a much larger seasonality and also bigger deviations from the Jungfraujoch <sup>14</sup>C background level than the Schauinsland data (Fig. 8). During winter, the fossil fuel component at Westerland is, thus, a factor of two higher than at the mountain site Schauinsland whereas during the summer half year the fossil fuel contributions at both sites are comparable (Fig. 7). Although the Schauinsland station is situated further inside the continental source area, its elevation above ground level leads to generally smaller contamination by ground level sources, particularly during winter. This behaviour is also confirmed by the significantly smaller seasonal amplitude of the selected  $CO_2$ 



Fig. 8. Monthly mean values of  $\Delta^{14}$ C in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> at Westerland (histogram). The smooth curve represents background air over Europe, as in Fig. 6.

concentrations at Schauinsland if compared to Westerland (compare Fig. 3).

## 3.6. Determination of the fossil fuel source strength

A tracer to estimate the residence time of an air mass over the continent as well as the atmospheric mixing conditions is the trace gas <sup>222</sup>Radon (Dörr et al., 1983; Levin, 1987). The radioactive noble gas <sup>222</sup>Radon emanates from all continental surfaces rather homogeneously and constantly with time. We used continuous atmospheric <sup>222</sup>Radon observations (kindly provided by H. Sartorius, Institut für Atmosphärische Radioaktivität, Freiburg) and the fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> component derived from <sup>14</sup>C data at the Schauinsland station to estimate the source strength of fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> in the catchment area of the Schauinsland site (e.g., Germany and South-West Europe). We made the simple assumption that the <sup>222</sup>Radon flux from continental surfaces is homogeneous and constant with time, and that the flux from ocean surfaces is negligible (Dörr & Münnich, 1990). The observed atmospheric <sup>222</sup>Radon activity  $(c_{Rn})$  then mainly reflects the residence time of the respective air mass over the continent divided by the vertical mixing height. With the further assumption that the fossil fuel sources are similarly homogeneously distributed at ground level on the continents, from the known <sup>222</sup>Radon flux density of  $j_{Rn} =$  $(53 \pm 20)$  mBq m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Dörr & Münnich, 1990), and the fossil fuel component  $c_{\text{foss}}$ , the fossil fuel flux density  $j_{\text{foss}}$  is estimated according to:

$$j_{\rm foss} = j_{\rm Rn} \times c_{\rm foss} / c_{\rm Rn}. \tag{5}$$

For the catchment area of the Schauinsland site (i.e., Germany and South Western Europe) a mean flux density of  $2 \text{ mMole m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  is calculated with a sinusoidal seasonal amplitude of  $\pm 30\%$ (Fig. 9). The mean flux density is considerably smaller than the 3-4 mMole  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> expected from statistically derived emission data of the relevant European countries (Marland, 1990). Possibly, the Jungfraujoch station is still partly influenced by the fossil fuel sources on the European continent, and the <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> background level was estimated too low. However, the mean  $^{14}CO_2$  level at the maritime background station Izaña, Tenerife, is only higher by about  $2^{\circ}/_{\infty}$ , suggesting an underestimation of the fossil fuel contamination at Schauinsland by 30% at most.



*Fig. 9.* Monthly mean fossil fuel  $CO_2$  flux densities (calculated from parallel <sup>222</sup>Radon observations) in the catchment area of the Schauinsland station. The error bars show the variability of flux densities calculated in individual years.

Also the mean  $^{222}$ Radon flux density has large error margins of  $\pm 30-50$ %. Within these uncertainties the estimated mean fossil fuel flux density is in reasonable agreement with direct emission statistics.

An important finding is, however, that the seasonality of the fossil fuel flux density from Europe is about three times higher than the seasonality estimated from emission statistics (Rotty, 1987). Rotty's estimate had been derived from sales statistics which may be considerably different to the seasonality of the actual fossil fuel emission. A large seasonality of the fossil fuel emissions is also needed to explain the seasonal cycle of <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> observed at northern hemispheric background sites like Izaña, Tenerife or Alert, Canada (Levin et al., 1992). If we attribute the  ${}^{14}CO_2$ seasonality at these sites mainly to fossil fuel contributions, a significant part (at least 10%) of the seasonal cycle in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in mid to high latitudes of the northern hemisphere has to be attributed to this source and not to atmospherebiosphere exchange, as has generally been assumed.

## 4. Summary and conclusions

The long-term records of atmospheric  $CO_2$  carefully conducted at continental sites in Germany provide an important contribution to establishing the global atmospheric  $CO_2$  budget:

• there is clear observational evidence for differences in seasonal cycles driven by the continental sources and sinks; • accompanying isotopic observations ( $^{13}C$  and  $^{14}C$ ) at sites subject to largely different pollution levels are shown to provide a quantitative identification of sources;

• the relative anthropogenic  $CO_2$  contribution can be furthermore related to respective fluxes by the <sup>222</sup>Radon approach.

Data selection based on meteorological parameters have been shown to provide representative continental records which now can be used to validate regional, continental, and also global scale  $CO_2$  models.

All data presented in this paper will be made available to other investigators through the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6335, USA.

## 5. Acknowledgements

This work has been partly funded by the German Umweltbundesamt, Berlin, under contract

No. FE 104 02 627, and has been supported by the Bundesminister für Forschung und Technologie under contract No. 07KFT11, and via GKSS/IB in the framework of the Science and Technology Agreement between Germany and Canada, KAN ENV 25. We wish to thank the personnel at the Jungfraujoch, Schauinsland and Westerland stations for collecting the large volume  $CO_2$ samples for isotope analysis, and K. Uhse (UBA-Pilotstation, Offenbach) for re-evaluation of the continuous  $CO_2$  records from the German  $CO_2$ network. H. Sartorius, Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz, Institut für Atmosphärische Radioaktivität, Freiburg, FRG, provided continuous <sup>222</sup>Radon data from the Schauinsland site. The carbon cycle group at NOAA/CMDL, Boulder, USA, kindly provided CO<sub>2</sub>-in-N<sub>2</sub> standards for re-calibration of our station standards. The staff of the Heidelberg Radiocarbon and Mass Spectrometer Laboratories are kindly acknowledged for their careful work doing the numerous isotopic analyses. We thank R. Francey for helpful suggestions concerning this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Bolin, B. and Bischof, W. 1970. Variations of the carbon dioxide content of the atmosphere in the northern hemisphere. *Tellus* 12, 431–442.
- Conway, T. J., Tans, P. and Waterman, L. S. 1990. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> at Barrow and Mould Bay. In: *Trends '90, a Compendium of data on global change* (eds. T. A. Boden, P. Kanciruk and M. P. Farrell). ORNL/CDIAC-36, Oak Ridge, TE, USA, 54–55, 62–63.
- Dörr, H., Kromer, B., Levin, I., Münnich, K. O. and Volpp, H. J. 1983. CO<sub>2</sub> and Radon-222 as tracers for atmospheric transport. J. Geophys. Res. 88, C2, 1309–1313.
- Dörr, H. and Münnich, K. O. 1990. <sup>222</sup>Rn flux and soil air concentration profiles in West Germany. Soil <sup>222</sup>Rn as tracer for gas transport in the unsaturated soil zone. *Tellus* **42B**, 20–28.
- Griffith, D. W. T., Keeling, C. D., Adams, J. A., Guenther, P. R. and Bacastow, R. P. 1982. Calculations of carrier gas effects in non-dispersive infrared analyzers. II. Comparisons with experiment. *Tellus* 34, 385–397.
- Hut, G. 1987. Stable isotope reference samples for geochemical and hydrological investigations. In: *Report to the Director General*, IAEA, Vienna.
- Keeling, C. D., Bacastow, R. B., Carter, A. F., Piper, S. C., Whorf, T. P., Heimann, M., Mook, W. G. and

Tellus 47B (1995), 1/2

Roeloffzen, H. 1989. A three-dimensional model of atmospheric  $CO_2$  transport based on observed winds: 1. Analysis of observational data. *Geophysical Monograph* **55**, 165–236.

- Levin, I., Münnich, K. O. and Weiss, W. 1980. The effect of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>14</sup>C sources on the distribution of <sup>14</sup>C in the atmosphere. *Radiocarbon* 22, 379–391.
- Levin, I. 1987. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in continental Europe, an alternative approach to clean air CO<sub>2</sub> data. *Tellus* **39B**, 21–28.
- Levin, I., Schuchard, J., Kromer, B. and Münnich, K. O. 1989. The continental European Suess-Effect. *Radiocarbon* 31, 431–440.
- Levin, I., Bösinger, R., Bonani, G., Francey, R., Kromer, B., Münnich, K. O., Suter, M., Trivett, N. B. A. and Wölfli, W. 1992. Radiocarbon in atmospheric carbon dioxide and methane: global distribution and trends. In: Radiocarbon after four decades: an interdisciplinary perspective (Eds. R. E. Taylor, A. Long and R. Kra). Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Marland, G. 1990. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In: Trends '90, a Compendium of data on global change (eds. T. A. Boden, P. Kanciruk and M. P. Farrell). ORNL/CDIAC-36, Oak Ridge, TE, USA, 92–133.
- Nakazawa, T., Morimoto, S., Aoki, S. and Tanaka, M. 1993. Time and space variations of the carbon isotopic

ratio of tropospheric carbon dioxide over Japan. *Tellus* **45B**, 258–274.

- Rotty, R. 1987. Estimates of seasonal variation of fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. *Tellus* **39B**, 184–202.
- Schmitt, R., Schreiber, B. and Levin, I. 1988. Effects of long-range transport on atmospheric trace constituents at the baseline station Tenerife (Canary Islands). Atmos. Chem. 7, 335-351.
- Schoch, H., Bruns, M., Münnich, K. O. and Münnich, M. 1980. A multi-counter system for high precision carbon-14 measurements. *Radiocarbon* 22, 442-447.
- Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A. 1977. Discussion: Reporting of <sup>14</sup>C data. *Radiocarbon* 19, 355–363.
- Tans, P. P., Conway, T. J. and Nakazawa, T. 1989. Latitudinal distribution of the sources and sinks of atmospheric carbon dioxide derived from surface

observations and an atmospheric transport model. J. Geophys. Res. 94, D4, 5151-5172.

- Tans, P. P. 1990 (Ed.). Report of the WMO meeting of experts on carbon dioxide concentration and isotopic measurement techniques. WMO Report No. 77.
- Trivett, N. B. A. 1989. A comparison of seasonal cycles and trends in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration as determined from robust and classical regression techniques. *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on* Analysis and evaluation of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> data, present and past. WMO Report No. 59.
- WMO 1992. Atmospheric  $\dot{CO}_2$  concentrations from Barrow and Mould Bay. WMO WDCGG Data Report Part A (Carbon Dioxide), WDCGG No. 2, Published by Japan. Meteorol. Agency in Cooperation with WMO.