Originalveröffentlichung in: I. Gabrilaki - Y. Tzifopoulos (Hg.), Actes of the International Symposium 'Mylopotamos, from Antiquity to our Days' [Πρακτικ. Διεθνο.ς Συνεδρίου «. Μυλοπόταμος .π. τ.ν .ρχαιότητα .ς σήμερα»], vol. 3. Rethymnon 2006. S. 205-216

ANGELOS CHANIOTIS

A Dodecahedron of Rock Crystal from the Idaean Cave and Evidence for Divination in the Sacred Cave of Zeus

THE EPIGRAPHY of the Idaean Cave primarily consists of inscriptions on pottery¹: numerous lamp signatures², a dipinto³, and a few inscribed pottery sherds. There must have been stone inscriptions there, such as the treaty between Kydonia and Apollonia⁴, but only a fragmentary inscription has been found, possibly an honorary inscription for a Roman emperor⁵. The rest of the inscriptions are on objects made of other materials: a bronze cauldron with a dedicatory inscription⁶, a golden phylactery⁷, and the inscription which I present in this article.

- * This contribution was not presented during the Conference, but, because of its intrinsic value for the region, it is included in the Proceedings by kind permission of the editor.
- I should express my gratitude to Jannis Sakellarakis for the opportunity he gave me to participate in the excavations of the Idaean Cave (1982-1986) and for entrusting me with the publication of the inscriptions found there.
- See Sapouna 1998, 91-117 (cf. SEG XLVIII 1212). cf. Baldwin-Bowsky 1999, 324-325. Chaniotis 2005.
- 3. BALDWIN-BOWSKY 1999, 325, no. 67.
- 4. Polybius 28.14. Diodorus 30.13. Chaniotis 1996, 285-287, no. 41.
- 5. IC Ixii.2.
- 6. Chaniotis 2002 (cf. SEG XLIV 714 and LII 862).
- 7. IC Ixii.8.



Description

Dodecahedral cube, made of rock crystal and inscribed with a letter / number on each of its 12 faces. Height 2 cm, length of the sides of each face 8.1 cm, letter height 0.5 cm.

Provenance

Idaean Cave (1885), part of the Τριφύλλης Collection. It was donated to the Herakleion Museum in 1902.

Inventory number

Herakleion Museum Υ(άλινα) 118.

Date

c. 1st century A.D. The letter forms and the shape of the cube are very similar to a die found in a dated context (first century A.D.) in Patrae (see below note 15).



Text

A, B, Γ , Δ , E, ς , Z (rather than N), H, Θ , I, IE, K

The 7th letter can be read both as zeta (7) and as nu (20). The reading Z is to be preferred, because in this way we get a complete sequence of numbers from 1 to 10.

The 12 numbers have been written on the 12 faces of the cube. The following numbers are written in opposite faces: 1 and 4, 5 and 6, 2 and 20, 9 and 3, 10 and 15, 7 and 8. If we take the side with the number 1 as the top face, the numbers are arranged in the following manner:

top: 1

second zone (from right to left): 5, 2, 9, 10, 7 third zone (from right to left): 3, 15, 8, 6, 20

bottom: 4

If the reading Z (not N) is correct, the numbers on the cube are based on the decimal system (1-10, 15 and 20). The numbers seem not to have been written randomly: in two cases adjacent numbers are on opposite faces (5-6, 7-8), in another two cases the number on one face is a multiple of the number on the other (3-9, 2-20); finally, 10 and 15 are multiples of 5, and the numbers 1 (μ ovás) and 4 (τ e τ pás) are of central importance in mathematical theory.

This object was certainly used as a die. Dice made of various materials (glass, faience, metal, rock crystal, marble, etc.) and with a different number of faces are quite common from the Classical period onwards, but they are particularly diffused in the Hellenistic period and in the Roman Empire⁸. In addition to the common dice with six faces⁹ one quite often finds dice with 18, 19, and 20 faces¹⁰. They were probably used in games¹¹.

The dice with 12 faces, inscribed with the first twelve numbers in Latin or Greek, are less common. Examples are known from Germany (made of bronze, with Latin numerals)¹², Antioch (of red stone, with Greek numerals)¹³ and Egypt (of black stone, with Greek numerals)¹⁴.

- 8. See, in general, Daremberg-Saglio, s.v. tessera, col. 128.
- 9. E.g., SEG XXXIV 449-450. From Crete, I know of a bronze die with six sides found in Knossos (Imperial period?), delivered to the Herakleion Museum by Δ. Καλπατζῆς in 1961 (unpublished, to the best of my knowledge). It has dots on three sides: one dot on two sides, ten dots on another.
- For examples see Daremberg-Saglio, s.v. teserra, col. 128. Dornseiff 1925, 151.
 Perdrizet 1930, 24.
- 11. Daremberg-Saglio, s.v. teserra, col. 128. НЕІNEVETTER 1912, 51-52. PERDRIZET 1930, 5-13. Емрекеur 1981, 562 (cf. SEG XXXI 1634). ПАПАПОЕТОЛОУ 1983, 30-31.
- 12. VON COHAUSEN 1879, 393. VAN VLEUTEN 1876, 193. HEINEVETTER 1912, 51. A die with 18 sides (made of black stone), also found in Germany, combines 12 sides inscribed with the first 12 Latin numbers with 6 sides inscribed with various combinations of letters; see VAN VLEUTEN 1876, 192.
- 13. Heinevetter 1912, 51.
- 14. PERDRIZET 1930, 4 no. 15 (pl. II, 14).

The die of the Idaean Cave differs from the aforementioned objects both in material and in the selection of numbers; instead of presenting the 12 first numbers, it has the numbers 1-10, 15 and 20. The closest parallel is a dodecahedral die made of rock crystal found in a Roman grave in Patrae¹⁵. It is inscribed (in Greek characters) with the numbers 1, 2, 3, 6 (stigma, rather than $\Omega=800$), 7 (Z, or perhaps N=50), 10, 11, 15, 18, 20, 30, and 40 (M, rather than $\Sigma=200$). One should also mention a die of rock crystal of unknown provenance in the Münzkabinett at Munich, which has 20 faces, but only 12 are inscribed with couples of letters, which again represent numbers (AN, BΞ etc.)¹⁶.

While the cubes with 20 faces found primarily in Egypt have plausibly been interpreted by P. Perdrizet as dice used in an unknown game¹⁷, the interpretation of the dodecahedra of rock crystal as dice —or exclusively as dice of games— is less certain. Already Perdrizet has pointed to the metaphysical significance of the dodecahedron among the Pythagoreans and in the Academy¹⁸. An alternative interpretation was suggested by F. Heinevetter¹⁹. Based on the information on divinatory practices contained in the Sortes Sangallenses, Heinevetter saw in the dodecahedral dice an instrument of divination. The Sortes Sangallenses is a collection of oracular responses (third century A.D.?), divided into chapters, of which each contains twelve oracles. The enquirer used a dodecahedral die in order to find the response to his question²⁰. F. Dornseiff, following Heinevetter's suggestion, pointed also to the survival of a divinatory practice involving the use of a dodecahedron until the Middle Ages²¹. Plutach describes a method of divination with the use of dice by the Pythia and the priest in Delphi, but unfortunately the relevant passage is problematic²². A magical papyrus describes a method which uses dice (διὰ ψήφου) to find out whether a person is still alive or has died²³. In Asia Minor, enquirers

- 15. PAPAPOSTOLOU 1983, 29-31 (cf. SEG XXXV 397. Bull. épigr. 1987, 127).
- 16. Dornseiff 1925, 151-152.
- 17. PERDRIZET 1930, 4 (cf. SEG VIII 811).
- 18. Perdrizet 1930, 14-15, who quotes the Scholia in the 13th Book of Euclid (V.2, p. 291, 14, ed. Heiberg-Stamatis): ἐν τούτω τῷ βιβλίω γράφεται τὰ λεγόμενα Πλάτωνος ε΄ σχήματα, ἃ αὐτοῦ μὲν οὐκ ἐστίν, τρία δὲ τῶν προειρημένων ε΄ σχημάτων τῶν Πυθαγορείων ἐστίν, ὅ τε κύβος καὶ ἡ πυραμὶς καὶ τὸ δωδεκάεδρον.
- 19. Heinevetter 1912, 52.
- 20. DOLD 1948, for the date see pp. 8, 14-15. For the method of divination see p. 10.
- 21. Dornseiff 1925, 152.
- 22. Plut., Moralia 391 d (de E apud Delphos 16 ed. Flacelièle): ὁ πρῶτος ὑμῖν γίνεται τῶν τριῶν κλήρων εἰς τὰ πέντε, πρὸς ἀλλήλους, ἐκείνης τὰ τρία, σοῦ δὲ τὰ δύο βάλλοντος. See V. Ehrenberg, s.v. Losung, in RE XIII.2 (1927) 1453.
- 23. Papyri Graecae Magicae LXII 48-52: Μέθοδ[ος δ]ιὰ ψήφου μαθ[εῖ]ν, εἰ ζἢ ἢ ἐτελεύ[τ]ησεν, οἶον ποίησ[ον] αὐτὸν ψηφίσ[α]ι τόδ(ε) τἢ φιά[λ]ῃ. πλησάτω ταύτη[ν ὕδ]ατος, προσθοῦ [βολλομένη] τἢ ψήφω χιβ (= 612), ὅ ἐστιν θεοῦ ὄνομα, οἶον Ζεύς,

identified the answer to their query in collections of oracular responses by using knuckle-bones. A large number of collections of such astragalus or dice oracles (ἀστραγαλομαντεῖον, «Würfelorakel») have been found in sanctuaries and public spaces of Asia Minor cities, but also in graves²⁴.

The use of such lists of oracular responses is quite common in ancient divination. The Homeromanteia, e.g., were selections of verses of the Iliad and the Odyssey, arranged in groups of six verses which served as answers to oracular questions²⁵. The Sortes Astrampsychi were a collection of 92 questions and 1,030 potential answers; the enquirer selected a question and then identified the corresponding answer through a complex procedure which involved the drawing of lots²⁶. Collections of metrical oracular responses arranged alphabetically («alphabetical oracles», «Buchstabenorakel») were widely diffused in Asia Minor²⁷. None of these divinatory practices, however, involved the use of a dodecahedron.

The find from the Idaean Cave is, to the best of my knowledge, the first dodecahedral die found in a sanctuary. Its discovery in a cult place does not necessarily mean that it was used for divination. Since dice used in games are known to have been dedicated in sanctuaries²⁸, we cannot exclude the possibility that this dice was the ex-voto of a visitor. Two observations, however, support the interpretation of the dodecahedral dice as instruments of divination: the selection of numbers and the material.

Unlike the hexahedral dice and the dice with 20 faces, which always indicate (with letters or dots) the same numbers (1-6 and I-XX), each of the dodecahedral dice is unique as regards the selection of numbers. Leaving aside the Roman dodecahedral cubes found in Germany (above note 11), we encounter the following combinations:

- Antioch (see above note 12): the first twelve numbers in Antioch;
- ❖ Patrae (see note 15): the first three numbers, the number 10 and its multiples (20, 30, 40, perhaps also 50), multiples of three (6 and 18), and the numbers 7 (?), 11, and 15;
- Idaean Cave: the first ten numbers, 15, and 20.

[[]τοῦ] δὲ μακροῦ ἀπ[ο]βαλέτω τνγ (= 365), ὅ ἐστιν Έρμης, ἐὰν οὖν εύρεθη ζεύγη ἐν τῆ ψήφ[φ], ζῆ, εἰ δὲ μή. [ἔχ]ει θάνατος.

For an overview see Nollé 1996/1997. cf. Nollé 1987. For examples see Nollé and Schindler 1991, no. 4. Şahin 1999, nos. 205-207. Horsley and Mitchell 2000, no. 5.

^{25.} Maltomini 1995.

^{26.} Tannery 1898, 96-106. Browne 1983.

See the articles of J. Nollé cited in note 24. For examples see Brixhe and Hodot 1988, nos. 45-46. Ritti 1989. Nollé 1993, no. 23. Corsten 1997. Petzl 1997. Horsley and Mitchell 2000, no. 159.

^{28.} See Kilian 1978, 221-2. Jacquemin 1984, 170-171 nos. 16 and 18.

If these combinations are not random, they may be related with a divinatory practice, in which the oracular response was identified with the use of dice.

The material may also be significant. According to the ancient treatises which deal with the magical and medical properties of stones ($\Lambda\iota\vartheta\iota\kappa\acute{\alpha}$), rock crystal was regarded as one of the most sacred and powerful stones, used, e.g., for the lighting of sacred fire²⁹.

The finding place also points to the religious function of the two rock crystal dice. The die from Patrae was found in the grave of a young man. Two of the grave offerings, two rings, strongly support the assumption that he was somehow related to divinatory activities. One of the rings shows the head of a prophet, the other a mantic tripod³⁰. The excavator, I. A. Papapostolou, associated the second ring with the office of the quindecimviri sacris faciundis. The members of this board kept and interpreted the books which contained Sibylline prophecies. Papapostolou is certainly right in his assumption that the young man belonged to one of the prominent families of the Roman colony of Patrae, a member of which had served as quindecimvir sacris faciundis³¹. I suggest that the die of rock crystal and these rings are associated with a divinatory activity. One should also mention that the grave also contained two silver knuckle-bones (astragals)32, which may have been used in astragalomanteia (see above). The religious character of the grave goods is confirmed also by the discovery of a silver crepitaculum, an object often used for magical or apotropaic purposes³³. Three objects, which the excavator interpreted as heirloom (rings) or presents of the childhood (astragals, die, crepitaculum)34, may well be connected with a particular religious function of the buried person. As Papapostolou has pointed out, there can be no doubt about the high social position of the young man. Two inscriptions, one found in the mausoleum, the second near it, mention names (Aequanus, Va., Aequ.) which can be associated with known families in Patrae (Aequani, Varii, and / or Vatronii). Aequana Musa served as a priestess of Artemis Laphria, Varius Secundus and Varronius Syneros were augustales³⁵. An attractive hypothesis in view of the discovery of several objects related to

Όρφέως Λιθικά Κηρύγματα 1.34 (ed. Halleux-Schamp). See also HALLEUX AND SCHAMP 1985, 301-302.

^{30.} ΠΑΠΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥ 1983, 7-12, 14-16.

^{31.} ΠΑΠΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥ 1983, 15 and 33.

^{32.} ΠΑΠΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥ 1983, and 19-20 no. 12.

^{33.} ΠΑΠΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥ 1983, 18-19.

^{34.} ΠΑΠΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥ 1983, 31. Papapostolou rightly stresses the untypical character of the grave offerings (ΠΑΠΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥ 1983, 31-32).

^{35.} ΠΑΠΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥ 1983, 32-33. For improved editions of these inscriptions see RIZAKIS 1998, 84-86 no. 5, 130-131 nos. 49-50.

divination —a hypothesis which, however, cannot be proven— is that the buried man had served as augur ($oi\omega vo\sigma \kappa o\pi o\varsigma$) of the Roman colony³⁶ and that the dodecahedral die was used for divination.

Given the possibility that the dodecahedral die of rock crystal from the Idaean Cave may have served for divinatory purposes one should consider the oracular function of Zeus' sanctuary. Was divination one of the primary functions of Zeus' cave on Mt. Ida and in which period? Several arguments for such a function have been put forth by G. Capdeville³⁷, most of them entirely inconclusive. Neither the tradition about the periodical visit of Minos to the cave of Zeus³⁸, nor the discovery of tripods in the cave —a common find in early sanctuaries can be taken as evidence for oracular activities in the Idaean Cave³⁹. It is also questionable whether the tripod represented next to an armed divinity -Zeus according to Capdeville, Athena according to other scholars—40 on a mitra found in Axos has anything to do with the cult in the Idaean Cave or with divination. Coins of Axos show a tripod on one face and the head of a young man wearing a laurel wreath on the other; the man was identified by Capdeville with Zeus Idaios⁴¹. This identification should be rejected. The laurel wreath supports an identification with Apollo Pythios, whose sanctuary did exist in Axos⁴². Capdeville was on safer grounds when he pointed to the fact that according to Porphyrios the chorus in Euripides' lost tragedy The Cretans consisted of prophets of Zeus ($\Delta i \delta \varsigma \pi \rho o \phi \acute{n} \tau \alpha \varsigma$)⁴³. Unfortunately, Porphyrios is a very late source, and one should note that in the preserved passage of the tragedy the members of the chorus designate themselves as $\Delta \iota \dot{o} \varsigma$ Ίδαίου μύσται and not as prophets.

Capdeville's arguments in support of an oracular function of the Idaean Cave are not conclusive, but there are some other indications for

^{36.} The office of the *aug(ur)* seems to be attested according to RIZAKIS 1998, 185-186 no. 135, although I suspect that the letters AUG. are the abbreviation of *aug(ustalis)* as in no. 49.

^{37.} CAPDEVILLE 1990.

Odyssey 19.178-179. Plat., Legg. I 624a-625b. [Plat.], Minos 319b-e. Strabo 10.4.8. C
 476 (cf. FrGrHist 60 F 147). Nikol. Damask., FrGrHist 90 F 103 aa 1. Maximus Tyr.
 38.2 C (ed. Hobein). Val. Max. 1.2 ext. 1 (ed. Kempf). On this tradition see GORGEMANNS 1960. 65. ΠΑΝΑΓΟΠΟΥΛΟΣ 1981, 167-170. VERBRUGGEN 1981, 84-85.

^{39.} For this reason Sporn 2002, 221, rejects Capdeville's suggestion.

^{40.} HOFFMANN 1972, 23-24 no. 2. Capdeville 1990, 93-101 (with the earlier bibliography). It should be noted that the identification of the figure with Zeus had already been suggested by N. Kontoleon (ΚοΝΤΟΛΕΩΝ 1962).

^{41.} CAPDEVILLE 1990, 96-97 (with earlier bibliography).

^{42.} SEG XXIII 566 lines 8, 17, 22-23 (late fourth century B.C.). The Pythion of Axos is mentioned also by CAPDEVILLE 1990, 97 and 100.

^{43.} Porphyrios, de abstinentia 4.19, p. 261, 67 ed. Nauck (= Euripides fr. 3.10 ed. Cantarella). On this passage see Cantarella 1964, 68. Capdeville 1990, 101, note 40. On the Cretans of Euripides see more recently Cozzoli 1993.

such a function. The most important relevant traditions are those which connect the cult in the Idaean Cave with Epimenides. His theological and prophetic activities begin after his legendary sleep in the cave⁴⁴. According to a mythological tradition the Idaean Cave was the very place where Laios, Keleos, Kerberos and Aigolios were transformed into prophetic birds⁴⁵. These myths and legends which directly associate the Idaean Cave with divination should not surprise us. Both as a cave and as a cult place of Zeus—one of the primary patrons of divination—46, the sacred cave on Mt. Ida was an ideal place for an oracle. Many important oracles were located in caves, natural chasms, or spots which were constructed in such a way as to remind one of caves and chasms (e.g., Apollo's oracle in Delphi and Klaros, the oracle of Trophonios in Lebadeia, the cave of Sibylla in Kyme)⁴⁷. As late as AD. 302 Diocletian is said to have received an oracle which stressed the priority of oracles given from caves over oracles given on top of the earth's surface⁴⁸. In a passage, in which Iamblichos summarizes the main divinatory methods, he refers to prophets who drink water, seated in caves, or inhale vapors⁴⁹.

To sum up: the legends concerning Epimenides and the myth of the prophetic birds directly associate the Idaean Cave with divination. As a sacred cave and as a cult place of Zeus the Idaean Cave probably served as an oracle. One should not exclude the possibility that the interest of the Pythagoreans in this cult place was not only connected with traditions about a mystery cult practiced in the cave, but also with the strong interest of the Pythagoreans in divination⁵⁰. Of course, the traditions which connect the Idaean Cave with divination do not prove that this cult place has *always* and *continually* served as an oracle. The legends and myths seem to refer to an early period, and it is conceivable that in the Classical or Hellenistic period Zeus Idaios as an oracular divinity was overshadowed by other gods, e.g. Apollo.

- 44. For the evidence see FrGrHist 457 with the commentary of F. Jacoby. The prophetic activities of Epimenides are discussed by H. Demoulin (DEMOULIN 1901, 100-106). In general see Mele et al. 2001 and cf. Parke 1988, 174-178.
- 45. Antoninus Liberalis, *Metamorph*. 19.3 ed. Martini (*Mythographi Graeci* II.2). See also FAURE 1964, 115. VERBRUGGEN 1981, 41-42.
- 46. Parke 1967, 16-25. Fontenrose 1978, 229.
- PARKE 1967, 26-27. FONTENROSE 1988, 230. For Delphi see FONTENROSE 1988, 199-202. For Klaros see Robert 1954, 14-15. For Trophonios see Bonnechere 1989. For Kyme see Parke 1967, 80-81.
- 48. [Eusebios], Vita Constantini 2.50 (ed. Winkelmann): τὸν Ἀπόλλω τὸ τηνικαῦτα ἔφασαν ἐξ ἄντρου τινὸς καὶ σκοτίου μυχοῦ, οὐχὶ δ΄ ἐξ ἀνθρώπου χρῆσαι, ὡς ἄρα οἱ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς δίκαιοι ἐμπόδιον εἶεν τοῦ ἀληθεύειν αὐτὸν καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ψευδεῖς τῶν τριπόδων τὰς μαντείας ποιεῖσθαι. See Fontenrose 1988, 206-207.
- Iamblichos, de mysteriis 3.11 (ed. des Places): οί δὲ ὕδωρ πίνοντες..., οί δὲ στομίοις παρακαθήμενοι..., οί δὲ ἐξ ὑδάτων ἀτμιζόμενοι.
- 50. On the connection of the Pythagoreans with the Idaean Cave see, e.g., Diogenes

Even if the divinatory use of the dodecahedron of rock crystal seems quite probable, we cannot answer the question how it found its way to the Idaean Cave: Was it used by priests? Was it at the disposal of the visitors, as knuckle-bones were used in astragalomanteia by the pilgrims in other sanctuaries?⁵¹ Or was it brought as a dedication by a pilgrim who had heard of the sanctuary's oracular functions — in early times or still in the first centuries of the Imperial period?

Laertios 8.3 (p. 105,6 ed. Delatte). Porphyrios, Vita Pythagorae 17 (p. 43,12-22 ed. des Places). cf. Cantarella 1964, 63-69. Verbruggen 1981, 81-83, 88-91. On the interest of the Pythagoreans in divination see Diogenes Laertios 8.23: μαντικὴν πᾶσαν τιμᾶν. Photios, Bibliotheca 439 a 1418 (ed. Henry). SEG XXVIII 943 = ΜΕΓΚΕΙΒΑCΗ AND STAUBER 2001, 47-48 no. 08/01/35 (Kyzikos, Imperial period): μαθήμασι Πυθαγορείοις μάντις ἐών.

51. HOPFNER 1924, 53-54. A knuckle-bone covered with a silver sheet (early Archaic period?) was found in the Idaean Cave; this object may have been used in astragalomanteia, but it may also be a game, dedicated by a young man at the end of his childhood. See SAKELLARAKIS 1988, 187-189, who also discusses the various uses of the object.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bull épigr: Bulletin épigraphique, Revue des Études Grecques 1- (1888-).
- FGrHist: F. Jacoby, Die Fragmente der griechischen Historiker. Leiden 1923-.
- RE: Pauly, F. and G. Wissowa (eds), Realencyklopädie de klassischen Altertumswissenschaft.
- SEG: Supplementum Epigraphicum Graecum, τόμοι 1-49 (1923-1995).
 - J. E. Hondius (ed.), τόμοι 1-11, Leiden 1923-1954.
 - A. G. Woodhead (ed.), τόμοι 12-25, Leiden 1965-1971.
 - H. W. Pleket and R. S. Stroud (eds), τόμοι 26-41, Amsterdam 1979-1991.
 - H. W. Pleket, R. S. Stroud and J. H. M. Strubbe (eds), τόμοι 42-44, Amsterdam 1992-1994.
 - H. W. Pleket, R. S. Stroud, A. Chaniotis and J. H. M. Strubbe (eds), τόμοι 45-49, Amsterdam 1995-1999.
 - http://www.let.leidenuniv.nl/history/seg/seg.htm
- Baldwin-Bowsky 1999: Baldwin-Bowsky, Martha W., The Business of Being Roman: the Prosopographical Evidence. A. Chaniotis (ed.), From Minoan Farmers to Roman Traders. Sidelights on the Economy of Ancient Crete. Heidelberger Althistorische Beiträge und Epigraphische Studien 29. Stuttgart: F. Steiner 1999, 305-347.
- BONNECHERE 1989: Bonnechère, P. and Marie, Trophonios à Lébadée. Histoire d'une oracle. *Les Études Classiques* 57 (1989), 289-302.
- BRIXHE AND HODOT 1988: Brixhe, C. and R. Hodot, L'Asie Mineure du Nord au Sud. Inscriptions inédites. Nancy 1988.
- Browne 1983: Browne, G. M. (ed.), Sortes Astrampsychi. Leipzig 1983.
- CANTARELLA 1964: Cantarella, R., Euripide, I Cretesi. Milan 1964.
- CAPDEVILLE 1990: Capdeville, G., L'oracle de l'Ida crétois. Kernos 3 (1990), 89-101.
- Chaniotis 1996: Chaniotis, A., Die Verträge zwischen kretischen Poleis in der hellenistischen Zeit. Heidelberger Althistorische Beiträge und Epigraphische Studien 24. Stuttgart: F. Steiner 1996.
- Chaniotis 2002: Chaniotis, A., Some Cretan Bastards. Cretan Studies 7 (2002), 67-89.
- CHANIOTIS 2005: Chaniotis, A., Inscribed Instrumenta Domestica and the Economy of Hellenistic and Roman Crete. Zofia H. Archibald, J. K. Davies and V. Gabrielsen (eds), *Making, Moving and Managing. The New World of Ancient Economies* 323-31 BC. Oxford: Oxbow Books 2005, 92-116.
- CORSTEN 1997: Corsten, T., Ein neues Buchstabenorakel aus Kibyra. *Epigraphica Anatolica* 28 (1997), 41-49.
- Cozzoli 1993: Cozzoli, Adele-Teresa, Euripide, *Cretesi*, fr. 472 N². (79 Austin). A. Masaracchia (ed.), *Atti del Seminario Nazionale "Orfeo e l'orfismo"* (Roma-Perugia 1985-1991). Roma: Gruppo Editoriale Internazionale 1993, 155-172.
- Demoulin 1901: Demoulin, H., Épimenide de Crète. Bibliothèque de la Faculté et Lettres de l'Université de Liège 12. Bruxelles 1901.
- Dold 1948: Dold, A., Die Orakelsprüche im St. Galler Palimpsestcodex 908 (die sogenannten "Sortes Sangallenses"). Sitzungsberichte der Österreichischen

- Akademie der Wissenschaft in Wien. Philosophisch-Historische Klasse 225.4. Vienna 1948.
- Dornseiff, F., Das Alphabet in Mystik und Magie. Leipzig / Berlin 1925.
- EMPEREUR 1981: Empereur, J.-Y., Collection Paul Canellopoulos XVII. Petits objects inscrits. Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique 105 (1981), 537-568.
- FAURE 1964: Faure, P., Fonctions des cavernes crétoises. Travaux et Mémoires de l'Ecole Française d'Athènes. Paris: de Boccard 1964.
- FONTENROSE 1978: Fontenrose, J., The Delphic Oracle: its Responses, and Operations. Berkeley / Los Angeles / London 1978.
- FONTENROSE 1988: Fontenrose, J., *Didyma. Apollo's Oracle, Cult, and Companions*. Berkeley / Los Angeles / London 1988.
- GORGEMANNS 1960: Görgemanns, H., Beiträge zur Interpretation der platonischen Nomoi. Münich 1960.
- GUARDUCCI 1935: Guarducci, Margherite (ed.), Inscriptiones Creticae. I: Tituli Cretae mediae praeter Gortynios. Roma: Libreria dello Stato 1935.
- HALLEUX AND SCHAMP 1985: Halleux, R. and J. Schamp, Les lapidaires grecs. Paris 1985.
- Heinevetter, F., Würfel- und Buchstabenorakel in Griechenland und Kleinasien. Breslau 1912.
- HOFFMANN 1972: Hoffmann, H., Early Cretan Armorers. Mainz on Rhine: Philipp von Zabern 1972.
- HOPFNER 1924: Hopfner, T., Astragalomanteia. Realencyklopädie de klassischen Altertumswissenschaft. Supplementum IV (1924), 53-54.
- Horsley and Mitchell 2000: Horsley, G. H. R. and S. Mitchell, *The Inscriptions of Central Pisidia, Including Texts from Kremna, Ariassos, Keraia, Hyia, Panemoteichos, the Sanctuary of Apollo of the Perminoundeis, Sia, Kocaaliler, and the Döseme Bogazi.* Inschriften Griechischer Städte in Kleinasien 57. Bonn 2000.
- Jacquemin 1984: Jacquemin, A., Petits objets divers. *L'antre corycien II*. Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique, Supplément IX. Paris 1984, 166-175.
- KILIAN 1978: Kilian, I., Weihungen an Eileithyia und Artemis Orthia. Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 31 (1978), 221-222.
- MALTOMINI 1995: Maltomini, F., P.Lond. 121 (= PGM VII), 1-221: Homeromanteion. Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 106 (1995), 107-122.
- Mele et al. 2001: Mele, A., Marisa Tortorelli-Ghidini, E. Federico and A. Visconti (eds), *Epimenide Cretese*. Quaderni del Dipartimento di Discipline Storiche "E. Lepore", Università "Federico II", Napoli 2. Napoli 2001.
- MERKELBACH AND STAUBER 2001: Merkelbach, R. and J. Stauber, Steinepigramme aus dem griechischen Osten. 2: Die Nordküste Kleinasiens (Marmarameer und Pontos). Leipzig 2001.
- Nollé 1987: Nollé, J., Südkleinasiatische Losorakel in der römischen Kaiserzeit, Antike Welt 183 (1987), 41-49.
- Nollé 1993: Nollé, J., *Side im Altertum I.* Inschriften Griechischer Städte in Kleinasien 43. Bonn 1993.

- Nollé 1996/1997: Nollé, J., Medien, Sprüche, Astragale. Zum Orakelwesen im kaiserzeitlichen Kleinasien. Nürnberger Blätter zur Archäologie 13 (1996-1997), 167-182.
- Nollé and Schindler 1991: Nollé, J. and F. Schindler, *Die Inschriften von Selge*. Inschriften Griechischer Städte in Kleinasien 37. Bonn 1991.
- PARKE 1967: Parke, H. W., Greek Oracles. London 1967.
- PARKE 1988: Parke, H. W., Sibyls and Sibylline Prophecy in Classical Antiquity, edited by B. C. MacGing. London 1988.
- Perdrizet 1930: Perdrizet, P., Le jeu aléxandrin de l'icosaédre. Bulletin de l' Institut français d'archéologie orientale 30 (1930), 1-16.
- Petzl. 1997: Petzl, G., Nochmals zum neuen Buchstabenorakel. *Epigraphica Anatolica* 29 (1997), 127-128.
- RITTI 1989: Ritti, T., Oracoli alfabetici a Hierapolis di Frigia. *Miscellanea Graeca* ed Romana 14 (1989), 245-286.
- Rizakis 1998: Rizakis, A. D., Achaïe. II: La cité de Patras: Épigraphie et histoire. Μελετήματα 25. Αθήνα: Κέντρο Ελληνικής και Ρωμαϊκής Αρχαιότητος / Εθνικό Ίδρυμα Ερευνών 1998.
- ROBERT 1954: Robert, L., Les fouilles de Claros. Paris 1954.
- Sahin, 1999: Sahin, S., Die Inschriften von Perge. Teil I (vorrömische Zeit, frühe und hohe Kaiserzeit). Inschriften Griechischer Städte in Kleinasien 54.1. Bonn 1999.
- SAKELLARAKIS 1988: Sakellarakis, J. A., Some Geometric and Archaic Votives from the Idaian Cave. R. Hägg, Nanno Marinatos, and G. C. Nordquist (eds), Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium Organised by the Swedish Institute at Athens "Ancient Greek Cult Practice" (Swedish Institute at Athens, 26-29 June 1986). Stockholm 1988, 173-193.
- Sapouna 1998: Sapouna, Paulina, Die Bildlampen römischer Zeit aus der Idäischen Zeusgrotte auf Kreta. BAR 696. Oxford 1998.
- Sporn 2002: Sporn, Katja, Heiligtümer und Kulte Kretas in klassischer und hellenistischer Zeit. Studien zu antiken Heiligtümern 3. Heidelberg: Archäologie und Geschichte 2002.
- TANNERY 1898: Tannery, P., Astrampsychos. Revue des Études Grecques 11 (1898), 96-106.
- VAN VLEUTEN 1876: van Vleuten, F., Römische Würfel und würfelöhnliche Spiele. Bonner Jahrbücher 57 (1876).
- VERBRUGGEN 1981: Verbruggen, H., Le Zeus crétois. Paris: Les Belles Lettres 1981.
- VON COHAUSEN 1879: von Cohausen, A., Würfel. Annalen des Vereins für Nassauische Altertumskunde und Geschichtsforschung 15 (1879).
- Κοντολεων 1962: Κοντολέων, Ν., Ἡ γέννησις τοῦ Διός. Ἁ. Καλοκαιρινὸς (επιμ.), Πεπραγμένα τοῦ Α΄ Διεθνοῦς Κρητολογικοῦ Συνεδρίου (Ἡράκλειο, 21-28 Σεπτεμβρίου 1961). Κρητικὰ Χρονικὰ ΙΕ΄-ΙΣΤ΄ (1961-1962). Ἡράκλειο 1962, 283-289.
- ΠΑΝΑΓΟΠΟΥΛΟΣ 1981: Παναγόπουλος, Α., Πλάτων καὶ Κρήτη. Αθήνα 1981.
- ΠΑΠΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥ 1983: Παπαποστόλου, Ι. Α., Κτερίσματα ταφῆς σὲ ρωμαϊκὸ μαυσωλεῖο στὴν Πάτρα. Άρχαιολογικὴ Έφημερὶς 122 (1983), 1-34.