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## Summary

Brine shrimps Artemia (Crustacea, Anostraca) are a group of cosmopolitan extremophile microcrustaceans which are composed of a complex of six sexual species and numerous Eurasian Haplotype Complex (EHC) lineages. In the present study, we analyzed a partial sequence of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and nuclear Internal transcribed spacer1 (ITS1), as well as genomic fingerprints by ISSR-PCR (inter-simple sequence repeats) for a large set of Artemia specimens ( N ~ 600) from various geographical localities $(\mathrm{N}=102)$ across Eurasia and America. Asian lineages have revealed a mixture of paraphyletic and polyphyletic groups of Artemia. A. urmiana and A. tibetiana represent a species complex with multiple genetic lineages. EHC lineages (Eurasian and Africa) showed a star-like haplotype pattern, which had more genetic similarities to other sexual Asian species, except $A$. sinica. Bayesian analysis of $C O I$ was used to estimate the time of divergence of Asian Artemia species. The Bayesian analysis indicated that Asian taxa are relatively young, particularly EHC lineages. A. urmiana recorded as the oldest species originated in the Pleistocene and could be considered as a major source of its expansion to its modern habitats in Eurasia. Molecular dating analyses using a relaxed bayesian molecular clock depicted that A. sinica has been diverged from other Asian lineages in the Miocene, around 20 million years ago (Mya).
A. urmiana was the dominant sexual species in Urmia Lake exhibiting a high genetic diversity with a low level of genetic structure in the entire lake. This species was essentially homogeneous indicative of panmixia. A correlation between population differentiation and geographical and ecological differences was not observed.

The invasive A. franciscana have permanently colonized 31 geographical localities along the southern and eastern coastal regions of Asia. EHC lineages were observed in 39 inland geographical localities in Asia. Asian A. franciscana generated a signature of haplotype diversity as compared to the source population from Great Salt Lake (GSL, USA). The high genetic diversity of Asian A. franciscana is probably attributed the numerous, human-mediated, dispersal events and multiple introductions from GSL \& San Francisco Bay (SFB, USA) and eventually indirect introductions from other native localities in the Americas. Our results indicate that biological invasion do not necessarily lead to reduced genetic diversity, particularly if multiple source populations, each with distinctive genetic composition, contribute to the founding populations. EHC lineages showed low genetic diversity which is in contrast to the restricted geographic distribution, strong genetic structure, and regional endemism of sexual Artemia lineages in Asia.
A. franciscana in GSL revealed the same condition as observed in A. urmiana. It showed a high genetic diversity with an evidence of panmixia throughout the lake.

## Zusammenfassung

Artemia (Crustacea, Anostraca) ist eine Gruppe kosmopolitischer, extremophiler Microcrustaceen, die sich aus einem Komplex sechs sexueller Arten und zahlreicher EHC (Eurasian Haplotype Complex) Abstammungslinien zusammensetzt. In dieser Studie wurden partielle Sequenzen der mitochondrialen Untereinheit I des Cytochrom c Oxidase Gens (COI), des nukleären Gens Internal transcribed spacer1 (ITS1) und daneben DNA-Fingerprints mittels ISSR-PCR (inter-simple sequence repeats) eines umfangreichen Sets von Artemien ( N $\approx 600$ ) unterschiedlicher geographischer Lokalitäten ( $\mathrm{N}=102$ ) innerhalb Eurasiens und Amerikas analysiert. Asiatische Abstammungslinien zeigten eine Mischung von para- und polyphyletischen Artemia-Gruppen. A. urmiana und A. tibetiana gehörten zum selben Artenkomplex mit mehrfachen genetischen Abstammungslinien. EHC Abstammungslinien (Eurasien und Afrika) zeichneten sich durch ein sternförmiges Haplotypenmuster aus, das mit Ausnahme von A. sinica - eine höhere genetische Ähnlichkeit zu anderen sexuellen asiatischen Arten aufwies. Bayessche Analysen des COI Gens wurden verwendet, um den Zeitpunkt der Aufspaltung der asiatischen Artemia Arten abzuschätzen. Die Analysen weisen darauf hin, dass asiatische Taxa und EHC im Besonderen relativ jung sind. A. urmiana ist die älteste bekannte Art, entstammt dem Pleistozän und kann als eine Hauptquelle der Ausbreitung in die modernen Habitate Eurasiens angesehen werden. Molekulare, auf einer Bayesschen „relaxed molecular clock" basierende Datierungsmethoden zeigten, dass sich $A$. sinica von den übrigen asiatischen Abstammungslinien im Miozän vor etwa 20 Millionen Jahren abspaltete.
A. urmiana ist die dominierende sexuelle Art im Urmiasee und von hoher genetischer Diversität mit einer geringen Populationsstruktur im gesamten See geprägt. Diese Art war genetisch homogen, was für eine panmiktische Population spricht. Eine Korrelation zwischen genetischer Differenzierung und geographischen und ökologischen Unterschieden konnte nicht festgestellt werden.

Die invasive Art A. franciscana hat bis heute 31 Regionen entlang der Süd- und Ostküsten Asiens permanent kolonialisiert. EHC Abstammungslinien wurden in 39 Gebieten des Festlandes Asiens beobachtet. Im Vergleich zur Ursprungspopulation des Großen Salzsees (USA) weist A. franciscana in Asien eine spezifische Haplotypendiversität auf. Seine hohe genetische Diversität geht wahrscheinlich auf mehrfache anthropogene Dispersion, die wiederholte Einführung der Art aus dem GSL \& San Francisco Bay (SFB, USA), sowie die indirekte Einführung aus anderen Ursprungsgebieten Amerikas zurück. Unsere Ergebnisse lassen darauf schließen, dass biologische Invasionen nicht zwingend mit verminderter genetischer Diversität einhergehen müssen. Dies ist insbesondere dann der Fall, wenn Ursprungspopulationen unterschiedlicher Herkunft und verschiedener genetischer Zusammensetzung neue Populationen begründen. EHC Abstammungslinien zeigen eine
geringe genetische Diversität und stehen damit im Gegensatz zu sexuellen Artemia-Arten Asiens, welche durch ihre abgegrenzte geographische Verbreitung, die ausgeprägte Populationsstruktur und ihren regionalen Endemismus gekennzeichnet sind.
A. franciscana vom Great Salt Lake zeigte ein ähnliches Muster wie A. urmiana. Die Art war geprägt von hoher genetischer Diversität und es gibt Hinweise auf Panmixie im gesamten See.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Taxonomy of Artemia

The brine shrimp Artemia is a distinctive zooplankter which has continental distribution and can be found in over 500 geographical localities except Antarctica (Triantaphyllidis et al., 1997a,b, Muñoz and Pacios, 2010). It has been first described by Schlösser in 1755 (Sorgeloos, 1980a), who named it as Cancer salinus (Asem et al., 2010a). According to Martin and Davis, (2001), the taxonomic status of the genus Artemia can be defined as shown below:

Subphylum: Crustacea Brünnich, 1772
Class: Branchiopoda Latreille, 1817
Subclass: Sarsostraca Tasch, 1969
Order: Anostraca Sars, 1867
Family: Artemiidae Grochowski, 1896
Genus: Artemia Leach, 1819
Species:
Artemia franciscana Kellogg, 1906
Artemia persimilis Piccinelli \& Prosdocimi, 1968
Artemia salina Linnaeus, 1758
Artemia urmiana Günther, 1899
Artemia sinica Cai, 1989
Artemia tibetiana Abatzopoulos et al., 1998
Eurasian Haplotype Complex (EHC) Barigozzi, 1974
(or A. parthenogenetica)
The phylogenetic tree of the most recognized Artemia species is depicted in Fig. 1.


Fig. 1. ITS1 phylogenetic tree of recognized Artemia species.
(Source: Baxevanis et al., 2006)

### 1.2. Morphology of Artemia

Artemia has a segmented body with leaf-like jointed appendages which suggest a bigger size. The total length of adult males is about $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and an adult female is $10-12$ mm (Fig. 2), depending on the species. The body is divided into head, thorax and abdomen (Fig. 3). The head is composed of six segments which are fused by median and compound eyes, labrum, appendages (antennae and maxillae) and development of muscular and skeletal systems (Fig. 4). The head includes excretory organs and a part of linear digestive tract. The compound eyes contain many ommatidia which developed from the lateral side of the compound eyes. The labrum is an elastic and muscular part, which developed from the bases of compound eyes during developmental stages. The labrum helps animal during mastication and swallowing processes.

The thorax consists of eleven segments, shaping the swimming legs or phyllopods. The main function of swimming legs is in locomotion, osmoregulation and respiration of the animal. The nauplius has three pairs of appendages including the first antennae (sensorial function), the second antennae (locomotory + filter-feeding function) and the mandibles (food uptake function). The first and eleventh segments of phyllopods have the smallest size but this would be increased toward the middle of the thorax. Female Artemia can easily be distinguished by the brood pouch or uterus located behind the 11th pair of thoracopods.

The abdomen extends behind the thorax and is composed of eight annular segments. The first abdominal segment specializes by genital segments. There include gonopods either the paired penes of the male or the ovisac of the femaleThe ovisac are structured into a single unit whereas, the penes are paired. Abdominal segments (two to seven) have no appendages but the last segment (eight) ends to pair of cercopods which is called furcal rami or telson (Cassel, 1937; Abatzopoulos et al., 2002).


Fig. 2. External morphological Artemia features (male and female).
(Source: http://www.fao.org)


Fig. 3. The body structure of Artemia.

## (Source: www. futurechimp.blogspot.com)



1: Antenna, 2: Antennula, 3: Lateral complex eye, 4: Mandible

Fig. 4. A Schematic view of the head of Artemia (male).
(Source: http://www.fao.org)

### 1.3. Life cycle of Artemia

Artemia is composed of six recognized bisexual species and numerous parthenogenetic (asexual) populations which are variable according to ploidy levels (Van Stappen, 2002). Depending on sexual or parthenogenetic species, the development of Artemia cysts undergoes two developmental pathways including ovoviviparous vs viviparous. In the former mode, the fertilized eggs produce free-swimming nauplii by female. Females can produce about 300 nauplii every 4 days. In the extreme environmental condition (e.g. high salinity, low oxygen levels), encysted gastrula embryos (cysts) are released by female to the environment by mating male and female (Liang and MacRae, 1999). The larvae produced through viviparous reproduction appear to have the same morphological features but there are significant biochemical differences among them (Liang and MacRae, 1999).

The cysts are externally surrounded by a thick brown-color shell. Dry conditions cause the encysted embryo to enter a dormant state, which allows it to withstand complete drying, temperatures over $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dormancy is terminated by a dehydration-rehydration cycle. The rehydrated cysts exist in a quiescent state which is termed anhydrobiosis (Browne and Bowen, 1991). The cyst floats across the column of the water and finally accumulates in saltpan or lake sediments forming diapausing egg banks, allowing the persistence of populations during unfavorable periods. The cysts hatch after 24-48 h under standard conditions (salinity: 35-40 g. ${ }^{-1}$, temperature: $26-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH}: 7.5-8.0$, aeration: 4 ppm , illumination: 2000 lux). The fresh-hatched Artemia (nauplii) molts about 17 times and it takes two weeks to reach to adult stage (Fig. 5).


Fig. 5. Schematic representation of Artemia life cycle

### 1.4. Ecology of Artemia

The ecological conditions in the saline ecosystems where brine shrimp thrives are extreme, favoring the evolutionary development of one of the best osmoregulating systems known in the animal kingdom (Sorgeloss, 1980). Artemia can survive in highly saline environments including inland salt lakes, coastal lagoons and solar saltworks (Van Stappen, 2008) with salinity ranging from 35 to 350 g. $l^{-1}$ (Van Stappen, 2002; Eimanifar and Mohebbi, 2007; Asem et al., 2014 ). This striking physiological adaptation has brought to the conclusion that "the ionic composition of the waters inhabited by Artemia varies more than that of any other aquatic metazoan" (Cole and Brown, 1967). The common feature of all Artemia habitats is their high salinity. Salinity is a crucial abiotic factor limiting the geographical distribution of Artemia population across the world (Van Stappen, 2008). Other parameters such as temperature, light intensity, primary food production have an influence on the quantitative amount of Artemia population (Van Stappen, 2008). At high salinity level, predators and other food competitors are not found which could lead to develop Artemia in these extreme conditions (Camargo, 2002). In addition to salinity, the geographical distribution of Artemia populations depends on climatological conditions (Van Stappen, 2008).

So far, Artemia inhabits in the areas where yearly evaporation exceeds yearly precipitation (Vanhaecke et al., 1987). Artemia do not survive in the humid conditions but the occurrence of Artemia in the subhumid extreme southern part of Chile is quite evocative (Gajardo et al., 1999). There are several strains in America and Asia which are able to live in the extremely cold and warm conditions. In general, Artemia strains do not survive at temperatures below $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but some populations in Chile (Atacama and Torres del Paine) challenges this general rule (Van Stappen, 2008).

Brine shrimp cysts float across the column of water and finally accumulate along coastal line of seawater by wind (Tackaert and Sorgeloos, 1993). There apparently inert golden-brown or sometimes whitish-brown minute particles between 200 to $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter and each weighing between 2.8 to $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ are actually dormant dry cysts (Clegg and Conte, 1980).

The cyst distribute via hydrological connectivity, wind, migratory birds or even human activities (Eimanifar and Mohebbi, 2013, Muñoz et al., 2014). The dispersal of Artemia cysts leads to colonization of indigenous species in non-indigenous regions across the continents. The displacement and colonization of an exotic invasive species such as A. franciscana jeopardizes the local biodiversity of Artemia, leading to extinction of most autochthonous Artemia populations (Amat et al., 2007).

### 1.5. Distribution of Artemia

Two bisexual species are native to the New World, namely A. persimilis Piccinelli and Prosdocimi 1968 (Argentina and Chile) and A. franciscana Kellogg 1906 (North, Central and South America). Four bisexual species live in the Old World: A. salina Linnaeus 1758 (Mediterranean basin), A. urmiana Günther 1899 (Lake Urmia, Iran, and Crimean salt lakes; Abatzopoulos et al., 2009), A. tibetiana Abatzopoulos et al., 1998 (Tibetan plateau), and $A$. sinica Cai 1989 (China and Mongolia).

Abatzopoulos et al., (2002) suggested that obligate parthenogenetic Artemia populations show different ploidy levels and that they can not readily be considered as belonging to a single species, for example "A. parthenogenetica". They proposed using the general terms 'parthenogenetic populations' or 'parthenogenetic strains' as an alternative without taxonomic consequences. According to our experience, we would be even more cautious: in most cases we have only information of origin of samples and we are not sure whether animals were sexual or parthenogenetic. As a consequence, we have here introduced the term Eurasian Haplotype Complex (EHC) to describe a group of populations sharing the same basic haplotype. EHC includes documented parthenogenetic populations, but it needs to be established whether all EHC members are parthenogenetic.

EHC are widely distributed over Eurasia, extending from the Canary Islands to China, and they have been introduced into Australia (Maccari et al., 2013). EHC has even been found in Great Salt Lake in North America (probably introduced by humans) (Endebu et al., 2013). Geographical distribution of Artemia species is shown in Fig. 6.

The existence of sexual and EHC lineages reflects genetic flexibility of Artemia which shows exceptional adaptation ability to diverse ecological habitats. The distribution pattern of Artemia is uneven and mainly depends on ecological and physiological parameters (Van Stappen, 2008). So far, several studies have outlined the actual occurrence of Artemia in different geographical regions but extensive exploratory activities are required to update its distribution over the globe. The natural habitats of Artemia have seen climatological variations which could restrict its persistence in the environment. Some salt lands are dynamic, whereas others are unpredictable depending on seasonal variations (Gajardo and Beardmore, 2012). Salinity is a crucial abiotic factor which has a fundamental role in geographical distribution of Artemia lineages (Van Stappen, 2008). Some places are thalassohaline but some of them are athalassohaline (Gajardo and Beardmore, 2012). Artemia species has been distributed in the New vs the Old World which reveals an asymmetric pattern (Van Stappen, 2008).

### 1.5.1. New World Artemia

### 1.5.1.1. North and South America

There are two species which can be found in Americas: A. franciscana and A. persimilis. The former species is called 'superspecies', a 'case of evolution in progress' or a
'cluster of incipient species or species in statu nascendl'. This species has been broadly distributed through its natural habitats in the Americas and particularly in non-indigenous regions across Eurasia and Australia (Amat et al., 2005, 2007; Muñoz et al., 2014). A. franciscana has distinctive features including physiological performance, phenotypic plasticity and highly repetitive heterochromatin (Gajardo et al., 2001; Vikas et al., 2012). It has a particular intraspecific genetic diversity which makes it an excellent model for evolutionary biology studies (Gajardo et al., 1995; 2002). There are two commercial sources of $A$. franciscana in North Americas: Great Salt Lake (GSL) and San Francisco Bay (SFB). These regions have been used as the main source of live food in aquaculture industry (Sorgeloos et al., 2001). There is one population from Mono Lake USA which shows an ecological isolation with regards to other American populations named A. monica Verrill 1869 (Van Stappen, 2008). A. persimilis has a narrow geographical distribution and is restricted to the southern latitudes in South America, particularly Argentina and Chile. It has an exclusive chromosomal rearrangement $(2 n=44)$, which exhibits a very low chromocenter numbers with A. salina (Gajardo et al., 2001). The occurrence of A. persimilis in South America has raised zoogeographical questions about its natural distribution and adaptation behavior over this continent (Gajardo et al., 1998; 2001; 2004). The phylogenetic position of A. persimilis needs to be taken into account since it has different positions with regard to different genetic markers (Gajardo and Beardmore, 2012).

### 1.5.1.2. Australia

Australia has a unique biodiversity due to its Gondwanan history exhibiting a high level of endemic taxa. Australia is the home of two halobiont anostraceans namely Artemia and Parartemia (Geddes and Williams, 1987). The genus Parartemia is composed of at least eight species with wide distribution on this continent (Geddes, 1981; Timms, 2006). Dispersal of Artemia has been suggested through migratory birds (Austral-Asian avian flyway) or human alteration by inoculating an exotic A. franciscana into coastal Western of Australia (Van Stappen, 2008). EHC lineages are dispersed into the inland salt lakes along South-western of Western Australia (Bunn and Edward, 1984). Recent reviews reveal that A. franciscana may have been introduced from Great Salt Lake or San Francisco Bay into coastal Queensland regions such as Port Alma and Rockhampton (Clark and Bowen, 1976; Timms, 2006; Van Stappen, 2002; McMaster et al., 2007). Numerous literature indicates an expansion of $A$. franciscana into North-western and South-western coastal regions of Western Australia (Timms, 2006). More systematic analyses are required to characterize Artemia species composition on this continent.

### 1.5.1.3. Europe and Africa

A. salina Linnaeus 1758 is the only bisexual species distributed over the Mediterranean basin including North Africa (Van Stappen, 2008; Triantaphyllidis et al., 1997b). There was a
large hiatus concerning to species distribution in North and South Africa but this issue has been updated in a systematic study carried out by Baxevanis et al., (2014). EHC lineages are found in Eastern Europe and Mediterranean basin, coexisting with bisexual counterparts (Amat et al., 1995). A dramatic increase of salinity and habitat subdivision has led to migration of EHC to Mediterranean basin (Abreu-Grobois, 1987). It is believed that the Atlantic coast of Africa and East African rift valley are responsible for dispersing of Artemia cysts via migratory birds (Kaiser et al., 2006). In a recent study, the colonization and establishment of an exotic American species A. franciscana is observed in some localities across Europe. The existence of American species over Europe is mainly due to anthropogenic activities (Muñoz et al., 2014). The dispersal of $A$. urmiana from Urmia Lake (Iran) into the Koyashskoe Lake (Crimean Peninsula, Ukraine) has been reported so far (Abatzopoulos et al., 2009).


Fig. 6. Distribution map of Artemia species. Each species marked with different colours.

### 1.5.1.4. Asia

Biodiversity of Artemia populations throughout America and Europe has been well documented, whereas knowledge of Asian Artemia and its distribution is less known (Muñoz et al., 2008, 2010, 2013; Maniatsi et al., 2009). Asia (Central and Eastern), a vast region with a pronounced topographical and climatological diversity harbors diverse Artemia species (Van Stappen, 2008). Three bisexual species (A. urmiana Günther 1899; A. tibetiana Abatzopoulos et al., 1998; A. sinica Cai 1989) and numerous EHC lineages inhabit in Asia. The geographic distribution and coexisting of EHC with sexual species has been a subject for evolutionary biologist (Van Stappen, 2008). Urmia Lake contains A. urmiana as a bisexual species and multiple EHC lineages which have coexisted with sexual counterpart around the lake (Agh et al., 2007; Asem et al., 2014). A. tibetiana from Lagkor Co (Tibet) is a newly characterized species found in Eastern Asia, which has a marked morphological diversity compared to other related Asian species (Abatzopoulos et al., 1998). Phylogenetic relationships of A. tibetiana with other native Asian lineages have opened a new window in Artemia biology (Van Stappen, 2008).

### 1.6. Importance of Artemia

Artemia has been widely used as a major live feed in shrimp hatcheries and commercial larviculture owing to its high quality of nutritional value (Sorgeloos et al., 1986). Artemia larvae have valuable elements and essential enzymes for predatory fish. It has a worldwide marketing and is exported as vacuum dried cysts into developing nations across Eurasia (Gajardo and Beardmore, 2012). Artemia harbors several striking biological features including: a) peculiar feeding ability (non-selective filter feeding) which offers a potential vector in delivering substances through bio-encapsulation technique (Sorgeloos et al., 2001), b) primitive easy culturing with various types of feed sources under axenic and gnotobiotic conditions (Verschuere et al., 1999), c) short generation times (maximum 2 weeks) (Van Stappen, 1996), d) abundance in vast geographical regions (Bossier et al., 2004), e) two mode of reproductions (sexual/asexual), f) large or small scale of production for aquaculture purposes (Abatzopoulos et al., 2002) and g) widest adaptability to ecological conditions and long-term survival by producing cysts (Gajardo and Beardmore, 2012).

### 1.7. Genetics

### 1.7.1. Mitochondrial genome organization of Artemia

The complete mitochondrial genome of North American species A. franciscana has been sequenced. It has 15,822 base pairs (bp) in total length and $35.5 \% \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}$ content $(\mathrm{A}=$ $4,899,30.96 \% ; \mathrm{T}=5,297,33.48 \% ; \mathrm{G}=2,798,17.69 \% ; \mathrm{C}=2,828,17.87 \%)$. The percentage of $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T}$ content in Artemia mtDNA ( $64.5 \%$ ) is lower in than other arthropods ranging 75.3 \% (Locusta), 84.8\% (Apis) and much more similar to Daphnia (62.3\%). Mitochondrial genome size in Artemia is similar to Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (15,650 bp), Paracentrotus lividus
(15,697 bp), Drosophila yakuba (16,019 bp), Apis mellifera (16.343 bp), larger than in Caenorhabditis elegans ( $13,794 \mathrm{bp}$ ), and Ascaris suum ( $14,284 \mathrm{bp}$ ) and to somewhat similar to Daphnia (15,333 bp) (Valverde et al., 1994). The mitochondrial genome organization of nine arthropods is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Mitochondrial genome organization of nine arthropods (Sources: Crease, 1999).

## ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Protein coding genes

${ }^{\text {b }}$ Values are approximate as exact 5 ' aand 3 ' ends have not been mapped in most taxa.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Mean of the two control regions

| Taxon | Total length (bp) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T} \%) \end{aligned}$ | No. of codons | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PCG}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & (\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{T} \%) \end{aligned}$ | $1 \mathrm{rRNA}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | srRNA ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Control region |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Length | ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T} \%$ ) | Len | ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T} \%$ ) | Lengt | ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T} \%$ ) |
| Artemia | 15822 | 64.5 | 3521 | 63.9 | 1153 | 64.0 | 712 | 61.4 | 1770 | 68.0 |
| Daphnia | 15333 | 62.3 | 3681 | 60.4 | 1314 | 68.3 | 753 | 67.2 | 689 | 67.1 |
| Drosophila | 16019 | 78.6 | 3728 | 76.7 | 1326 | 83.4 | 789 | 79.3 | 1077 | 92.9 |
| Apis | 16343 | 84.9 | 3676 | 83.2 | 1371 | 85.3 | 786 | 81.4 | 827 | 96.0 |
| Locusta | 15722 | 75.3 | 3714 | 74.1 | 1314 | 78.9 | 827 | 76.0 | 875 | 86.0 |
| Ixodes | 14539 | 72.6 | 3599 | 71.0 | 1229 | 76.9 | 712 | 78.7 | 359 | 71.9 |
| Rhipicephalus | 14710 | 77.9 | 3592 | 77.9 | 1190 | 81.4 | 693 | 79.1 | 263 | 64.1 |
| Anopheles gambiae | 15363 | 77.6 | 3734 | 75.9 | 1325 | 82.5 | 800 | 79.6 | 519 | 94.2 |
| Anopheles quadrimaculatus | 15455 | 77.4 | 3729 | 75.4 | 1321 | 82.2 | 794 | 80.5 | 625 | 93.5 |

Artemia encodes a total of 37 genes which are found in other vertebrate and invertebrate mitochondrial genomes. Artemia mtDNA includes two ribosomal RNAs (12S and 16S), 22 tRNAs, three subunits of cytochrome c oxidase (CO I, II and III), two subunits of the H+ATP synthase (ATPase 6 and ATPase 8), the cytochrome b (Cyt b), and seven subunits of the NADH dehydrogenase (ND 1 to 6 and 4 L ). The graphical mtDNA map of $A$. franciscana is depicted in Fig. 7. The position and orientation of ribosomal RNAs and tRNA genes in Drosophila is much more similar to Artemia, but some exceptions still exist. The tRNA ${ }^{Q}$ and tRNA ' genes are located in a different position.

### 1.7.2. Genetic code and codon usage in Artemia mtDNA genome

In Artemia, ATA specifies methionine instead of isoleucine, AGA and AGG specifies serine instead of arginine and TGA specifies tryptophan instead of termination code. This condition has been conserved in other arthropods such as Drosophila (Clary and Wolstenholme, 1985), Apis mellifera (Crozier and Crozier, 1993) and Locusta migratoria (McCracken et al., 1987). Artemia has a high content of $A+T$ in codon usage. The most frequent codons are TTT and ATT. In Artemia, ATN and GTG are initiation codons whereas; TAA and TAG are termination codons.


Fig. 7. A map of Artemia franciscana mitochondrial genome. Protein coding genes and two subunits of Ribosomal RNA genes are shown by rectangles followed by non-coding region by a wide black bar. Twenty-two tRNA genes are shown by grey-colored boxes denoted by corresponding amino acids by the one-letter code. The numbers indicates the nucleotides separating the different genes. The negative numbers indicate overlapping nucleotides between adjacent genes. Protein boxes above the line are encoded in the heavy strand of mitochondria. Protein boxes below the line are encoded in the light strand of mitochondria (Source: Valverde et al., 1994).

### 1.7.3. Molecular phylogeny

Particular attention has been focused in determining evolutionary relationships between organisms. A rapid advancement in DNA sequence technology has led researchers to employ more advanced techniques in the field of molecular phylogenetics. The main objective of phylogeny reconstruction is to define genealogical relationships among biological entities. In fact, it is an area of molecular evolution focusing on evolutionary history, divergence times and patterns from a common ancestor (Graur and Li, 2000). Evolutionary relationships among organisms are illustrated by constructing a phylogenetic tree. A tree is a graphical representation of evolutionary history of a group of organisms which consisted of nodes and branches. Branches are connected by adjacent nodes and each node represents a single taxonomic unit which characterized by species, populations or individuals (Graur and Li , 2000). There are three distinct patterns which could be observed in a phylogenetic tree including monophyly, paraphyly and polyphyly (Hennig, 1966). Monophyletic and paraphyletic have an independent single evolutionary origin but polyphyletic groups harbor a multiple
origin(s) depending on marker gene (Kitching et al., 1998). In the polyphyletic group, the evolutionary characters existed in the genome are absent in the most recent common ancestor (Kitching et al., 1998). Multiple alignments of homologous genes are input file to construct a phylogeny tree. Homologous genes have been evolved from a common ancestor whereas; paralogous genes have been diverged from a common ancestor by a duplication event (Nei and Kumar, 2000).

### 1.7.4. Phylogeography

Phylogeography is a new sub-discipline of combined biogeography, population genetics and phylogenetic analyses. It describes the processes related to geographical distribution of organisms particularly at the intraspecific level. It provides information about distribution of species with regard to historical aspects (Avise et al., 1987; 2000). The main aspect underlined in studies of phylogeography is the explanation of genetic variation within and between populations at different spatial scales (Avise, 2000). Phylogeography has several applications such as identification of cryptic species which has an indistinguishable morphological feature. It identifies geographical origins of species and in fact it is the fundamental basis for population genetic studies (Avise et al., 1987; Palumbi, 1996).

In phylogeography, there are two important mechanisms which have influence on the geographical distribution of organisms named vicariance and dispersal.

Vicariance happens by interrupting of geographical barriers to widespread the biotic distribution of populations. Through it, the most widespread distribution of organisms would lead to differentiate to multiple distinct populations (Sanmartin et al., 2007). Some organisms do have capability to transverse the physical barriers which means physical isolation could not cause to biotic isolation of organisms (Mathias et al., 2001). Vicariance would result in to divide populations; whereas dispersal allows isolated populations to interact with other adjacent geographically disjunct populations (Mathias et al., 2001).

Dispersal is occurred at a variety of life history stages which affect population structure of majority of organisms (McDowall, 2004, Sanmartin et al., 2007). Dispersal could be happened either active or passive (Bohonak and Jenkins, 2003). Active dispersal needs a substantial amount of energy for movement but passive dispersal is assisted by vectors (Bohonak and Jenkins, 2003). Meteorological effects such as ocean currents and winds are important vectors facilitating dispersal (Sanmartin et al., 2007). Ecological conditions, presence/absence of predators and competitors could inhibit or promote dispersal activity of organisms (Stephan, 2004). Vicariance and dispersal reflects the historical events of geographical range of population of species. In order to understand the population distribution patterns, we need to measure their effects in natural environmental condition (Bohonak, 1999).

Vicariance events occur at very large temporal scales and generally are measured in combination of geomorphological studies (Sanmartin et al., 2007). By combining the
biogeography and population genetic studies, we are able to infer the dispersal scale of natural populations.

### 1.7.5. Population genetics

Population genetics defines spatial structure of genetic variation between and within populations. It provides useful information at multiple population level. It includes information on the amount of gene flow, divergence times and pattern, biogeographical structure and degree of relatedness among individual of population (Bohonak and Jenkins, 2003; Feral, 2002; Palumbi, 1996, 1997; Scribner et al., 1994; Slatkin, 1987). Genetic structure studies could provide a powerful tool for understanding contemporary and historical processes among population over the evolutionary times (Bay et al., 2004; Slatkin, 1987). At population level studies, it is important to evaluate the concordance of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of expected populations in which random mating with no migration are occurred. There are several ways to calculate populations structure, in which $F$-statistic is the most widely index measuring genetic variation between sub-populations (Wright, 1951). $\mathrm{F}_{S T}$ value is an optimum index which measured based on $\mathrm{F}_{S T}=(\mathrm{Ht}-\mathrm{Hs}) / \mathrm{Ht} . \mathrm{Ht}=$ heterozygosity of total population, $\mathrm{Hs}=$ average heterozygosity (Wright, 1951). Gene flow (Nm) is the average number of migrants transferring between populations over the generations. It is quantified based on $N m=\left(1-\mathrm{F}_{S T}\right) / 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ST }}$. Although gene flow has been understood the most recognized model so far, but it has some unrealistic assumptions (Whitlock and McCauley, 1999). The results obtained by $\mathrm{F}_{S T}$ calculations are compared to other estimates such as Nei's standard genetic distance and $\mathrm{R}_{S T}$ produced by microsatellite data (Slatkin, 1995).

There has been a long debate among different authors concerning $F_{S T}$ or $R_{S T}$ values which could reveal the desired estimates of gene flow (Gaggiotti et al., 1999, Balloux and Goudet, 2002). In theory, there is no difference between $\mathrm{F}_{S T}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{S T}$ since both of them estimates the similar assumptions, but in the more existing studies, $\mathrm{F}_{S_{T}}$ is generally used for population genetic differentiation index. The sampling strategies could have a great influence on sub-populations analyses. Individuals from several sub-populations would lead to underestimate the degree of genetic structure between populations (Balloux and Lugon-Moulin, 2002).

### 1.7.6. Molecular clock

The number of polymorphic positions between sequences is not always useful since they could have emerged in recent or past evolutionary times. It would be very useful to determine the time when those differences are appeared. The idea that there is a linear correlation of evolutionary rate was first suggested by Zuckerland and Pauling in 1962 by comparing a protein sequence from different species. Later, they explained that the observed variance could arise from stochasticity of the process (Zuckerkandl and Pauling, 1965).

By applying this theory to DNA sequences, the observed variance across species is better explained by using per generation rather than per year time estimate (Laird et al., 1969). The number of discrepancies in molecular clock between or inside a taxonomic group is found and this led to relaxing conditions of the molecular clock. According to the suggested data, different species experienced different evolutionary pressure; some were evolving faster than others. By changing the rates over time, the use of molecular clock in the most evolutionary biology is needed (Kumar, 2005).

### 1.7.6.1. Molecular clock models

Several methods exist for estimating divergence times among lineages which all assume that the rate of amino acids or nucleotide substitution is approximately constant over evolutionary times (Zuckerkandl and Pauling, 1962, 1965). It is biologically unexpected to accept the identical evolutionary rates since this issue depends on different factors including natural selection, population size, generation time and mutation pattern. The "strict molecular clock" provides unreliable information about divergence times and phylogenetic inferences. The "relaxed molecular clock" models assume that each branch experienced an independent heterogeneous rate of molecular evolution. Under these models, lineages clustered in particular clades have the same evolutionary rates, because the most closely related lineages are evolved at similar evolutionary rates. The relaxed molecular clock models are explained as "autocorrelated" and basically includes the lognormal (Kishino et al., 2001) and the "CIR" processes (Lepage et al., 2007). Other researchers have refused the concept of identical evolutionary rates and they suggested applying independent rates for each lineage. The latter description is known as "uncorrelated" which includes gamma models (Drummond et al., 2006).

### 1.7.6.2. Molecular clock calibration

Estimation of divergence times within and among species would require the correct calibration points in a given phylogenetic tree. There are three approaches in order to calibrate the age of most common ancestor in a phylogenetic tree including fossils and biogeographic events (Bromham and Penny, 2003). Based on relaxed clock methods, multiple calibration points are used in the tree since they predict the accurate variation rate. This condition would share a divergence time for whole tree (Benton and Donoghue, 2007).

Some problems rise when using fossil data as calibration points. The fossil evidence contains many gaps and is largely incomplete. The fossil record usually does not give the actual evolutionary age for lineages, but defined minimum and maximum constraints may be used for the calibration of molecular clocks (Benton and Donoghue, 2007). The minimum constraints are hard bounds and include the oldest fossils belonging to a crown clade. The oldest fossil has always younger evolutionary age than the origin of the clade. The maximum constraints are soft bounds that may be represented by probability distributions that reflect the distribution of fossilliferous rocks around the same time (Benton et al., 2009).

According to Benton and Donoghue, (2007) "an older fossil deposit that ought to contain fossils of the clade in question, but does not, can mark an ultimate maximum bound". The fossil data could have a great influence on the accuracy of the age of phylogenetic tree which actually needs a careful attention to use it.

Calibration dates could be based on biogeographical data, but the phylogenetic event must be associated with a major biogeographical event. The problem of the use of biogeographical data is the uncertainty of major events over time. Determining the correct calibration points for estimation divergence times require a specific evaluation of a geographical event in the context of the biology of the species.

### 1.7.7. Inter Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR-PCR) genomic fingerprinting

During the last decades, several PCR-based techniques have been developed in the field of molecular marker technologies. Microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (SSR) are short and tandemly repeatable sequences which vary between one to six bases. SSR loci represent a powerful nuclear marker which is hypervariable and found more abundant in the entire genome (Liu and Wendel, 2001). Development and characterization of SSRs is considered to be time-consuming and expensive method in many experimental models. A modified method of SSR-based marker, ISSR-PCR (inter simple sequence repeat) was described by Zietkiewics et al., (1994) which has had a wide applicability in numerous studies. ISSR is a non-expensive method which generates highly reproducible and sufficient amount of polymorphisms in many systems. It works using single primer extended from 2 to 4 sequences, which in fact does not need any prior knowledge of DNA sequence information (Wang et al., 2005). This technique has been applied to understand molecular taxonomy, hybridization, sex determination, inter and intraspecific differentiation and phylogenetic reconstruction in a wide range of organisms (Wink et al., 1998, 2001; Eimanifar and Wink, 2013; Hundsdörfer et al., 2005). The amplified products are visualized by several approaches including agarose gel electrophoresis labelled with ethidium bromide, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) stained with silver or radioactive (Wink et al., 1998). ISSRs are dominant markers which are assessed by the construction of a binary matrix defined by presence or absence bands (Ratnaparkhe et al., 1998). They have a relatively even distribution of microsatellites and are more informative than RAPD markers (Ratnaparkhe et al., 1998).

### 1.8. Aims and Scope

The present study attempts to unravel the phylogeographic structure and biogeographic history of Artemia lineages across its wide distribution in Eurasia, Africa and America. Through understanding the phylogenetic reconstruction of EHC lineages and their relationship with sexual species, this project aims to reveals the following objectives based on information generated by mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequence variation and ISSR genomic fingerprinting methods.

1) Distribution pattern and biogeographic structure of Asian Artemia lineages.
2) Evolutionary history and estimation of divergence times of sexual and EHC lineages in Asia.
3) Intra and interspecific genetic variation of $A$. urmiana Günther, 1899 from Urmia Lake, Iran.
4) Colonization and establishment of an exotic American species A. franciscana Kellogg, 1906 in Asia.
5) Population genetic structure of $A$. franciscana Kellogg, 1906 from Great Salt Lake, USA.

## 2

Materials and methods

### 2.1. Sampling strategy

Artemia specimens have been collected as dried cysts by various scientists. All samples were subsequently transferred and vouchered according to the instruction in Institute of Pharmacy and Molecular Biotechnology (IPMB), Heidelberg University.

In total, samples were obtained from 102 geographical localities consisting of 600 individual cysts of Artemia across Eurasia, Africa and America. Samples information regarding IPMB vouchers numbers, country of origin, geographical coordinates, and GenBank accession numbers is given in the separated chapters.

### 2.2. Equipments

Lists of instruments and consumables used for laboratory analyses are depicted in Tables 2, 3, 4.

Table 2 Analytical instruments used in the present study.

| Instruments | Company |
| :--- | :--- |
| Automated sequencer: ABI 310, ABI 3100 | Applied Biosystems |
| Electrophoresis microcomputer power supply E452 | Fröbel |
| Gel chambers for agarose gel | University Heidelberg |
| Gel dryer | Fröbel |
| Laboratory scale | Sartorius |
| Microcentrifuge-Biofuge 13R | Haereus |
| Microcentrifuge: Biofuge Fresco | Haereus |
| Fluorescence microscope BZ-9000E | Keyence, BioLabs |
| PCR machines: TRIO-Thermoblock and T Gradient | Biometra |
| PH meter; Pipetman P2, P20, P100, P1000 | Gilson |
| UV-transiluminator II-200-M [312nm] | Bachofer |
| Vortex: Reax 2000 | Heidolf |
| Incubator | Haereus |


| Photometer DU 640 | Beckman |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vertical gel rig for PA gels: Base-Ace Sequencer | Stratagene |

### 2.3. Solutions and chemicals

Table 3 Chemicals, enzymes and solutions used in the present study.

| Chemicals, Enzymes and other Materials | Company |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agarose | HYBAID-AGS |
| Acrylamide, Long RangerTM | FMC/Biozym |
| Amonium sulfate | Gerbu |
| Amonium acetate | Merck |
| Big Dye Terminator kit | Applied Biosystems |
| Bovine serum albumin | Sigma |
| Ethanol | Rerck and J.T Becker |
| EDTA | Serva |
| Ethidium bromide | Serva |
| Bromophenol blue | Roth |
| Guanidine thiocyanate | Merck |
| Isopropanol | Sigma |
| B-Mercaptoethanol | Merck |
| Nucleotides | Bio-RAD |
| Proteinase K | Eppendorf |
| Chelex@-100 Resin (100 - 200 mesh) | Sigma |
| Reaction tubes (0.2, $0.5,1.5,2$ ml) | Applichem |
| REDTaq ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA polymerase | Sorker, LAS, GmbH |
| Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) | 33 P-a-ATP (3000 Ci/mmol) |
| Silane | Rer\| |


| TEMED (N,N,N,N-Tetramethylendiamine) | Roth |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sodium acetate | Merck |
| Sterile filter, $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | Sartorius |
| Taq DNA polymerase | Sigma |
| Tris-HCL | Roth |
| NaoCL (13\%) | Güssing GmbH |
| Merk water | Merk |
| Mineral Oil | Sigma |

Table 4 Buffers and solutions used in the present study.

| Stock Solutions | Components |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agarose gel solution | 1.3\% agarose, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ethidium bromide in water |
| Ammonium acetate | 4 M ammonium acetate in water |
| Ammonium persulfate | 10\% solution in water |
| EDTA buffer | 10\% EDTA, $0.5 \%$ NaF, $0.5 \%$ thymol, 1\% Tris (pH 7.5) |
| Guanidine thiocyanate buffer | 4 M guanidine thiocyanate, 0.1 M Tris-HCL, $1 \%$ B-mercapto-ethanol pH 5 |
| $\lambda$-PST I size standard | DNA cut with PST I restriction enzyme |
| Lysis buffer | 25 mM EDTA, 75 mM Nacl, 10 mM Tris-HCL, pH 7.0 |
| Nucleotide mix | 2.5 mM dATP , 2.5 mM dCTP , 2.5 mM dGTP , 2.5 dTTP |
| PCR buffer (10X) | 100 mM Tris, 500 mM KCL, $5 \%$ Triton X-100, 15 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, hydrochloric acid (pH 8.5) |
| SDS solution | 20\% solution in water |
| Sodium acetate solution | 3 M sodium acetate, acetic acid ( pH 4.6 ) |
| PA gel solution | 362.5 g urea, 110 ml Long Ranger, 150 ml TBE buffer (10X), water to 1 L |
| TAE buffer | 40 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, acetic acid (pH 8.0) |
| TE buffer | 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, hydrochloric acid (pH 8.0) |

### 2.4. DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapters 3-1, 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4.

### 2.5. Sequence alignment

Detailed description of the method is available in chapters 3-1, 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4.

### 2.6. Phylogenetic analyses

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapters 3-1, 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4.

### 2.7. Molecular dating analysis

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-1.

### 2.7.2. Bayesian analysis and divergence time estimation using BEAST

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-1.

### 2.8. Demographic history

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-1.

### 2.9. Genomic fingerprinting, inter-simple-sequence-repeats (ISSR)

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapters 3-2 and 3-4.

### 2.10. ISSR statistics

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapters 3-2 and 3-4.

### 2.11. Biometry of Artemia cysts

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-4.
2.11.1. Sample preparation

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-4.

### 2.11.2. Artemia cyst hydration

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-4.

### 2.11.3. Cyst measurements

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-4.

### 2.11.4. Diameter of decapsulated cysts

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-4.

### 2.11.5. Chorion thickness

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-4.

### 2.11.6. Statistical analysis

Detailed description of the methods is available in chapter 3-4.

### 3.1 Biogeographical structure and evolutionary divergence times among Asian brine shrimps Artemia (Crustacea, Anostraca)

### 3.1.1. Abstract

We examined the biogeographic structure, evolutionary age and historical demography of the Asian Artemia lineages (A. urmiana, A. sinica, A. tibetiana and Eurasian haplotype complex, EHC) from 39 geographical localities using nucleotide sequences of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene. Asian Artemia cluster into four distinctive clades with a high nodal support consisting of 69 unique haplotypes. A. sinica and $A$. tibetiana have restricted geographical distribution whereas EHC lineages and especially $A$. urmiana show wider ranges. A star-like haplotype pattern was visible in EHC lineages which were genetically close to two sexual species, $A$. urmiana and $A$. tibetiana. The Bayesian approach of molecular clock indicated that $A$. sinica diverged already in the late Miocene (19.99 mya) whereas A. urmiana, A. tibetiana and EHC shared a common ancestor in the late Pliocene ( 5.41 mya). Both mismatch distribution and neutrality tests indicated a recent population expansion in A. urmiana and EHC lineages. The diversification within A. urmiana and EHC lineages occurred in the Pleistocene (1.72 mya) and Holocene ( 0.84 mya).
Keywords: Biogeographic structure, evolutionary age, Asian Artemia, mtDNA-COI marker

### 3.1.2. Introduction

Brine shrimps Artemia (Crustacea, Anostraca) are cosmopolitan extremophile microcrustaceans which are distributed in over 500 geographically isolated areas across the world except Antarctica (Abatzopoulos et al., 2002). Artemia is the most accomplished survivor in hypersaline environments with the two mode of reproduction including sexual and asexual (parthenogenetic) reproductions (Gajardo and Beardmore, 2012).

Three sexual species are native in Asia: A. urmiana Günther, 1899 (Lake Urmia, Ukraine), A. tibetiana Abatzopoulos et al., 1998 (Tibetan plateau) and A. sinica Cai, 1989 (China and Mongolia). Abatzopoulos et al., (2002) suggested that obligate parthenogenetic Artemia populations show different levels of ploidy and that they cannot readily be considered as belonging to a single species, for example $A$. parthenogenetica which had been introduced by Muñoz et al., (2010). Abatzopoulos et al., (2002) proposed using the general terms 'parthenogenetic populations' or 'parthenogenetic strains' as an alternative without taxonomic consequences. According to our experience, we would be even more cautious: in most cases we have only information on the origin of samples and not whether animals were sexual or parthenogenetic. As a consequence, we have introduced the term Eurasian Haplotype Complex (EHC) to describe a group of populations sharing the same basic haplotypes. EHC
includes documented parthenogenetic populations, but it needs to be established whether all EHC members are parthenogenetic. EHC lineages are widely distributed over Eurasia, extending from Canary Islands to China (Muñoz et al., 2010; Maccari et al., 2013). EHC has even been found in Great Salt Lake in North America (probably introduced by humans) (Endebu et al., 2013).

Evolutionary history of some European, African and Asian EHC lineages have been investigated based on nucleotide sequences from mtDNA and ncDNA by Baxevanis et al. (2006), Muñoz et al. (2010) and Maccari et al. (2013). Some Eurasian EHC lineages were generated through hybridization between closely related Asian species and additionally through a contagious parthenogenesis mechanism by occurrence of rare males within parthenogenetic EHC lineages (Xu et al., 2013; Maccari et al., 2013; 2014).

Divergence times of Asian Artemia lineages are widely unknown and therefore a more comprehensive phylogeny and evolutionary history of Asian lineages would be useful to understand the evolution and adaptation of brine shrimps. In order to explore divergence times of Asian Artemia, a complete set of Artemia samples was collected from 39 geographical areas across Asia. In addition, all available GenBank sequences from CO were included in the present study.

The purpose of the present study is twofold. Firstly, we expanded the phylogeographical studies of Muñoz et al. (2010) and Maccari et al. (2013) to include newly unexplored localities throughout the Asia. We used the mitochondrial COI gene (which is mostly employed by most authors working on brine shrimps) to further evaluate the phylogeography of Asian lineages. Secondly, evolutionary age and divergence times of all Asian Artemia were estimated based on a maximum parsimony molecular clock approach.

### 3.1.3. Materials and methods

## Sampling collection and DNA extraction

We obtained 243 Artemia specimens collected from 39 geographical localities throughout Asia. Our sampling strategy covers most distribution areas of Artemia from Asia. A full list of Artemia samples, IPMB voucher numbers, species status and GPS coordinates of all localities are shown in Table 5. Additional sequences from Genbank were included to our dataset as shown in Table 6. Total genomic DNA was isolated from individual cyst of Artemia using a Chelex-based method, followed by proteinase K digestion at $56{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two hours (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). Extracted DNA was stored $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and used further for genetic analysis.

## PCR amplification and sequencing

A fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) was amplified and sequenced. PCR was carried out in a total volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reactions containing Taq DNA polymerase (Bioron, GmbH, Germany) as described before (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). The
purified PCR products were directly sequenced in two reactions with the same primer used in PCR amplification as described in Eimanifar and Wink, (2013).

All sequences were aligned automatically using Bioedit 7.1.3.0 (Hall, 1999). In order to make sure that base calls were true at all polymorphic positions, we double checked whole dataset against the original chromatogram. The aligned sequences were converted into amino acids using MEGA6 in order to find a possible signal of nuclear pseudogenes (Tamura et al., 2013). Additional 277 COI sequences were retrieved from GenBank and added to our dataset. In total, the whole dataset includes 520 COI sequences. The phylogenetic analyses were rooted using Daphnia tenebrosa (HQ972028) as outgroup.

## Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analyses were carried out using Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI). The best-fit nucleotide substitution model based on Akaike's information criterion (AIC) was used to construct ML and BI trees using jModelTest v. 0.1.1 (Posada 2008). The best fit model for all dataset was $\operatorname{TrN}+\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{G}$ with the following parameters $-\mathrm{InL}=2514.30$, $(A=0.24, C=0.23, G=0.18, T=0.33)$, nst $=6$, rate $s=$ gamma, shape $=1.64$, ncat $=4$, pinvar $=0.53$ ). A Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree was reconstructed using MEGA6 program with all proposed parameters (Tamura et al., 2013). In our dataset, the GTR model was used as a replacement for the suggested models because the suggested models were not implemented in MEGA package.

Genetic distances [p-distances and Kimura-2-parameter (K2P) nucleotide models] were calculated using MEGA6. Population genetic diversity parameters, including haplotype diversity (HD), nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ), number of polymorphic sites (V), number of mutations (M) were calculated for each species using DnaSP 5.0 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). We performed two neutrality tests of Tajima's $D$ (Tajima 1989) and Fu's Fs (Fu 1997) for each species which are based on allele frequency using Arlequin v. 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) with 10,000 bootstrap.

Interspecific phylogenies among COI haplotypes were reconstructed using medianjoining network analysis, based on parsimony criteria (Bandelt et al., 1999), implemented in the software NETWORK 4.6.1.0 (Forster et al., 2004). Median joining algorithm with default settings was used for construction network (weight $=10, \varepsilon=0$ ). We constructed a COI haplotype map based on two criteria 1) all individuals sequenced in IPMB (Institute of Pharmacy and Molecular Biotechnology) and 2) Additional sequences from GenBank.

Mismatch analysis was conducted for the COI dataset in order to test historical demographic trends as implemented in Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) with 10,000 bootstrap. Mismatch distributions (Rogers and Harpending, 1992) and the demographic parameters such as $\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}$ and $\tau$ were estimated for the mtDNA data set in Arlequin v. 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010). Goodness of fit was assessed by the sum of square deviations
(SSD) and the Harpending's raggedness index (Harpending, 1994) between the observed and the expected mismatch with their significance determined by a parametric bootstrap. The Harpending's raggedness index quantifies the smoothness of the observed pairwise difference distribution and a non-significant result indicates an expanding population (Harpending, 1994).

Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was performed to find out genetic variation among the complete COI dataset using Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) with 10,000 permutations. AMOVA were grouped based on species identified in the phylogenetic tree.

## Molecular dating analysis

## Calibrations

There are no fossil records in Artemia, and we therefore resorted to secondary calibration of our clock models. Divergence time was set at 145 mya, based on Daphnia O. F. Mueller (Crustacea, Cladocera), a fossil from Jurassic/Cretaceous (Kotov and Taylor, 2011). The age of the most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) of all major clades is provided as mean $\pm$ standard deviation.

## Bayesian analysis and divergence time estimation using BEAST

Bayesian tree reconstruction and divergence times of Asian Artemia lineages were determined using BEAST v 2.1.1.1 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007) under following parameters: nucleotide substitution model $=$ GTR with four rate categories, gamma heterogeneity among species, molecular clock model = an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed model, and tree reconstruction = Birth-Death model. XML files for all BEAST runs were created using BEAUTi v1.7.4 (Drummond et al., 2012). The analysis was run twice independently for 40 million generations, taking samples every 1,000 generations. Posterior probability distributions of parameters were obtained by MCMC sampling. All runs were then combined after a burn-in of $10 \%$ using LogCombiner v1.7.2. Tracer v1.5 was used to verify stationary distribution of acceptable mixing of the MCMC steps and ensure that each parameter had been appropriately sampled (i.e., effective sampling size >200). The maximum clade credibility tree using median heights was annotated using TreeAnnotator v1.7.2 and then inputted to FigTree v1.3.1 to visualize tree and divergence times of lineages.

### 3.1.4. Results

## Sequence characterization

A total of 520 mitochondrial CO sequences were analysed. The mitochondrial alignment consisted an average of 560 nucleotides, 102 sites were polymorphic and 85 sites were parsimony-informative. The maximum genetic distance was observed within A. tibetiana (2\%) and the lowest in A. sinica (0.5\%). Pairwise genetic distances among Artemia lineages are summarized in Table 7.

## Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships

COI haplotype diversity within sexual Asian species was higher in A. tibetiana and $A$. urmiana as compared to putative asexual EHC lineages. The amount of genetic diversity among EHC lineages was higher in Europe than Asia or Africa ( $0.71 \pm 0.05,0.55 \pm 0.03$ and $0.41 \pm 0.09)$. Statistics of sequence polymorphisms are detailed in Table 8 and the distribution of haplotypes for COI dataset within localities are shown in Tables 9, 10.

Phylogenetic trees generated by ML and BI from COI sequences had concordant topologies and found four distinct well-supported clades (Fig. 8) which correspond to the recognized Artemia species. A. sinica clusters at the base of all Asian taxa. However, some specimen which had been associated with EHC lineages in previous publications (Muñoz et al., 2010; Maniatsi et al., 2011; Maccari et al., 2013) cluster with A. urmiana.

A COI phylogeny network from IPMB sequences showed 45 distinct haplotypes which are connected together with a maximum number of 105 mutational steps (Fig. 9). EHC lineages consisted of two major haplotypes $(\mathrm{H} 3$ and H 1$)$ from which other haplotypes derive with frequencies between 7 to 5 . Numerous singleton haplotypes surrounded the major haplotypes. Haplotypes did delineate a genetic partition corresponding to species designation except H 19 and H 28 . The two haplotypes ( H 19 and H 28 ) came from Lake Urmia and had therefore been considered as A. urmiana but according to this analysis they belong to EHC. A. urmiana lineages consisted of two major haplotypes ( $\mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 15$ ) with multiple singleton haplotypes.

The COI haplotype network of the complete dataset showed a more complex architecture, comprising 69 different haplotypes, 118 mutational steps and 4 major haplotypes which were exclusive to major species of Artemia (Fig. 10). EHC revealed a typical star-like topology and a short genealogy. The central haplotype H3 was the most abundant one (44\%, 228 of 520), including individuals from Eurasia and Africa. Haplotype H52 consisted of individuals from Tibet which were considered as A. tibetiana before; according to this analysis they are part of EHC.
A. urmiana consists of a haplotype complex including two major haplotypes (H5 and H37) which are surrounded by several haplotypes with frequencies between 7 to 2. Haplotypes H2, H5, H7, H19, H46, H47, H48 and H50 correspond to individuals from Bulgaria, China, Greece, Ukraine, Tibet, Turkey and Turkmenistan indicating that $A$. urmiana has a much wider distribution than had previously been assumed.
A. sinica is represented by two major haplotypes ( H 30 and H 32 ) with a strong geographical structure in Asia. A. tibetiana showed one major haplotype (H21) and several other haplotypes which has a close genetic relationship with $A$. urmiana and EHC.

AMOVA analysis indicated that most of the genetic variation was partitioned between lineages ( $94 \%, \mathrm{P}<0.05$ ), whereas $6 \%$ of genetic variation was attributed within each species
of Artemia. The average genetic differentiation index (FST) for all lineages was calculated to be 0.94, respectively.

## Historical demography

Tajima's $D$ values and Fu's Fs estimates were negative and highly significant for $A$. urmiana and EHC (Asia), indicating the rejection of the null hypothesis of constant size (Table 8). Observed mismatch distributions for those species showed a sound match to the unimodal pattern reflecting a sudden expansion model. EHC lineages showed a clear matched pattern since their neutrality outcomes were negative and non-significant (Table 11; Fig. 11).

## Estimation of divergence times based on COI marker

In the COI tree rooted with Daphnia the divergence between $A$. sinica and other Asian species took place in the late Miocene c. 19.99 (9.37-36.69) mya ago. The split between $A$. urmiana and EHC clades happened in the Pleistocene c. 2.03 ( $0.75-3.54$ ) mya. The split between A. tibetiana and A. urmiana + EHC clades occurred in the late Pliocene c. 5.41 mya (2.19-9.99) (Table 12; Fig. 12). According to our calibration, diversification within A. urmiana and EHC lineages took place in the Pleistocene and Holocene (Table 13).

Table 5 Origin of Artemia samples from Asia. Samples are presented according to species designation and alphabetical order of country of origin. IPMB = Institute of Pharmacy and Molecular Biotechnology, Heidelberg University, Germany; ARC = Laboratory of Aquaculture \& Artemia Reference Center, Ghent University, Belgium.

| No. | IPMB voucher <br> /ARC <br> code number | Abbreviation for locality | Species |  | Locality, Province, State or District | Country | Geographic coordinates | GenBank accession numbers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | *A | B |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $64745 / 1206$ | XIE | S | S | Xiechi Lake, Shanxi | China | $111^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-35^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691269 - KF691277 |
| 2 | 66311 | YUN | S | S | Yuncheng, Shanxi | China | $110^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-34^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691298 - KF691302 |
| 3 | 65829 /1524 | JIN | T | T | Jingyu Lake, Xinjiang | China | $89^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-36^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691215 - KF691218 |
| 4 | 57250 | TIB1 | T | T | Tibet area | China | $30^{\circ} 46{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-85^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691245 - KF691249 |
| 5 | 57248 | TIB2 | T | T | Tibet area | China | $31^{\circ} 37{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 88${ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691316 - KF691318 |
| 6 | 57211 | URM | U | U | Urmia Lake | Iran | 45⒉2'E-3735'N | JX512748 - JX512808 |
| 7 | $55582 / 1317$ | BAM | EHC | EHC | Bameng, Inner Mongolia | China | $40^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-107^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691148 - KF691153 |
| 8 | 64756 /1233 | CAN | EHC | EHC | Canghzhou, Hebei | China | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-117^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691166 - KF691169 |
| 9 | $64767 / 1210$ | CHE | EHC | EHC | Chengkou, Shandong | China | 117 ${ }^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691170 - KF691172 |
| 10 | $64762 / 1216$ | DON | EHC | EHC | Dongjiagou, Liaoning | China | 1210 $53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-39^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691187 - KF691189 |
| 11 | 64744 /1199 | GAH | EHC | EHC | Gahai, Qinghai | China | 97³7'E-370 ${ }^{\prime}$ 'N | KF691199 - KF691204 |
| 12 | $65627 / 1211$ | HAN | EHC | EHC | Hangu, Tianjin | China | $117^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-39^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691208 - KF691214 |
| 13 | 64742/1077 | SHA | EHC | EHC | Shanyao, Fuijan | China | $118^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-25^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691233 - KF691235 |


| 14 | 64764 /1073 | YIN | EHC | EHC | Yingkou, Liaoning | China | $122^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-40^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691287 - KF691290 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 57227 | INC | EHC | EHC | Incheh Lake, Gonbad, Golestan | Iran | $37^{\circ} 24{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-54{ }^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691333 - KF691337 |
| 16 | 57223 | LAGW | EHC | EHC | Lagoons around Urmia Lake, West Azerbaijan | Iran |  | KF691338-KF691342 |
| 17 | 57224 | LAGE | EHC | EHC | Lagoons around Urmia Lake, Dasht-E-Tabriz, East Azerbaijan | Iran | 37ํํ7'N - 45²5'E | KF691343-KF691345 |
| 18 | 57226 | MIG | EHC | EHC | Mighan Salt Lake, Arak | Iran | 34응'N - 49응'E | KF691357 - KF691361 |
| 19 | 57225 | QOM | EHC | EHC | Qom Salt Lake, Qom | Iran | $34{ }^{\circ} 40{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-51^{\circ} 52{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691367 - KF691372 |
| 20 | 57255 | ABG | EHC | EHC | Abu-Ghraib, Baghdad | Iraq | 44030'E-33²0'N | KF691373 - KF691375 |
| 21 | 57232 | ARS | EHC | EHC | Aral Sea | Kazakhstan |  | KF691391 - KF691397 |
| 22 | 57233 | ASS | EHC | EHC | Aral Sea (South) | Kazakhstan | $44^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 59은'E | KF691398 - KF691403 |
| 23 | 57235 | KYZ | EHC | EHC | Kyzylkak | Kazakhstan | $53^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-73^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691404 - KF691408 |
| 24 | 57234 | NCS | EHC | EHC | North Caspian sea | Kazakhstan | $47^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-51^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691409 - KF691414 |
| 25 | 57236 | PAV | EHC | EHC | Pavlodar | Kazakhstan | $52^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 76으'E | KF691415 - KF691420 |
| 26 | 57231 | TUZ | EHC | EHC | Tuz Lake, Pavlodar | Kazakhstan | 51ํ19'N - 78으'E | KF691421 - KF691434 |
| 27 | $57325 / 1720$ | BYA | EHC | EHC | Bolshoye Yarovoye, Altayskiy | Russia | 52ํ50'N - 78은'E | KF691455 - KF691459 |
| 28 | $55586 / 1702$ | EBE | EHC | EHC | Ebeyty, Omskaya | Russia |  | KF691460 - KF691466 |
| 29 | $55581 / 1641$ | GOR | EHC | EHC | Gorkoye Lake | Russia | 55021'N - 68으'E | KF691467 - KF691471 |
| 30 | 64747 /1389 | KUC | EHC | EHC | Kuchukskoye, Altayskiy | Russia | $52^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-79^{\circ} 46{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691472 - KF691474 |
| 31 | 55579 /1528 | KUL | EHC | EHC | Kulundinskoye, Altayskiy | Russia | $53^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 79응'E | KF691475 - KF691477 |
| 32 | $64750 / 1640$ | KUR | EHC | EHC | Kurgan area | Russia | 55-29'N - 64은'E | KF691478-KF691480 |


| 33 | 64752 /1705 | MME | EHC | EHC | Maloye Medvezhye (Kurganskaya) | Russia | $55^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-67^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691481 - KF691484 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | $55585 / 1735$ | MYA | EHC | EHC | Maloye Yarovoye (Altayskiy) | Russia | 534'N - 79ำ10'E | KF691485 - KF691491 |
| 35 | 64749 /1507 | MED | EHC | EHC | Medvezhye (Kurganskaya) | Russia | $66^{\circ} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-54^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691492-KF691494 |
| 36 | 64751 /1642 | VOS | EHC | EHC | Voskresenskoye (Kurganskaya) | Russia | $55^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-67^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691495 - KF691497 |
| 37 | 57292 /1512 | CAM | EHC | U | Çamalti Saltern, Izmir | Turkey | 26o3'E-38³9'N | KF691520 - KF691529 |
| 38 | $57258 / 1371$ | KBG | EHC | U | Kara Bogaz Gol | Turkmenistan | 53³3'E-41ำ17'N | KF691530 - KF691534 |
| 39 | $57252 / 1715$ | CAA | EHC | EHC | Cape Aktymsyk, Karakalpakstan | Uzbekistan | $43^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 59${ }^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691547 - KF691555 |

Species status is abbreviated by: $\mathbf{S}=$ A. sinica, $\mathbf{T}=$ A. tibetiana, $\mathbf{U}=$ A. urmiana, $\mathbf{E H C}=$ Eurasian Haplotype Complex.
*A: Species designation according to distribution; B: Species designation according to haplotypes.

Table 6 Sampling information retrieved from GenBank.

| Locality, Province, state or district | Country | Species | GenBank No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aral Sea (ARA) | Uzbekistan | EHC | HM998996 |
| Swakopmund (NAM) | Namibia | EHC | HM998995 |
| Eilat (EIL) | Israel | EHC | HM998997 |
| Ankiembe (MAD) | Madagascar | EHC | HM998999 |
| Tanggu (TAG) | China | EHC | HM998995 |
| Qarun (QAR) | Egypt | EHC | HM998998 |
| Margherita di Savodia (MAS) | Italy | EHC | HM999001 |
| Odiel (ODI) | Spain | EHC | HM999002 |
| M. Embolon (MEM) | Greece | EHC | HM999003 |
| M. Embolon (MEM) | Greece | EHC | HM999004 |
| M. Embolon (MEM) | Greece | EHC | HM999005 |
| Maharlu (MAH) | Iran | EHC | HM999000 |
| Urmia Lake | Iran | U | JX512748-JX512808 |
| Narte saltern (ALB) | Albania | EHC | KF707790-99 |
| Atanasovko Lake (ATA) | Bulgaria | EHC | KF707720-26, KF707800-04 |
| Oybuskoye Lake (OYB) | Ukraine | EHC | KF707810-19 |
| Koyashskoe Lake (KOY) | Ukraine | EHC \& U | KF707700-09, KF707805-09 |
| Alexandria saltern (EGY) | Egypt | EHC | KF707785-89 |
| Bagdad saltern (IRA) | Iraq | EHC | KF707727-45 |
| Urmia Lake (URM) | Iran | EHC \& U | KF707710-19, KF707765-74 |
| Aral Sea (ARA) | Uzbekistan | EHC | KF707820-25 |
| Maloje Jarovoe Lake (MAL) | W. Altai | EHC | KF707826-35 |
| Bolshoe Jarovoe Lake (BOL) | W. Altai | EHC | KF707836-44 |
| Moimishanskoe Lake (MOI) | W. Altai | EHC | KF707865-74 |
| Korangi Creek saltern (PAK) | Pakistan | EHC | KF707775-84 |
| Aibi Lake (AIB) | China | EHC | KF707746-54 |
| Lagkor Co Lake (LAG) | Tibet | EHC \& T | KF707845-54 |
| Gahai Lake (GAH) | China | EHC | KF707755-64 |
| Koyashskoe Lake (AUKOY) | Ukraine | U \& EHC | KF707691-99 |
| Urmia Lake (AUURM) | Iran | U \& EHC | KF707681-90, KF707875-84 |
| Gaize Lake (ATGAI) | Tibet | T | KF707895-99 |
| Jingyu Lake (ATJIN) | Tibet | T | KF707909-18 |
| Hayan Lake (ATHAY) | Tibet | T | KF707900-08 |
| Yuncheng saltern (ASYUN) | China | S | KF707885-90 |
| Odiel saltpan (ODI) | Spain | EHC | DQ426824 |
| Cabo de Gata saltpan (GAT) | Spain | EHC | DQ426825 |
| El Bosque saltpan (BOS) | Spain | EHC | DQ426826 |
| Rio Maior saltpan (RIO) | Portugal | EHC | GU591380 |
| Senitra saltpan (SEN) | Portugal | EHC | GU591381 |
| Larache saltpan (LAR) | Morocco | EHC | GU591382 |
| Margherita di Savodia saltpan (MAR) | Italy | EHC | GU591383 |
| Bjurliv Lake (BJU) | Kazakhstan | EHC | GU591384 |
| Vineta Swakopmund saltworks (NAM) | Namibia | EHC |  |
| Atanasovko Lake (ATA) | Bulgaria | EHC |  |
| Kujalnicsky Liman (KUJ) | Ukraine | EHC |  |
| Wadi El Natrun (WAD) | Egypt | EHC |  |

Species status are abbreviated by: $\mathbf{S}=A$. sinica, $\mathbf{T}=A$. tibetiana, $\mathbf{U}=A$. urmiana, $\mathbf{E H C}=$ Eurasian Haplotype Complex.

Table 7 Net nucleotide sequence divergence based on uncorrected p-distances (lower triangle) and K2P (upper triangle) for Asian Artemia species. 1=100\%.

| Species | A. sinica | A. tibetiana | A. urmiana | EHC |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A. sinica |  | 0.158 | 0.179 | 0.172 |
| A. tibetiana | 0.136 |  | 0.051 | 0.057 |
| A. urmiana | 0.153 | 0.048 |  | 0.018 |
| EHC | 0.148 | 0.052 | 0.018 |  |

Table 8 Genetic diversity indices for COl from Artemia species.

| Species | N | V | M | H | HD | $\pi$ | K | Tajima | Fu's Fu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. sinica | 24 | 7 | 7 | 6 | $0.7 \pm 0.06$ | $0.003 \pm 0.002$ | 1.38 | -0.81 | -1.01 |
| A. tibetiana | 36 | 42 | 42 | 17 | $0.9 \pm 0.02$ | $0.01 \pm 0.006$ | 10.68 | 0.197 | -0.22 |
| A. urmiana | 79 | 48 | 49 | 34 | $0.88 \pm 0.03$ | $0.006 \pm 0.005$ | 3.40 | $-2.13^{*}$ | $-26.00^{* *}$ |
| EHC - Africa | 38 | 9 | 9 | 7 | $0.41 \pm 0.09$ | $0.002 \pm 0.001$ | 1.22 | -1.26 | -1.65 |
| EHC - Asia | 283 | 48 | 49 | 22 | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $0.004 \pm 0.003$ | 2.11 | $-2.10^{*}$ | $-7.65^{*}$ |
| EHC - Europe | 58 | 24 | 24 | 13 | $0.71 \pm 0.05$ | $0.01 \pm 0.003$ | 7.25 | 1.27 | 2.20 |

Table 9 Data matrix of variable sites and distribution of unique haplotypes with their frequencies among 243 Artemia individuals using 560 nt of COI. $\mathrm{H}=$ haplotype, $\mathrm{F}=$ haplotype frequency and numbers = polymorphic sites. All sequences are analyzed only for IPMB dataset. GenBank sequences are marked with purple color.

| H | F | Individuals \& locations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 21 | A.P._INC1_Iran A.P._INC2_Iran A.P._INC3_Iran A.P._INC4_Iran A.P._INC5_Iran A.P._KBG1_Turkmenist A.P._KBG2_Turkmenistan A.P._KBG3_Turkmenistan <br> A.P._MIG1_Iran A.P._MIG2_Iran A.P._MIG3_Iran A.P._MIG5_Iran A.P._LAGE1_Iran A.P._LAGE3_Iran A.P._CAM2_Turkey A.P._GAH1_China A.P._GAH2_China <br> A.P._GAH3_China A.P._GAH4_China A.P._GAH5_China A.P._GAH6_China |
| H2 | 1 | A.P._KBG4_Turkmenistan |
| H3 | 121 | A.P._KBG5_Turkmenistan A.P._LAGW1_Iran A.P._LAGW2_Iran A.P._LAGW3_Iran <br> A.P._LAGW4_Iran A.P._LAGW5_Iran A.P._LAGE2_Iran A.P._ABG1_Iraq <br> A.P._ABG2_Iraq A.P._ABG3_Iraq A.P._CAA2_Uzbekistan A.P._CAA3_Uzbekistan <br> A.P._CAA4_-Uzbekistan̄ A.P._CAA5_Uzbēkistān A.P._CAA6_Uzbēkistān <br> A.P. ${ }^{-}$CAA7_Uzbekistan A.P._-CAA9_Uzbekistan A.P. ${ }^{-}$BYA1_-Russia A.P._BYA2_Russia <br> A.P._BYA4_Russia A.P._BYA5_Russia A.P._ASS1_Kazakhstan A.P._ASS2_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._ASS3_Kazakhstan A.P._ĀSS4_Kazakhst̄an A.P._ASS5_Kazakhst̄an <br> A.P._HAN1_China A.P._HAN2_China A.P._HAN3_China A.P._HAN4_China <br> A.P._-HAN5_China A.P._ HAN7_China A.P._EBE1_Russia A.P._EBE $\overline{2}$ _Russia <br>  <br> A.P._MED2_Russia A.P._KUR1_Russia A.P._KUR3_Russia A.P._KUR4_Russia <br> A.P._YIN1_China A.P._YIN2_China A.P._YIN3_China A.P._YIN̄ 4 _Chīna <br> A.P._-SHA1_China A.P._-SHA2_China A.P._SHA3_China A.P.__CAN1_-China <br> A.P._-CAN2_China A.P._CAN3_China A.P._CAN4_China A.P.__CHE1_China <br> A.P._-CHE2_China A.P._CHE3_China A.P._-VOS1_Russia A.P.__VOS ${ }_{2}{ }_{-}^{-}$Russia <br> A.P._VOS3_Russia A.P._ARS1_Kazakhstan A.P._ARS2_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._ARS3_Kazakhstan A.P._ĀRS4_Kazakhstan A.P._ARS5_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._ARS6_Kazakhstan A.P._ARS7_Kazakhstan A.P.__NCS1_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._NCS2_Kazakhstan A.P._NCS3_Kazakhstan A.P._-NCS4_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._NCS5_Kazakhstan A.P._NCS6_Kazakhstan A.P._GOR1_Russia A.P._GOR2_Russia <br> A.P._-GOR3_Russia A.P._GOR̄̄_Russia A.P._GOR5_Rus̄sia $\bar{A} . P . \quad B A M 1 \_C h \overline{i n a}$ <br> A.P._BAM2_China A.P._BAM3_China A.P._BĀM4_China A.P._BAM5_Chīna <br> A.P._BAM6_China A.P._TUZ1_Kazakhstan A.P._TUZ2_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._TUZ3_Kazakhstan ${ }^{-}$A.P._TUZ4_Kazakhstan A.P._TUZ5_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._TUZ6_Kazakhstan A.P._TUZ7_Kazakhstan A.P._TUZ8_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._-TUZ9_Kazakhstan A.P. ${ }_{-}^{-}$TUZ1̄̄_Kazakhstn A.P. ${ }^{-}$TUZ1 $\overline{1} \_$Kazakhstan <br>  <br> A.P._-PAV1_K Kazakhstan A.P._ PAV2_Kāzakhstan A.P._PĀV3_Kazakhstan <br> A.P. -PAV4_Kazakhstan A.P._-PAV5_Kazakhstan A.P.__PAV6_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._KYZ1_Kazakhstan A.P._KYZ2_Kazakhstan A.P.__KYZ3_Kazakhstan <br> A.P._KYZ4_Kazakhstan A.P._KYZ5_Kazakhstan A.P._MYA1_Russia A.P._MYA2_Russia <br> A.P._MYA3_Russia A.P._MYĀ̄_Rus̄̄ia A.P._MYA5_Rus̄sia $\bar{A} . P . \quad M Y A 6 \_R u \bar{s} s i a$ <br> A.P._MYA7_Russia A.P.__DON1_China A.P._DON2_-̄hina A.P._DŌN3_China <br> A.P._-KUC1_Russia A.P._-KUC2_Russia A.P._KUC̄̄_Russia |
| H4 | 7 | A.P._MIG4_Iran A.P._QŌM1_Iran A.P._QOM $\overline{2} \_\operatorname{Iran} A . P . \_Q O M 3 \_I r a n ~ A . P . \_Q O M 4 \_I r a n$ A.P._QOM5_Iran A.P._QOM6_Iran |
| H5 | 7 | A.P._CAM1_Turkey A. $\bar{P}$. _CAM3_Turkey A.P._CAM4_Turkey A.P._CAM5_Turkey A.P._CAM6_Turkey A.P._CAM9_Turkey A.P._CAM10_Turkey |
| H6 | 1 | A.P._CAM7_Turkey |
| H7 | 1 | A.P._CAM8_Turkey |
| H8 | 1 | A.P._CAA1_Uzbekista |
| H9 | 1 | A.P._CAA8_Uzbekistan |
| H10 | 1 | A.P._BYA3_Russia |
| H11 | 1 | A.P._HAN6_China |
| H12 | 5 | A.P._MME1_Russia A.P._MME3_Russia A.P._EBE5_Russia A.P._EBE7_Russia A.P._KUL2_Russia |
| H13 | 5 | A.P._MME2_Russia A.P._MME4_Russia A.P._MED1_Russia A.P._MED3_Russia A.P._KUR2_Russia |
| H14 | 1 | A.P._EBE3_Russia |
| H15 | 23 | A.U.__NC2_1_Urmia A.U._NE2_Urmia A.U.__NE5_Urmia A.U._NW3_Urmia A.U._ME11_Urmia A. U.__ME2-2_Urmia A.U._ME2-4_Urmia A.U._MW1-1_Urmia A.U._MW1-3_Urmia A.U._MW1-4_Urmia A.U._MW1-5_Urmia A.U._MW2-1_Urmia A.U._MW2-4_Urmia A.U. SE2-4 Urmia A.U. SE2-6 Urmia A.U. SE3-3 Urmia A.U. SC1-2 Urmia |


|  |  | A.U._SC1-3_Urmia A.U._SC3-1_Urmia A.U._SC3-2_Urmia A.U._SC3-4_Urmia A.U._SE1-1_Urmia A.U._SW2_Urmia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H16 | 1 | A.U.- ${ }^{-} \mathrm{NC} 2-3-\mathrm{Urmia}$ |
| H17 | 1 | A.U. NC1-2 Urmia |
| H18 | 1 | A.U. NE1 Urmia |
| H19 | 3 | A.U._NE3_Urmia A.U._NW5_Urmia A.U._SE3-2_Urmia |
| H20 | 1 | A.U._NE4_Urmia |
| H21 | 1 | A.U._ME2-1_Urmia |
| H22 | 1 | A.U. ME2-6_Urmia |
| H23 | 3 | A.U._MW1-2_Urmia A.U._SE3-1_Urmia A.U._SE1-2_Urmia |
| H24 | 1 | A.U. MW1-6 Urmia |
| H25 | 1 | A.U. ${ }^{-}$MW2-2_Urmia |
| H26 | 1 | A.U._SE2-2_Urmia |
| H27 | 1 | A.U. SE2-3 Urmia |
| H28 | 1 | A.U._SE2-5_Urmia |
| H29 | 1 | A.U._SC2-2_Urmia |
| H30 | 1 | A.U._SC3-3_Urmia |
| H31 | 1 | A.U._SE1-3_Urmia |
| H32 | 1 | A.T._JIN1_C̄hina |
| H33 | 5 | A.T._JIN2_China A.T._JIN4_China A.T._TIB1-2_China A.T._TIB1-3_China <br> A.T. TIB1-5 China |
| H34 | 1 | A.T. -JIN3_China |
| H35 | 1 | A.T._TIB1-1_China |
| H36 | 1 | A.T._TIB1-4_China |
| H37 | 1 | A.T._TIB2-1_China |
| H38 | 1 | A.T._TIB2-2_China |
| H39 | 1 | A.T._TIB2-3_China |
| H40 | 1 | A.SI._XIE1_China |
| H41 | 2 | A.SI._XIE2_China A.SI._XIE3_China |
| H42 | 3 | A.SI._XIE4_China A.SI._XIE7_China A.SI._YUN2_China |
| H43 | 1 | A.SI._XIE5_China |
| H44 | 6 | A.SI._XIE6_China A.SI._XIE8_China A.SI._XIE9_China A.SI._YUN1_China A.SI. YUN3 China A.SI. YUN5 China |
| H45 | 1 | A.SI._YUN4_China |

Note: Purple color reflects sequences from Eimanifar and Wink, 2013

Table 10 Data matrix of variable sites and distribution of unique haplotypes with their frequencies among 520 Artemia individuals using 560 nt of COI. $\mathrm{H}=$ haplotype, $\mathrm{F}=$ haplotype frequency and numbers = polymorphic sites. GenBank sequences are marked with different colors.

| H | F | Individuals \& locations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 79 | A.P._INC1_Iran A.P._INC2_Iran A.P._INC3_Iran A.P._INC4_Iran A.P._INC5_Iran A.P._KBG1_Turkmenistan A.P._KBG2_Turkmenistan A.P._KBG3_Turkmenistan <br> A.P._MIG1_Iran A.P._MIG2_Iran A.P._MIG3_Iran A.P._MIG5_Iran A.P._LAGE1_Iran <br> A.P._LAGE3_Iran A.P._CAM2_Turkey A.P._GAH1_China A.P._GAH2_China <br> A.P._GAH3_China A.P._GAH4_China A.P._GAH5_China A.P._GAH6_China <br> A.P._Egypt_APD05 A.P._ELA1_Egypt A.P._ELA2_Egypt A.P._ELA3_Egypt <br> A.P._ELA4_Egypt A.P._ELA5_Egypt A.P._ELA6_Egypt A.P._ELM1_Egypt <br> A.P._ELM2_Egypt A.P._ELM3_Egypt A.P._ELM4_Egypt A.P._ELM5_Egypt <br> A.P._ELM6_Egypt A.P._ELM7_Egypt A.P._ELM8_Egypt A.P._ELM9_Egypt <br> A.P._SAI1_Egypt A.P._SAI2_Egypt A.P._SAI3_Egypt A.P._SAI4_Egypt <br> A.P._SAI5_Egypt A.P._SAI6_Egypt A.P._ANK2_Madagascar A.P._ANK3_Madagascar <br> A.P._ANK5_Madagascar A.P._ANK6_Madagascar A.P._ANK7_Madagascar <br> A.P._HM998997_Israel A.P._HM998999_Madagascar A.P._HM998998_Egypt <br> A.P._HM999001_Italy A.P._URM21_Urmia A.P._URM22_Urmia A.P._URM17_Urmia <br> A.P._GAH3_China A.P._GAH10_China A.P._GAH5_China A.P._GAH4_China <br> A.P._GAH1_China A.P._GAH14_China A.P._GAH6_China A.P._GAH7_China <br> A.P._GAH8_China A.P._GAH9_China A.P._EGY1_Egypt A.P._EGY4_Egypt <br> A.P. ALB5 Albania A.P. AlB9 Albania A.P. ALB1 Albania A.P. ALB2 Albania |



|  |  | 6_Turkey A.P._CAM9_Turkey A.P._CAM10_Turkey A.P._Bulgaria_APD07 <br> A.P._Bulgaria_APD08 A.P._HM999004_Greece A.P._HM999005_Greece <br> A.U._AUKOY12_Ukraine A.U._AUKOY9_Ukraine A.U._AUKOY5_Ukraine <br> A.U._AUKOY3_Ukraine A.U._AUKOY2_Ukraine A.P._ATA1_Bulgaria A.P._OYB10_Ukraine <br> A.P._OYB3_Ukraine A.P._OYB5_Ukraine A.P._OYB6_Ukraine A.P._OYB1_Ukraine <br> A.P._OYB13_Ukraine A.P._OYB7_Ukraine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H6 | 1 | A.P._CAM7_Turkey |
| H7 | 1 | A.P._CAM8_Turkey |
| H8 | 1 | A.P._CAA1_Uzbekistan |
| H9 | 1 | A.P._CAA8_Uzbekistan |
| H10 | 4 | A.P._BYA3_Russia A.P._MAL10_Russia A.P._MAL3_Russia A.P. BOL8 Russia |
| H11 | 1 | A.P._HAN6_China |
| H12 | 11 | A.P._MME1_Russia A.P._MME3_Russia A.P._EBE5_Russia A.P._EBE7_Russia <br> A.P._KUL2_Russia A.P._MAL2_Russia A.P._MAL1_Russia <br> A.P._MAL5_Russia A.P._MAL8_Russia A.P._MAL9_Russia <br> A.P._BOL6_Russia |
| H13 | 5 | A.P._MME2_Russia A.P._MME4_Russia A.P._MED1_Russia A.P._MED3_Russia A.P._KUR2_Russia |
| H14 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.P._EBE3_Russia A.P._MOIIO_Russia A.P._MOI1_Russia } \\ & \text { A.P._MOI3_Russia A.P._MOI4_Russia A.P._MOI6_Russia } \\ & \text { A.P._MOI7_Russia A.P._MOI9_Russia } \end{aligned}$ |
| H15 | 1 | A.P._Morocco_APD03 |
| H16 | 1 | A.P._Ukraine_APD04 |
| H17 | 1 | A.P._Bulgaria_APD06 |
| H18 | 2 | A.P._ANK1_Madagasca A.P._ANK4_Madagasca |
| H19 | 7 | A.P._HM999003_Greece A.P._AIB10_China A.P._AIB7_China A.P._AIB8_China A.P._OYB4_Ukraine A.P._OYB9_Ukraine A.P._OYB8_Ukraine |
| H2O | 1 | A.T._JIN1_China |
| H21 | 9 | A.T._JIN2_China A.T._JIN4_China A.T._TIB1-2_China A.T._TIB1-3_China <br> A.T._TIB1-5_China A.T._ATHAY3_China A.T._ATHAY6_China A.T._ATHAY9_China <br> A.T._ATHAY10_China |
| H22 | 1 | A.T._JIN3_China |
| H23 | 1 | A.T._TIB1-1_China |
| H24 | 2 | A.T._TIB1-4_China A.T._ATHAY5_China |
| H25 | 1 | A.T._TIB2-1_China |
| H26 | 1 | A.T._TIB2-2_China |
| H27 | 1 | A.T._TIB2-3_China |
| H28 | 1 | A.SI._XIE-1_China |
| H29 | 2 | A.SI._XIE-2_China A.SI._XIE-3_China |
| H30 | 9 | A.SI._XIE4_China A.SI._XIE7_China A.SI._YUN2_China A.SI._ASYUN3_China <br> A.SI._ASYUN9_China A.SI._ASYUN10_China A.SI._ASYUN2_China A.SI._ASYUN4_China <br> A.SI._ASYUN7_China |
| H31 | 1 | A.SI._XIE5_China |
| H32 | 10 | A.SI._XIE6_China A.SI._XIE8_China A.SI._XIE9_China A.SI._YUN1_China <br> A.SI._YUN3_China A.SI._YUN5_China A.SI._ASYUN1_China A.SI._ASYUN5_China <br> A.SI._ASYUN6_China A.SI._ASYUN8_China |
| H33 | 1 | A.SI._YUN4_China |
| H34 | 1 | A.U._AUURM10_Urmia |
| H35 | 4 | A.U._AUURM9_Urmia A.U._NE3_Urmia A.U._NW5_Urmia A.U._SE3-2_Urmia |
| H36 | 1 | A.U._AUURM8_Urmia |
| H37 | 30 | A.U._AUURM3_Urmia A.U._AUURM4_Urmia A.U._AUURM16_Urmia A.U._AUURM18_Urmia A.U._AUURM20_Urmia A.U._AUURM21_Urmia A.U._AUURM22_Urmia A.U._NC2_1_Urmia A.U._NE2_Urmia A.U._NE5_Urmia A.U._NW3_Urmia A.U._ME1-1_Urmia A.U._ME22_Urmia A.U._ME2-4_Urmia A.U._MW1-1_Urmia A.U._MW1-3_Urmia A.U._MW1-4_Urmia A.U._MW1-5_Urmia A.U._MW2-1_Urmia A.U._MW2-4_Urmia A.U._SE2-4_Urmia A.U._SE26_Urmia A.U._SE3-3_Urmia A.U._SC1-2_Urmia A.U._SC1-3_Urmia A.U._SC3-1_Urmia A.U._SC3-2_Urmia A.U._SC3-4_Urmia A.U._SE1-1_Urmia A.U._SW2_Urmia |
| H38 | 1 | A.U._AUURM12_Urmia |
| H39 | 1 | A.U._AUURM11_Urmia |
| H40 | 1 | A.U._AUURM7_Urmia |
| H41 | 1 | A.U._AUURM5_Urmia |
| H42 | 1 | A.U._AUURM2_Urmia |
| H43 | 5 | A.U._AUURM17_Urmia A.U._AUURM24_Urmia A.U._MW1-2_Urmia A.U._SE3-1_Urmia A.U._SE1-2_Urmia |

```
H44 
```



```
    A.U. AUKOY1 Ukraine
    A.P._AIB3_China
    A.P. ATA15 Bulgaria
    A.P. ARA4 Uzbekistan
    A.P. LAG8 Tibet A.P. LAG4 Tibet A.P. LAG6 Tibet A.P. LAG10 Tibet
    A.P. LAG9 Tibet
    A.P. MOI5 Russia
    A.T. ATGAI4 China A.T. ATGAI1 China A.T. ATGAI5 China
    A.T._ATGAI2_China A.T._ATGAI3_China
    A.T. ATHAY1 China A.T. ATHAY2 China A.T. ATHAY4 China
    A.T._ATHAY8_China A.T._ATJIN1_China A.T._ATJIN3_China A.T._ATJIN5_China
    A.T._ATJIN10_China
    5 1 A.T._ATJIN2_China 
    A.T. ATJIN9 China
    A.U. NC2-3 Urmia
    A.U. NC1-2 Urmia
    A.U. NE1 Urmia
    A.U. NE4 Urmia
    A.U. ME2-1 Urmia
    A.U._ME2-6_Urmia
    A.U._MW1-6_Urmia
    A.U. SE2-2 Urmia
    A.U. SE2-3_Urmia
    A.U. SE2-5 Urmia
    A.U._SC2-2_Urmia
    A.U. SC3-3 Urmia
    A.U. SE1-3 Urmia
```

Red: Muñoz et al., 2010, Light blue: Maniatsi et al., 2011, Light green: Maaccari et al., 2013, Purple: Eimanifar and Wink, 2013.

Table 11 Mismatch distribution analyses for Asian Artemia species using COI sequences.

| Species | Mismatch distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\boldsymbol{M}$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Tau | SSD (P-value) | Raggedness index (P-value) |
| A. sinica | 1.38 | 0.91 | 99999 | 0.51 | $0.01(0.43)$ | $0.08(0.56)$ |
| A. tibetiana | 2.24 | 0.53 | 17.22 | 1.93 | $0.001(0.86)$ | $0.02(0.85)$ |
| A. urmiana | 2.59 | 0.00 | 14.06 | 2.95 | $0.008(0.13)$ | $0.04(0.28)$ |
| EHC | 0.91 | 0.00 | 99999 | 0.75 | $0.005(0.05)^{*}$ | $0.11(0.01)^{*}$ |

$\boldsymbol{M}$ : Observed mean mismatch, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}$ : effective population size before and after the population expansion, respectively; $\boldsymbol{r}$ : age of expansion; SSD: sum of the square deviations between the observed and the expected mismatch; Hri: Harpending raggedness index. Significant results are indicated by a star *P < 0.05 .

Table 12 Estimated divergence time among major nodes of Artemia based on COI .

| Nodes | Mean age <br> $(\text { mya) })^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $95 \%$ HPD <br> $(\text { mya) })^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.53 | $0.02-0.76$ |
| 2 | 34.01 | $16.96-65.42$ |
| 3 | 0.79 | $0.11-1.33$ |
| 4 | 27 | $10.54-67.49$ |
| 5 | 1.22 | $0.31-2.22$ |
| 6 | 19.99 | $9.37-36.69$ |
| 7 | 4.37 | $1.56-9.45$ |
| 8 | 1.21 | $0.31-2.19$ |
| 9 | 5.41 | $2.19-9.99$ |
| 10 | 0.84 | $0.22-1.22$ |
| 11 | 2.03 | $0.75-3.54$ |
| 12 | 1.72 | $0.62-2.87$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Denotes that the unit of mean age is in million years.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Refers to lower and upper 95\% HPD intervals, and the units are in million years.

Table 13 Estimated divergence age within Asian Artemia species.

| Species | Mean age $(\mathrm{mya})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| A. sinica | $1.22(0.31-2.22)^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| A. tibetiana | $1.21(0.31-2.19)$ |
| A. urmiana | $1.72(0.62-2.87)$ |
| EHC | $0.84(0.22-1.22)$ |

${ }^{2}$ Denotes that the unit of mean age is in million years.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Refers to lower and upper 95\% HPD intervals, and the units are in million years.


Fig. 8. Maximum likelihood phylogram for 70 unique haplotypes of Asian Artemia based on CO marker. The ML bootstrap vales and Bayesian supports are shown for each major nodes from left to right. Haplotypes found for each species corresponds to associated individuals listed in Table 10. Each species are illustrated with different colors. The tree is rooted with Daphnia tenebrosa (H70) as a outgroup.


Fig. 9. COI haplotype network for Asian Artemia lineages (IPMB sequences), reconstructed by statistical parsimony. Haplotype frequencies are proportional to circle size. Circles are colored according to species description. A small black circle indicates number of mutational steps separating haplotypes. Associated individuals with their frequencies for each haplotypes are listed in Table 9.


Fig. 10. Median-joining network of COI gene haplotypes of Artemia (IPMB and Genbank sequences). Each circle corresponds to haplotypes exhibiting the number of individuals. Circles are colored according to species description. A small black circle indicates number of mutational steps separating haplotypes. Associated individuals with their frequencies for each haplotypes are listed in Table 10.


Fig. 11. Mismatch analysis showing the inferred demographic histories of Artemia individuals from each species. Black lines represent simulated data and colored lines represent observed data.

## 

 F
Fig. 12. A chronogram for the Asian Artemia lineages obtained under a relaxed clock model using COI gene. The
blue node bars indicate $95 \%$ posterior probability intervals. The geological time scale is in million years. Mean
divergence time for major nodes are shown by numbers. Each number corresponds to divergence times listed in
Table 12. The tree is externally calibrated with fossil evidence.

### 3.1.5. Discussion

## Asian Artemia phylogeography and haplotype network

The present study highlights the distribution pattern and phylogeography of brine shrimps throughout Asia. Our COI phylogeny based on ML and BI approaches delineated that Asian lineages cluster into 4 clades. Sexual species have pronounced genetic structure and are geographically isolated with the exception of $A$. urmiana which shows a much wider distribution outside Lake Urmia as previously assumed. EHC lineages showed a narrow genetic structure and broadly distributed across Eurasia and Africa (Lázaro et al., 2009; Maccari et al., 2013).

The interspecific sequence divergence based on p-distances was varied between 1.8$15.3 \%$. The COI interspecific values are within the range reported for other aquatic crustaceans such as fairy shrimp (0.012-0.058 \%) (Reniers et al., 2013), Daphina (2.6$5.7 \%$ ) (Hebert et al., 2003), rotifers (0.2-13.1 \%) and decapods (0.28-1.37 \%).

A peculiarity in the COI haplotype network is the observation that some individuals corresponding to haplotypes $\mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 7, \mathrm{H} 19, \mathrm{H} 46, \mathrm{H} 47, \mathrm{H} 48$ and H 50 which had been considered to be part of EHC cluster within A. urmiana. According to our network, there are three hypotheses to explain this condition. Firstly, EHC lineages show a recent origin, since they might have originated from Asian sexual species (Baxevanis et al., 2006; Muñoz et al., 2010; Maccari et al., 2013). Assuming that they had recently expanded, some individuals would have not had sufficient time to diverge from their original sexual species (Law and Crespi, 2002). Secondly, A. urmiana might have dispersed to adjacent regions via migratory birds or human activities so that this taxon is no longer endemic in Asia (Abatzopoulos et al., 2009). A possible dispersal of $A$. urmiana into other localities has a parallel in the colonization of native American species, A. franciscana into non-indigenous regions across Eurasia and Australasia (Muñoz et al., 2014). In fact, the latter hypothesis needs to be carefully re-assessed, since we only deal with mitochondrial DNA sequence variation. So, more detailed systematic investigations regarding nuclear DNA markers and their life history are urgently required. Third, EHC lineages might have been mislabeled during sampling procedures or even sequencing. This opinion needs to be carefully addressed by re-checking of samples or even collecting more samples from other explored and unexplored localities in Eurasia and Africa.

Moreover, three haplotypes $\mathrm{H} 19, \mathrm{H} 28, \mathrm{H} 52$ which had been considered to represent A. urmiana and A. tibetiana according to their geographic distribution are apparently members of EHC (Fig. 9, 10). It needs to be analysed if the discrepancy is due to hybridization between EHC and sexual species in Asia (Baxevanis et al., 2006) or only wrongly identified specimens.

## Genetic diversity of EHC

EHC showed an overall lower genetic diversity with recent evolutionary expansion (Muñoz et al., 2010). However, European EHC lineages revealed a higher genetic diversity as compared to those form Asia and Africa. Possible explanations are: Firstly, environmental heterogeneities (climate and hydrology) could influence extinction or colonization processes shaping genetic variation among lineages (Storfer et al., 2010; Maccari et al., 2013). Secondly, high frequencies of mutation and possibly presence of rare males in parthenogenetic European/African EHC lineages could enhance genetic diversity (Simon et al., 2003; Lo et al., 2009; Maccari et al., 2013, 2014). Contagious parthenogenesis has important evolutionary consequences at it results in the repeated generation of new asexual genotypes, increasing the genetic diversity in parthenogens. This counteracts the loss of asexual genotypes resulting from the accumulation of deleterious mutations (Muller's ratchet) of gene conversion (Tucker et al., 2013) and could contribute to the evolutionary success of parthenogenesis (Simon et al., 2003).

## Divergence times between sexual/asexual Asian lineages

Dates of divergence among Artemia species are controversial. This is due to absence of fossil evidence in this genus. Our study is based on a secondary calibration with a Daphnia fossil whose evolutionary age is known. Based on COI New and Old world Artemia shared a common ancestor about 34 mya, whereas the divergence within Asian lineages started about 20 mya in the late Miocene which is partially in accordance other estimates (Baxevanis et al., 2006) based on nuclear genes. All EHC lineages and A. urmiana shared a common ancestor around 2.03 mya (Pleistocene). EHC lineages are young with a diversification within the last 0.84 million years (Holocene).

However, there are also other time estimates: Based on biogeographical evidence EHC lineages should have diverged from $A$. urmiana 11 mya and $A$. sinica from the rest of Asian species ca. 8 mya. The divergence time within EHC lineages was assumed to 3.5 mya (Baxevanis et al., 2006). As discussed before, DNA data implicate a much younger time scenario. Muñoz et al. (2010) emphasized that EHC from Africa and Europe are relatively a young lineages related to Holocene refugia. Manaffar et al. (2011) have argued that Artemia urmiana has diverged 11 mya, whereas Urmia Lake appears to have been formed later in the late Pleistocene. If these estimates are correct, A. urmiana must have originated elsewhere and was later introduced into Urmia Lake. Shadrin et al. (2012) supported this hypothesis because Artemia cysts extracted from sediment cores of Urmia Lake were roughly 5,000 years old and mostly likely parthenogenetic. Anufriieva and Shadrin (2012) even suggested that $A$. urmiana might have originated in Miocene salt lakes, however, this latter hypothesis appears to be very speculative as no Artemia fossils have so far been found.

### 3.2 Fine-scale population genetic structure in Artemia urmiana Günther, 1890 from Lake Urmia based on DNA sequences of mtDNA and ISSR genomic fingerprinting.

### 3.2.1. Abstract

We investigated genetic variability and population structure of the halophilic zooplankter Artemia urmiana from 15 different geographical locations of Lake Urmia using nucleotide sequences of COI (mtDNA cytochrome c oxidase subunit $I$ ) and genomic fingerprinting by ISSR-PCR (inter-simple sequence repeats). According to sequence data, $A$. urmiana exhibits a high level of haplotype diversity with a low level of nucleotide diversity. The haplotype spanning network recognized 36 closely related unique haplotypes. ISSR profiles confirmed a substantial amount of genomic diversity with a low level of population structure. No apparent genetic structure was recognized in Lake Urmia but rather a random geographic distribution of genotypes indicating a high degree of panmixia. The population genetic data indicate a possibility of individual's relationship implying that differentiation of individuals is not affected by ecological factors. Therefore, A. urmiana from Lake Urmia should be considered as a single management unit for conservation.
Keywords: Genetic variability, Population structure, Artemia urmiana, Lake Urmia

### 3.2.2. Introduction

Artemia (Crustacea, Anostraca) - a cosmopolitan macrozooplankter - is a tiny nonselective filter-feeding invertebrate which is highly adapted to hypersalinity. It occurs in over 600 locations across the world, except Antarctica (Van Stappen, 2002). The genus Artemia includes seven sexual species and a parthenogenetic species complex, $A$. parthenogenetica, whose species status is under discussion.

Five sexual species are found in Eurasia including A. salina in the Mediterranean basin (Triantaphyllidis et al., 1997a), A. urmiana Günther, 1890 in Lake Urmia (Iran) and Lake Koyashskoe, Ukraine (Abatzopoulos et al., 2009), A. sinica in Yuncheng Lake, China Cai, 1989, A. tibetiana in Tibet (Abatzopoulos et al., 1998), and an undescribed new species in Kazakhstan (Pilla and Beardmore, 1994). The other two species are A. franciscana Kellogg, 1906 distributed throughout North and South America, and A. persimilis Piccinelli and Prosdocimi, 1968 restricted to specific sites in Argentina and Chile.

Lake Urmia is the largest non-coastal thalassohaline lake in the Middle East close to the Turkish border and the second largest permanent hypersaline lake in the world. It is located on a semiarid plateau in north-western Iran ( $37^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-45^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ) at 1278 m above sea level (Hassanzadeh et al., 2012). Lake Urmia shows many similarities to the Great Salt Lake in Utah (USA), including geographical topography, chemistry, and biological features (Kelts and Shahrabi, 1986; Eimanifar and Mohebbi, 2007). Apparently, Lake Urmia was
always hypersaline because it collects water from rivers but has no outlet to other areas (Kelts and Shahrabi, 1986). Within the lake there are 102 islands, and its water hosts diverse bacterial communities, hyperhalophilous phytoplankton, and notably the almost endemic brine shrimp $A$. urmiana. The lake is an international park and protected biosphere reserve as recognized by the United Nations.

Before 1995, Lake Urmia had a surface area of $5000-6000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}(140 \times 40-55 \mathrm{~km}$; water depth 16 m$)$. Annual average precipitation was 246 mm , average temperature $9.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and water salinity 140-220 g/l (Manaffar et al., 2011; Delju et al., 2012; Hassanzadeh et al., 2012). Between 1997 and 2006, annual precipitation dropped to 204 mm and mean annual temperatures increased by 17\% (Hassanzadeh et al., 2012). The progressing drought has caused fundamental changes in the physiochemical composition of the lake: currently the salinity exceeds $>300 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$. The surface area has decreased to less than $2366 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and water volume was reduced from 42 in 1995 to 22 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ in 2010 (Hoseinpour et al., 2010; Manaffar et al., 2011; Pengra, 2012).

Artemia cyst production in the top 50 cm of the lake has been estimated at 4243 to 4536 t tyear for 1995 (Asem et al., 2012). A considerable decline of cyst concentrations from 399 cysts/l in 1995 to 3 cysts/l in 2007 has been recorded; currently less than 1 cyst/l are assumed (Manaffar et al., 2011; Asem et al., 2012). Consequently, these alterations are already threatening the survival of fauna and flora. Eventually the $A$. urmiana will be driven to local extinction if the present conditions continue to reduce population densities even further.

So far, genetic variability and population structure of A. urmiana in its main area, Lake Urmia, are hardly known. This is partly due to insufficient sampling from different regions of the lake. For $A$. urmiana emphasis had been placed on morphological and initial genetic (RFLP) studies to infer population structure and geographical variability (Eimanifar et al., 2006; Asem et al., 2007, 2010b).

In this study, A. urmiana was systematically collected from representative 15 sampling sites of Lake Urmia in order to determine its population structure and genetic variability. The mitochondrial COI gene was sequenced and ISSR-PCR fingerprinting was carried out to assess genomic variability and phylogeographic structure.

### 3.2.3. Material and methods

## Sampling and DNA extraction

In order to achieve a good coverage of intraspecific genetic variability and population structure, we have collected encysted embryos from 15 sampling sites in Lake Urmia (Fig. 13). Table 14 provides information of the collection sites, voucher numbers, number of individuals studied and corresponding locality codes (used hereafter).

Extraction of total DNA was carried out from single cysts using Chelex-100 (6\%, Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA) (Van Stappen et al., 2007; Montero-Pau et al., 2008). Extracted DNA was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further genetic analysis.

## PCR amplification and sequencing protocols

The mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) which is informative for phylogeographic studies of Artemia (Muñoz et al., 2008) was amplified and sequenced (710 bp). Standard PCR was carried in a total volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in a thermocycler (Biometra, Tgradient, Germany) with Taq DNA polymerase (Bioron, GmbH, Germany) according to published protocols. PCR products were precipitated in $2 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}$ ammonium acetate and 360 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ absolute ethanol (Merck, Germany) followed by centrifugation for 20 min (Gonzalez and Wink, 2010). The PCR products were sequenced in both directions using the same primers as for PCR. Cycle sequences were performed under the following conditions: 5 min initial denaturation $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~s}$ denaturation at $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~s}$ annealing at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 4 min extension at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, repeated for 33 cycles. Sequencing was carried out using ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit v. 3.1 by StarSEQ GmbH (Mainz, Germany).

## Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses

All mtDNA sequences were edited using Bioedit sequence alignment vers. 7.0.9.0 (Hall, 1999). Forward and reverse sequences were inspected visually. Sequences were translated into amino acid sequences to ensure the absence of stop codons and that no pseudogenes were amplified. Sequences were aligned using Clustal W2 vers. 2.0 multiple sequence alignment (Larkin et al., 2007). The aligned nucleotide matrix, polymorphic sites, and distinct haplotypes with their frequencies were analyzed using Dnasp v. 5.00 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). All sequences were deposited in GenBank according to locality and related haplotypes (Accession Numbers JX512748-JX512808). Pairwise genetic distances were calculated using Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) (Kimura, 1980) model as provided in MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011). K2P was used because it allows for higher probability of transitional vs. transversional base substitution and has been employed in earlier phylogenetic study on bisexual Artemia populations (Van der Heijden et al., 2012; Hou et al., 2006). The phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using maximum likelihood (ML) in Phyml vers. 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010) and Bayesian inference (BI) in MrBayes v. 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). The closely related A. tibetiana was chosen as an outgroup.

For parametric analysis, DNA sequence alignment was used to calculate the best fitting nucleotide substitution model of DNA sequence evolution, via jModelTest v. 0.1.1 (Posada, 2008) according to the corrected Akaike and Bayesian information criterion (AIC
and BIC) (Akaike, 1974). The codon-based partitioning model was used to reconstruct ML and BI tree for CO data set using all parameters of selected model. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd codon positions

Table 14 Sampled populations of $A$. urmiana with IPMB voucher number, geographical coordinates, total number of individuals, and molecular genetic diversity indices.

| IPMB voucher | Geographic locality | Geographic coordinates | LC | $N$ | HD | $\pi$ | H | $P$ | M | $K$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57210 | North-Central | $45^{\circ} 36 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 99 \mathrm{~N}$ | NC1 | 10 | $1 \pm 0.27$ | 0.008 $\pm 0.002$ | 3 | 7 | 7 | 4.66 |
| 57223 | North-Central | $45^{\circ} 34 \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 12 \mathrm{~N}$ | NC2 | 10 | $1 \pm 0.27$ | $0.003 \pm 0.001$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 57211 | North-East | $45^{\circ} 42 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 93 \mathrm{~N}$ | NE | 10 | $0.93 \pm 0.12$ | $0.004 \pm 0.001$ | 5 | 7 | 7 | 2.33 |
| 57209 | North-West | $45^{\circ} 18 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 99 \mathrm{~N}$ | NW | 10 | $1 \pm 0.09$ | $0.009 \pm 0.002$ | 6 | 17 | 16 | 5.53 |
| 57212 | Middle-East | $45^{\circ} 40 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 83 \mathrm{~N}$ | ME1 | 10 | $1 \pm 0.5$ | $0.01 \pm 0.007$ | 2 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 57213 | Middle-East | $45^{\circ} 41 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 75 \mathrm{~N}$ | ME2 | 10 | $0.93 \pm 0.12$ | $0.004 \pm 0.001$ | 5 | 8 | 8 | 2.66 |
| 57214 | Middle-West | $45^{\circ} 28 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 71 \mathrm{~N}$ | MW1 | 10 | $0.93 \pm 0.12$ | $0.005 \pm 0.002$ | 5 | 9 | 9 | 3.2 |
| 57215 | Middle-West | $45^{\circ} 29 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 64 \mathrm{~N}$ | MW2 | 10 | $0.9 \pm 0.16$ | $0.01 \pm 0.003$ | 4 | 16 | 15 | 6.2 |
| 57217 | South-East | $45^{\circ} 72 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 40 \mathrm{~N}$ | SE1 | 10 | $1 \pm 0.272$ | $0.009 \pm 0.003$ | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5.33 |
| 57218 | South-East | $45^{\circ} 73 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 66 \mathrm{~N}$ | SE2 | 10 | $0.93 \pm 0.12$ | 0.008 $\pm 0.002$ | 5 | 15 | 14 | 4.86 |
| 57220 | South-East | $45^{\circ} 68 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 54 \mathrm{~N}$ | SE3 | 10 | $1 \pm 0.27$ | 0.008 $\pm 0.002$ | 3 | 8 | 7 | 4.66 |
| 57219 | South-Central | $45^{\circ} 44 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 51 \mathrm{~N}$ | SC1 | 10 | $0.6 \pm 0.31$ | $0.001 \pm 0.0005$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.66 |
| 57221 | South-Central | $45^{\circ} 55 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 43 \mathrm{~N}$ | SC2 | 10 | $1 \pm 0.272$ | $0.005 \pm 0.001$ | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3.33 |
| 57222 | South-Central | $45^{\circ} 58 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 29 \mathrm{~N}$ | SC3 | 10 | $1 \pm 0.177$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0006$ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |
| 57216 | South-West | $45^{\circ} 36 \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 26 \mathrm{~N}$ | SW | 10 | $1 \pm 0.5$ | $0.005 \pm 0.002$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Total |  | 0.87 $\pm 0.042$ | $0.005 \pm 0.0007$ | 36 | 53 | 50 | 3.2 |

LC = locality code, $\boldsymbol{N}=$ number of individuals, $\boldsymbol{H}=$ haplotype diversity, $\boldsymbol{\pi}=$ nucleotide diversity, $\boldsymbol{H}=$ number of haplotypes, $\boldsymbol{P}=$ number of segregating sites, $\boldsymbol{M}=$ total number of nucleotide substitutions, $\boldsymbol{K}=$ average number of nucleotide differences per population.
of COI gene were set up to allow each codon position to have its own rate.
Bayesian analyses were run under the following condition: two simultaneous runs, $8,000,000$ generations, random starting tree with four independent Markov chains (MCMC), tree sampling every 500 generations, early-phase 0.5 million generations (50\%) trees discarded as burn-in. Posterior clade probabilities (PP) were calculated from the post remaining tree in order to support branches reliability (Erixon et al., 2003). Inter- and intrapopulation genetic diversity parameters were calculated using Dnasp v. 5.00 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). The examined statistical indexes were as following: number of haplotypes $(H)$, number of polymorphic sites $(P)$, number of mutations $(M)$, nucleotide diversity $(\pi)$, haplotype diversity $(H D)$, average number of nucleotide differences $(K)$ per population. The
neutrality of mutations and signal of population expansion through neutral evaluation were tested by Tajima's D (Tajima, 1989) and Fu's Fs values (Fu, 1997). Genealogical relationships among haplotypes were reconstructed using Network program (Bandelt et al., 1999), based on a median joining algorithm. All sequences were collapsed to the unique haplotypes by the software Dnasp v. 5.00. The relationship between geographic and genetic distances was evaluated by Isolation-by-Distance (IBD) analysis which is included in IBDWS software v. 3.14 (Jensen et al., 2005), implementing 30,000 randomizations. IBDWS performs Mantel tests with Reduced Major Axis (RMA) regression analysis. Geographic distances (km) among localities were calculated using Google map distance calculator.

## Genomic fingerprinting by ISSR-PCR

Genomic fingerprinting analysis was carried out by inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR)-PCR. Fifteen ISSR primers were initially evaluated to identify the population variability within and among 150 randomly selected individuals belonging to 15 geographically different regions of $A$. urmiana from Lake Urmia. Five out of fifteen screened primers were chosen because banding patterns could be unambiguously identified (Table 15, Fig. 14). All PCR amplifications were executed in a $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ final volume containing $40-50 \mathrm{ng}$ of template DNA, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $10 \times$ PCR buffer $\left(160 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 670 \mathrm{mM}\right.$ TrisHCl pH 8.8, 0.1 \% Tween-20, 25 mM MgCl 2 ), 10 pmol of primer, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{\mu l}$ bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Bioron, GmbH, Germany), 0.1 mM dGTP, dCTP, and dTTP, 0.045 mM dATP, 1 $\mu \mathrm{Ci}\left[\alpha^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]$-dATP (Perkin Elmer, LAS, GmbH, Germany). DNA amplifications were performed in a thermal cycler (Biometra, Tgradient, Germany) and started with 5 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 35 cycles: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ denaturation for $1 \mathrm{~min}, 48-54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ annealing for 50 s and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ extension for 2 min . The final cycle was followed by a 7 min extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All amplified products were mixed with $8 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of bromophenol blue and run by high-resolution denaturing polyacrylamide gels $6 \%(0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) for 3 h at 65 W (size $45 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) containing $1 \times$ TBE buffer. The gels were dried and exposed for two days to X-ray hyperfilm (Kodak, Taufkirchen, Germany) and subsequently developed. We repeated PCR amplification for $30 \%$ of the individuals per locality to ensure reproducibility and repeatability of each marker. Eventually, the films were scanned and polymorphic bands identified for scoring.

## ISSR statistics

Quality and quantity of amplified ISSR fragments were carefully checked visually. Ambiguous and smeared bands were excluded from the analysis. ISSR fragments are dominant makers which are inherited biparentally (Arafeh et al., 2002). The data matrix ( $1=$ presence; $0=$ absence of a band) was constructed to calculate genetic information of each population (Table 16). An average inbreeding level was calculated based on individual's
inbreeding coefficient $F_{\text {AFLP }}$ (an analog to $F_{\text {IS }}$ ) in order to test the assumption of HardyWeinberg equilibrium (HWE) of populations using FAFLPcalc (Dasmahapatra et al., 2008).

The data matrix was then employed to determine the genetic diversity parameters for each population using AFLP SURV vers. 1.0 (Vekemans et al., 2002). The parameters were as following: number of polymorphic bands ( $N$ ), percentage of polymorphic bands of total bands $(P)$, average gene diversity $(H w)$, total gene diversity $(H t)$, and Nei's genetic diversity (Lynch and Milligan, 1994). Genetic diversity values were measured with different levels of

Table 15 List of primers screened for ISSR analysis, sequences ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ), GC content, annealing temperature, amplification pattern, and total number of loci amplified in A. urmiana.

| Primer | Motif <br> $\left(5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}\right)$ | GC <br> $(\%)$ | Annealing <br> temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Amplification <br> pattern | Total <br> number of <br> bands |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| ISSR1 | $(\mathrm{AC})_{8}$ T | 47.1 | $48-54$ | Smear | - |
| ISSR2 | $(\mathrm{CAC})_{5}$ | 66.7 | $48-54$ | Smear | - |
| ISSR3 | $(\mathrm{GACA})_{4}$ | 50 | $48-54$ | Smear | - |
| ISSR4 | $(\mathrm{AG})_{12}$ | 50 | $48-54$ | Poor | - |
| ISSR5 | $(\mathrm{TC})_{9}$ | 50 | $48-54$ | Poor | - |
| ISSR6 | $(\mathrm{GT})_{10}$ | 50 | $48-54$ | Smear | - |
| ISSR7 | $(\mathrm{CA})_{10} \mathrm{~A}$ | 47.6 | $48-54$ | Poor | - |
| ISSR8 | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{5}$ | 33.3 | $48-54$ | No amplification | - |
| ISSR9 | $(\mathrm{CAG})_{6}$ | 66.7 | $48-54$ | No amplification | - |
| ISSR10 | $(\mathrm{GCCG})_{4}$ | 100 | $48-54$ | No amplification | - |
| ISSR11 | $(\mathrm{AG})_{8} \mathrm{C}$ | 52.9 | 48 | Good \& sharp | 19 |
| ISSR12 | $(\mathrm{AG})_{8} \mathrm{YT}$ | 50 | 48 | Good \& sharp | 84 |
| ISSR13 | $(\mathrm{GA})_{9} \mathrm{~T}$ | 47.4 | 50 | Good \& sharp | 17 |
| ISSR14 | $(\mathrm{TG})_{8} \mathrm{G}$ | 52.9 | 50 | Good \& sharp | 21 |
| ISSR15 | $(\mathrm{AC})_{8} \mathrm{C}$ | 52.9 | 49 | Good \& sharp | 31 |

With *Y = C or T
inbreeding coefficients within populations ( $F_{\text {IS }}=0,0.05,0.1,0.15,0.2$, and 0.25 ). Genetic relationships were generated based on Jaccard's (Jaccard, 1908) similarity coefficient by Sequential Agglomerative Hierarchical and Nested (SAHN) clustering using Unweighted Pair Group Method with arithmetic average (UPGMA) (Sokal and Michener, 1958) algorithm which implemented in NTSYS-pc 2.02 software package (Rohlf, 1998). Principle Coordinate Analysis (PCA) was carried out for all ISSR genotypes in order to visualize relationships among individuals of $A$. urmiana. The programs DCENTER, EIGEN, and MOD3D were used to design PCA platform which included in NTSYS-pc 2.02 package. The final matrix was derived from the Nei genetic distance matrix in order to evaluate integrity of ISSR dendogram. Mantel's test (1967) was performed to find out any significant correlation between geographical (km) and genetic distance (Nei) using NTSYS-pc 2.02 software with 1000 random permutation. Analysis of Molecular Variances (AMOVA) was conducted to quantify genetic variability within and among regions using Arlequin v. 3.5 package (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) with 10,000 permutations. The analysis was performed using binary matrix data sets. A total of 150 individuals were classified into 15 different groups corresponding to geographical localities. Overall population differentiation index ( $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ ) was calculated between populations using Arlequin v. 3.5 package.

A Bayesian model-based clustering algorithm was implemented to determine the population structure using the program STRUCTURE v. 2.2 (Pritchard et al., 2000a; Falush et al., 2007). This algorithm assigns individuals into potential number of clusters (K). Simulations were performed by taking 10 independent runs using the admixture model with correlated allele frequencies of $K=1-20$. ISSR genotypes were processed with a period of burn-in 50,000 and 20,000 MCMC repetitions (Jonathan et al., 2000). The most appropriate number of $K$ was determined by calculating the likelihood of the posterior probability (Ln $P$ (N/K) (Falush et al., 2007) and ad hoc quantity DK for each K partition. Posterior probability change with respect to $K$ between different runs is assigned as a method for determination of true $K$ value (Evanno et al., 2005).

### 3.2.4. Results

## DNA sequence diversity \& phylogeography

The DNA sequence alignment of the COI gene comprised a total of 561 bp .61 COI sequences revealed 508 invariable and 53 variable sites of which 23 were parsimony informative. Pairwise genetic distances (K2P) for COI sequences differed by maximally $0.7 \%$ among all localities. COI sequences can be grouped into 36 haplotypes. Four haplotypes were shared by two or more individuals: Haplotype H 1 is common and was found in 22 individuals (Table 17) occurring in all 15 populations. Haplotype diversity ranged from 0.6 to 1 and nucleotide diversity from 0.001 to 0.01 . The overall nucleotide diversity was low ( $\pi=$
0.005 ) but haplotype diversity ( $H D=0.87$ ) was highest among regions. COI sequences from NW, MW2, and SE2 localities showed the highest degree of variable characters (Table 14). Combining the localities from North, Middle, and South, both the highest and lowest values of HD and $\pi$ were observed in the southern part of the lake. Tajima's $D$ and Fu's Fs neutrality test yielded negative values with significant outcome (Tajima's $D=-2.355, P<0.01$, Fu's Fs $=-37.029, P<0.02$ ). ML and BI tree were reconstructed using one single model with all given parameters. In the present study, GTR model was used as a replacement for suggested TPM2uf+G model because suggested model was not implemented in PhyML package. We used GTR model since it is the most general neutral, independent, finite-sites model in molecular phylogenetic and has been applied in a phylogeography study on Artemia populations (Tavare, 1986; Muñoz et al., 2010).

The phylogenetic tree derived from COI sequences using ML and BI was based on 61 individuals which came from different localities. Only few distinct clades were recovered; however they were not supported by significant bootstrap values. Members within each clade usually came from different regions of the lake, indicating a high degree of connectivity between populations (Fig.15). This finding was corroborated by a haplotype-spanning network analysis which did not recover any distinct genetic structure (Fig. 16). By combining individuals into three main geographical regions, the most distribution of haplotypes is occurred in southern part of Lake Urmia (Fig. 17). Isolation by distance analysis revealed no significant correlation between geographical and genetic divergence ( $Z=3727958.2903, r=$ 0.1496 , one-sided $P=0.93$ ). A value of $R^{2}=0.0224$ for RMA regression analysis was calculated.

## Table 16 Construction of ISSR binary matrix for A. urmiana localities in Urmia Lake.

NC1-110010010100000001001000110000010010001000000010000111011100010010111001000110100000000100000011000101110000000110000010100001100000111111010000111011110111111001110000111

NC1-30111000010100000101100011000000001010100000000000011000000001011000100100001110100001010001101000000001010000000000101010011010100000101110010111111101111111011110110111

NC1-5 110100101000000010100001100000000111010000000010001110101101000000001000000001000000001001011100001100100111010100000000000001100111000001111001111111001111110101111110011








NC2-300000001000000000000000010000000000111000000000010110001000000001001000000000000000000001000000000000000100000000001100000000100000111001111100111011110111111101111001111110000
NC2-41001100000000000100000001000000010001011000000000011000000000001000000000000000000100000100000000000000000100000000010000000010000100000101100011101110011111111111100111111000

NC2. 61101001010000000101000011000000001110100000000100011101011010000000010000000010000000010010111000011001001110101000000000000011001110000011110011111110011111101011111100111


NC2-81001001110100010100000011010010000000100000000000011000000000000011000001000010000000000001001111011011100110100100101000000001010110010101000011111111011111111111110111110


NE-11101001000000000100010011010001011000101000000000011100100100001101100100001110000000010010001101000010010001010001100010000000000110001111010000100100100101100010101100011
NE-2 1001001000001000100000011000000001000101000000100011000000000000010000000001010010100010011001001001010110110010000001000100000000010000000000000000000101101101111010011010
NE-31000001000100000100000011000000011000101000000100011110100111101011000101101010010010011010100000011010011000010010111000000000000010000000000000001011000101100011101100110


NE-510010010101100001000001110100000001001010000000000111000001110111011000100010100000000100010101010000110101100100101011001000101001001011010100111111101111110111110110111

 NE-81101011110010000101000011010000001010100000010000011000000011010111111000000010110000010000001000001110001100000000000000000101000000001001000110110010101101100000000100000
 NE-101001001000001000100000011000000001000101000000100011000000000000010000000001010010100010011001001001010110110010000001000100000000010000000000000000000101101101111010011010 NW- 11001001110100010100000011010010000000100000000000011000000000000011000001000010000000000001001111011011100110100100101000000001010110010101000011111111011111111111110111110 NW-2 110100111010000010010001101000000010010100000010001110000010000110000010000001010000001000100011001101100111000100000100110000000001111110110011111111001111111111111110110 NW- 3100100101011000010000011101000000010010100000000001110000011101110110001000101000000001000101010100001101011001001010110010001010010010110101001111111101111110111111011011 NW- 411010011101000001000100110100000001001010000001000111010001000011011101000010111001000100000001000000100100010010010000101000000011110001010100111011100111111111111011011
 NW-61101001010000000101000011000000001110100000000100011101011010000000010000000010000000010010111000001100100111010100000000000001100111000001111001111110011111010111110011 NWW-71101001110100000101000011000000001010101000000000011101110011000001110000001010100000110001001001001101010001000000000000000100001101000000100100101000000100111001010110000 NWW 80010110100011001010010000000001110111100010001010010000110010010000001000000000000100000000010000000000001000000000000000000010000000000001101110001000100000100000000010000 NWW 9000000000000000010000001100000011000010000100111000100000000000000001001000001001000100000010101100000000100000000000001000100010000001000000000101000010001010100111001000000
 ME1-10010110100011000010101000001000110111110001101010011100000000001000010000000000001000000110000000000000000100000000000000000000000000000111111011101010011111110101101111110000 ME1-20010110100011001010010000000001110111100010001010010000110010010000001000000000000100000000010000000000000100000000000000000000100000000000001101110001000100000100000000010000

 ME1-51101001001000000000000011000000000000100000000000011011001001000101010100011101110001010000101100100110000000000000010100010010000001100101100011111110111111011111101110111





MW1-111011100101100001000000110100000110001000000001000110100000000100011010000000101100000101001011010110100111100010101110000010101001001011101101111111111111100011111111111
MW1-20101011010100000100000010000000011000100000000100011000010001010001101000001111100000010001101001011100000010000010101000010010000010001111111111111011111111111011111111111
MW1-310010011000000001010000100100000110001000000001000111011100010010010111001000100100000100110010000110111111011010100011110000011100100101111101111111101111111111111111111
MW1-410010110101100001010000010100100110001010000001000110000110100000011101000001101000000100100010000110110101101010001010000000000101100001101000111111101111111111111111111
MW1-5100101111000000010000011101000001111010110000000001110111101101000001010000101000000001001000110001001000001000100011101000000111011001011010001110110101111110001111111111
MW1-611010010100011001010000110100000010000000000000000110010100100010010100100000100010000100000001000001111010000000000100100010011000010000010000100000110010100000000000000000
MW1-71001001010100000101100011000000011000100000000000011110110110100111111010001011101010011000001001000010010001100001001000000000000111101001110111111111010011000000000000000

MW1-90000000101000000100100011010000000100000000010100011000000000000000000000000000000000000000001100010111001000011111100000000001011100111101100011111110111111100010011111111

WW2-11001001000000000100000010000000011000100000000100011011011000000001101101100010000100110000000100011101011110100110101000000101100110110111100011001110011111110011111111111








SE1-4100101111000000010000011101000001111010110000000001110111101101000001010000101000000001001000110001001000001000100011101000000111011001011010001110110101111110001111111111









SE2.41101001110100000101000011000000001010101000000000011101110011000001110000001010100000110001001001001101010001000000000000000100001101000000100100101000000100111001010110000.0|
SE2.5000000010000000010000101101000001000010000000000001100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000011100110000000000000000000000011111001111111101111100001111111111.000
SE2-610000001000000001000000110000000010001000000000000110100110010001011101000111000010000001101011000000000000000000110001010000000000000001111000111111100111111101111111101110.0|
SE2.71000001000100000100000011000000011000101000000100011110100111101011000101101010010010011010100000011010011000010010111000000000000010000000000000001011000101100011101100110.0|

SE2-91101001000000010110000011010000001010100000000000011010111001011100100100100010100001011110000010100000001000000000000000100000000001100111110011101111011111111111011111110.0|
SE2-101101001110100000100100011010000000100101000000100011100000100001100000100000010100000010001000110011011001110001000001001100000000011111011001111111001111111111111110110



SE33 31111011110000000101000011010000011000100000000100011010000110111101110010000111011000010010001001000001000001000000010100000000010000000111110011101010011111000111110111111

SE3.40000000100000000100001011010000010000100000000000011000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000111001100000000000000000000000111110011111111011111000011111111111000



SE3.71000001000100000100000011000000011000101000000100011110100111101011000101101010010010011010100000011010011000010010111000000000000010000000000000001011000101100011101100110.0|




 SC-31101011110010000101000011010000001010100000010000011000000011010111111000000010110000010000001000001110001100000000000000000101000000001001000110110010101101100000000100000.0|
 SC. 511010011101000001000100110100000001001010000001000111010001000011011101000010111001000100000001000000100100010010010000101000000011110001010100111011100111111111111011011 SC-60000000000000000100000011000000110000100001001110001000000000000000010010000010010001000000101011000000010000000000001000100010000001000000000101000010001010100111001000000 SC-71101011010100010100000011010000011000101000010000011001110011000001100101001010010100010000011000000000000000100001100000000001100010010001000000000000000001010010000000000.0|
 SC-9100000101000000010100001100000001100010100000000001101010110100110011010010110000000000001010110001000000000000000001000110111101100000011100111111011011111011110111110



 SC2 4000000010100000010010001101000000010000000001010001100000000000000000000000000000000000000000110001011100100001111110000000000101110011110110001111111011111110001001111111






 SC32 210000001000000001000000110000000010001000000000000110100110010001011101000111000010000001101011000000000000000000011000101000000000000000111100011111110011111110111111110111








 SW-211010110101000101000000110100000110001010000100000110011100110000011001010010100101000100000110000000000000000100001100000000001100010010001000000000000000001010010000000000
 SW- 41001011010110000101000001010010011000101000000100011000011010000001110100000110100000010010001000011011010110101000101000000000001011000011010001111111101111111111111111111 SW- 5111101111000000110100001101000000100010000000010001101100000010110111001000001001100001011111110000100000101000001111010000010001000000111001000100101001010111100101010011 SW- 60000000100000000101000011000000001010100000000000011010001001000110100110000010010001010010101000010010000101000010010001000110000000100111100011101111111111110011111110111

SW- 80010110100011000010101000001000110111110001101010011100000000001000010000000000001000000110000000000000000100000000000000000000000000000111111011101010011111111010110111111
SWW 9010101101010000010000001000000001100010000000010001100001000101000110100000111110000001000110100101110000001000001010100001001000001000111111111111101111111111101111111111


Table 17 Data matrix of polymorphic sites among 561 bp of CO for 61 individuals, number of unique haplotypes, and their frequencies. H indicates haplotype and F haplotype frequencies.

| H | $\begin{array}{r} 1111 \\ 1235780123 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1111122222 \\ & 4568912345 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2223333334 \\ & 6781245681 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4444444455 \\ & 2345678923 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5566 \\ & 5904 \end{aligned}$ | F | Individuals \& locations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | GCCATCTCGT |  | GCCCAACACT |  | TTGG | 22 | NC2-1, NE-2, NE-3, NE-5 <br> NW-3, NW-5, ME1-1, ME2-2 <br> ME2-4, MW1-1, MW1-3, MW1-5 <br> MW2-1, MW2-4, SE2-4, SE2-6 <br> SE3-2, SC1-2, SC1-3, SC3-4 |
| H2 | GCCAICICGI | . . . . . . . . . | . . . . . . T. . . | GGAIAATGCC | 1TGG | $1$ | SE1-1, $\mathrm{NC} 2-2$ |
| H3 | . . . . . . . . | . . . . . . . . | C...... T. |  |  | 1 | NC2-3 |
| H4 |  | . . . . . . . . . |  | . . G. |  | 1 | NC1-1 |
| H5 | .T.. |  | . .T. | . . A . |  | 1 | NC1-2 |
| H6 | . . .G..... | . . . . . . . . | , | . . . G. . . |  | 1 | NC1-3 |
| H7 | . | . . . . . . T. | . . . . . . . . |  | . . . | 1 | NE-1 |
| H8 |  | . G . . . . . . . | . | . . . . . A. |  | 1 | NE-4 |
| H9 |  | . . . . . . . . | . . . . G. . . | . A. . . . . T. |  | 1 | NE-6 |
| H10 | . . . . .G. . C | . |  | A...... T. | C. . | 1 | NW-1 |
| H11 | . . . . T.... | . . . . . G.T. |  |  |  | 1 | NW-2 |
| H12 | A. . . . . |  | . | . . . . C . T. | . A. | 1 | NW-4 |
| H13 |  | . . . . . . A. | . .T. |  |  | 1 | NW-6 |
| H14 | . . . . . G. . C | . | . . . . . . . . | A. C.....T | C... | 1 | ME1-2 |
| H15 | . .T...... |  |  | . . . . G. . |  | 1 | ME2-1 |
| H16 |  | . . .T..... ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | . . . . . . . . |  | . . . | 1 | ME2-3 |
| H17 | . . . . . . . . | C. . . . . . . | . . . . . . . . |  |  | 1 | ME2-5 |
| H18 |  | . . C. . |  |  | C... | 1 | ME2-6 |
| H19 | . . G. . . | . . . . . . . . | . . . . . . . . | A. | . . . | 3 | MW1-2, SE3-1, SE1-2 |
| H20 | A. |  |  |  |  | 2 | MW1-4, SC3-2 |
| H21 | .T..... . . | . . . . .. . . | , |  | - | 1 | MW1-6 |
| H22 | .T..C.... | . . . . G . . . | . . T. |  |  | 1 | MW2-2 |
| H23 |  |  | . . . G. . . . |  |  | 1 | MW2-3 |
| H24 | .T....G... |  | .T.... . . . | A. . . . . . . | . | 1 | MW2-5 |
| H25 | . . . . . G. . |  | . . . . . . T. . | A. |  | 1 | SE2-1 |
| H2 6 | . . . T. . | . . . . . . . . | . T. . . . . | . . . . . . . . |  | 1 | SE2-2 |
| H27 |  | . . . . . . . T. | . T. . . . . | . | . C. . | 1 | SE2-3 |
| H28 |  | . . . . . | .T. . . . . . | . . . . . . . . | . . . A | 1 | SE2-5 |
| H29 | .T....... | $\cdot$ | . . . . . . . | . . . . . . . . | . . . | 2 | SE3-3, SC3-1 |
| H30 |  | - | . . . . . . . . C |  |  | 1 | SC1-1 |
| H31 |  | - | . . | . . C. . . . | . | 1 | SC2-1 |
| H32 | . . . . . . $A$. | -•••••• | . | . . . C. . . | . | 1 | SC2-2 |
| H33 |  | . . . T. . . . G | . |  |  | 1 | SC2-3 |
| H34 | - | . . . . . . A. | . . . . | $\cdot$ | - | 1 | SC3-3 |
| H35 |  | . . . . . . T. | . . . . . . T. |  |  | 1 | SE1-3 |
| H36 | . . . . . . . . | . . . T. . . . . | . . . . . . . . | . . . . . . . . | . . . | 1 | SW-1 |



Fig. 13. Sampling sites with proportions of genetic subtypes within each locality based on Bayesian analysis of $A$. urmiana (STRUCTURE, $K=6$ ). 1-6: distinct genetic clusters.


Fig. 14. A representative of ISSR gel for A. urmiana populations using (AG) $)_{8} Y$. Geographical localities are shown above the gel.


Fig. 15. Phylogeography of $A$. urmiana reconstructed from nucleotide sequences of COI based on GTR model from 61 individuals represented by ML tree. Bootstrap values for ML (1000 replicates), and Bayesian posterior probabilities are denoted for each major node from left to right. Northern, middle, and southern sampling sites are shown as black, white and gray circles.


Fig. 16. Maximum parsimony haplotype network of 61 COI sequences. Frequency of the observed haplotypes is reflected by relative size of circles; small circles represent single haplotypes. Bullets depict mutational steps. A-O: abbreviations for individual sampling sites. Number of haplotype frequencies for major haplotype (center circle) indicated as superscripts for each locality; all others have frequency 1.


Fig. 17. Haplotype distribution map of $A$. urmiana individuals for northern, middle and southern geographical regions. Frequency of each haplotype is reflected by relative size of each segment. Number of individuals indicated as superscripts for each haplotype.

## ISSR profiling

Similar to insects (Hundsdörfer et al., 2005), Artemia has a high number of microsatellite loci in its genome. As a consequence, ISSR-profiles can differ between individuals. In total, 172 reproducible and scorable ISSR bands were available for the analysis. The average number of polymorphic bands was 113.2 among all regions. The highest and lowest levels of genetic variability were observed in MW1, MW2 ( $P P L=135$, i.e. $78.5 \%, H j=0.29 \pm 0.014$ ) and NC2 ( $P P L=94$, i.e. $54.7 \%, H j=0.22 \pm 0.017$ ). The values of diversity statistics differed only slightly with an increasing $F_{I S}$.

Presuming a subtle deviation from HWE, all genetic diversity indexes were calculated based on calculated inbreeding coefficient level ( $F_{\text {IS }}=0.25$ ). Nei's gene diversity $\left(H_{j}\right)$ ranged between 0.2 to 0.29 , with an average of $0.24 \pm 0.007$, demonstrating a substantial level of genetic variability among all localities (Table 18). By grouping 15 sampling regions into three distinct areas North, Middle, and South, the highest amount of genetic variability was detected in the Middle ( $P P L=90.7 \%$, Hw (average gene diversity) $=0.26 \pm 0.02, \mathrm{Ht}$ (total gene diversity) $=0.3$ and South regions of the lake $(P P L=95.9 \%, H w=0.24 \pm 0.007, H t=$ 0.3 ).

Intraspecific relationships were computed based on Jaccard similarity coefficient and subsequently an actual cluster analysis was performed based on genetic distances by the UPGMA method. The UPGMA analysis recovered four separate groups with random distribution of individuals in each group. The Mantel test for ISSR data did not reveal any significant correlation between genetic and geographic distances ( $r=0.083, P=0.75$ ). Relationships among individuals were illustrated by principle coordinate analysis (PCA), which confirmed random distribution of individuals in Lake Urmia.

## ISSR Genetic population structure

A hierarchical analysis of genetic differentiation based on ISSR data indicated that most of the genetic variation occurred within individual localities (89\%). AMOVA test for ISSR data revealed low levels of differentiation between localities ( $F_{\mathrm{ST}}=0.1, P<0.05$ ). Bayesian clustering analysis by STRUCTURE was carried out to determine the total genetic variability using the admixture model without prior information of sampling localities. The calculation of DK and $K$ consistently revealed a peak which was considered to detect the best fit $K$ value. Genetic composition of individuals per locality was assigned to several segments depending on genetic similarities of individuals. The first highest posterior probability was obtained for 6 segments $(K=6)$ (Table 19) represented by different colours in Fig. 13. Each individual is represented by a single vertical line which is allocated to one of the six different segments. Each colour represents one segment and proportion of each colour is based on individuals allele frequencies identified by STRUCTURE. Similar to the situation of COI, in each locality
individuals are present whose genotypes were not geographically coherent, indicating a random relationship of individuals across geographical localities in the lake (Fig. 18).

Table 18 Genetic variation among $A$. urmiana populations according to ISSR markers $(\mathrm{AG})_{8} \mathrm{C},(\mathrm{AG})_{8} \mathrm{YT},(\mathrm{GA})_{9} \mathrm{~T},(\mathrm{TG})_{8} \mathrm{G}$, and $(\mathrm{AC})_{8} \mathrm{C}$.

| Location | $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $\mathbf{( N P L})$ | $\mathbf{P P L}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{( H )} \mathbf{\pm S D}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC1 | 10 | 122 | 70.9 | $0.26 \pm 0.014$ |
| NC2 | 10 | 94 | 54.7 | $0.22 \pm 0.017$ |
| NE | 10 | 104 | 60.5 | $0.22 \pm 0.015$ |
| NW | 10 | 116 | 67.4 | $0.21 \pm 0.014$ |
| ME1 | 10 | 109 | 63.4 | $0.24 \pm 0.015$ |
| ME2 | 10 | 107 | 62.2 | $0.2 \pm 0.014$ |
| MW1 | 10 | 135 | 78.5 | $0.29 \pm 0.014$ |
| MW2 | 10 | 135 | 78.5 | $0.29 \pm 0.014$ |
| SE1 | 10 | 108 | 62.8 | $0.25 \pm 0.016$ |
| SE2 | 10 | 104 | 60.5 | $0.22 \pm 0.015$ |
| SE3 | 10 | 105 | 61 | $0.23 \pm 0.016$ |
| SC1 | 10 | 115 | 66.9 | $0.25 \pm 0.015$ |
| SC2 | 10 | 122 | 70.9 | $0.26 \pm 0.014$ |
| SC3 | 10 | 108 | 62.8 | $0.21 \pm 0.014$ |
| SW | 10 | 114 | 66.3 | $0.26 \pm 0.016$ |
| Total | 150 | 113.2 | 65.8 | $0.24 \pm 0.007$ |
| (mean) |  |  |  |  |

* $N=$ number of individuals examined, $N P L=$ number of polymorphic loci, $P P L=$ percentage of polymorphic loci, $\boldsymbol{H j}=$ Nei's gene diversity (expected heterozygosity).

Table 19 Estimated posterior probabilities and delta $K$ for each $K$ partition.

| K | Posterior probabilities (Pp) | Delta K (DK) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.098174 | 12860.9 |
| 2 | 2.002665 | 308.7586 |
| 3 | 19.02741 | 4.984388 |
| 4 | 25.08456 | 0.05607 |
| 5 | 35.32541 | 0.08571 |
| 6 | 229.7114 | 1.237647 |
| 7 | 80.87119 | 0.823651 |
| 8 | 96.37787 | 0.188944 |
| 9 | 115.4721 | 0.340862 |
| 10 | 45.23025 | 3.497659 |
| 11 | 177.4118 | 0.63626 |
| 12 | 119.7991 | 0.235144 |
| 13 | 90.53564 | 3.124294 |
| 14 | 707.6359 | 0.421149 |
| 15 | 245.3703 | 0.374414 |
| 16 | 172.037 | 2.328511 |
| 17 | 1332.544 | 0.472307 |
| 18 | 695.4783 | 0.077544 |
| 19 | 194.8597 | 0.809865 |
| 20 | 93.64482 | 2.49325 |



Fig. 18. Bar plot of $A$. urmiana specimens showing admixture proportion of individuals for each locality calculated by STRUCTURE ( $K=6$ ). Sampling sites are shown below bar plot. In bar plot, each individual corresponds to a thin vertical line and the proportion of each locality is represented by its corresponding colours.

### 3.2.5. Discussion

## Genetic variability and population structure

The present study was performed to determine genetic variability and population structure of the endemic $A$. urmiana with a comparatively restricted distribution in Lake Urmia. Sequences of COl are useful to assess evolutionary changes and phylogeographic structure in closely related species as well as within single species (Hebert et al., 2003). Although, narrow geographic distributions are usually associated with low genetic diversity (Hamrick and Godt, 1989), A. urmiana showed an unexpected high level of haplotype diversity ( $H D=$ 0.87 ) which is similar to that of another decapod crustacean Aristeus antennatus ( $H=0.8-$ 0.9) (Maggio et al., 2009); whereas a lower haplotype diversity had been observed in Artemia salina ( $H=0.58$ ) (Muñoz et al., 2008). The high level of haplotype diversity might be due to the large population size, and particular life-history traits of $A$. urmiana populations in the lake. The haplotype network shows a star-like topology with many rare haplotypes originating from a central haplogroup. In agreement with an earlier RFLP analysis (Eimanifar et al., 2006), the majority of variation was found in the southern part where most of the rivers discharge into the lake.

It has been postulated that high haplotype diversity associated with low nucleotide diversity is a possible sign of genetic bottleneck, usually followed by recent population expansion (Alves et al., 2001). The marked haplotype diversity could be interpreted as an indication for an allopatric speciation process. This would demand that Lake Urmia must have been fragmented into several small lakes during an earlier stage of its history, which would allow the genetic differentiation of allopatric populations. When sea level came back to present level, this fragmentation stopped and the existing haplotypes became mixed all over the lake. Indeed, the lake has experienced substantial changes ecological conditions such as water level, salinity and temperature (Kelts and Shahrabi, 1986; Djamali et al., 2008b). A significant negative value for neutrality and occurrence of star-shaped haplotype networks suggest a recent population expansion of $A$. urmiana in the lake (Chenoweth and Hughes, 2003) which would agree with our assumption. The potential of bottleneck existed in $A$. urmiana could be assessed by studying samples which date back to 1990 and earlier.

Lack of a clear dispersal genetic structure among sampling regions is usually attributed to an excessive amount of gene flow which is sufficient to prevent genetic drift or natural selection (Maggio et al., 2009). A. urmiana showed a random relationship of individuals resulting in a large panmictic population. Panmixia in marine environments is due to an obvious lack of physical barriers to gene flow (Cowen et al., 2000). Panmixia has been observed in the water bloom-forming cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa in which multilocus sequence analysis demonstrated five intraspecific lineages with a high frequency
of recombination (Tanabe and Watanabe, 2011). Absence of population structure with high amount of gene flow was observed in the crustacean Aristeus antennatus and the marine fish Thunnus obesus (Chiang et al., 2006; Maggio et al., 2009). Continental zooplankters such as Artemia appear to be panmictic due to passive transport of cysts through wind and waterfowl-mediated dispersal (Green et al., 2005; Maniatsi et al., 2009). High dispersal ability of $A$. urmiana via bird species, hydrological connectivity and anthropogenic influences (intentional and nonintentional) could explain the panmixia hypothesis also for A. urmiana. The short-distance dispersal of fairy shrimp Ranchipodopsis wolfi by wind and the longdistance dispersal of Daphnia lumholtzi by migratory waterfowl are other examples in this regard (Brendonck and Riddoch, 1999; Havel et al., 2000). Salt concentrations can shape different ecological habitats in saline lakes (Hontoria and Amat, 1992). However, Lake Urmia does not have significant differences in salinity between its northern and southern part (Agh, 2007). This implies a lack of distinct ecological zones in the lake. Our genetic data agree with the idea that a strong ecological differentiation does not exist in Lake Urmia. The evolutionary pattern of $A$. urmiana is consistent with morphological variation investigated by Asem et al., (2007) indicating random distribution of morphotypes across the lake.

## Population genetic differentiation

Genomic analyses by ISSR fingerprinting have proven to be reliable and advantageous in their cost-benefit outcome for detection of DNA polymorphisms in different taxa (Hundsdörfer et al., 2005; Sarwat, 2012). The ISSR technique had been used by Hou et al., (2006) to examine 10 parthenogenetic Artemia strains from China. A similar population diversity was found in these Chinese populations $(H=0.29)$ as in our study. The $F_{\text {ST }}$ parameter can vary between 0 (absence of genetic divergence) and 1 (fixation of alleles) within the population. High $F_{\text {ST }}$ values are indicative of high genetic differentiation in populations (Ruiz et al., 2011). Multiple clades of $A$. urmiana individuals have been revealed by means of applying two clustering methods (UPGMA and STRUCTURE). The UPGMA dendrogram and PCA tree topology are congruent with morphological patterns indicating the presence of various genetic lineages of $A$. urmiana with random distribution of individuals in the lake (Asem et al., 2007).

## Suggested conservation measures

Desiccation of Lake Urmia is severely progressing due to ecological changes and human activities. If these influences continue, they will cause a loss of genetic diversity as well as a dwindling of food resources for many organisms. Although Artemia is rather a hardy organism, the almost endemic $A$. urmiana population appears to be doomed to extinction unless effective conservation measures are developed and implemented enabling a sustainable exploitation of Artemia in the future. In the present drought conditions, annual
population density assessment of $A$. urmiana is urgently required to estimate the size of the actual cyst bank throughout Lake Urmia. Establishment of ex situ collections (e.g., a live cyst bank) from different geographical locations within Lake Urmia could be a first step to conserve the diversity of genetic resources of $A$. urmiana.

### 3.3 Artemia biodiversity in Asia with the focus on the phylogeography of the introduced American species Artemia franciscana Kellogg, 1906

### 3.3.1. Abstract

Asia harbors a diverse group of sexual and asexual Artemia species, including the invasive Artemia franciscana, which is native to the Americas. The phylogeny of Asian Artemia species and the phylogeography of the introduced A. franciscana from 81 sampling localities in Eurasia, Africa and America were elucidated using mitochondrial (COI) and nuclear DNA (ITS1) sequences. According to a COI phylogeny, 7 distinctive genetic groups were recognized, with a complex phylogeographic structure among Asian Artemia. A haplotype complex which includes parthenogenetic lineages is distributed in 39 inland geographical localities in Asia, illustrating a wide distribution with a narrow genetic structure on this continent. The invasive A. franciscana was discovered in 31 geographical localities along the southern and eastern coastal regions of Asia. Three sexual species (A. sinica, $A$. tibetiana and $A$. urmiana) have a restricted distribution in certain geographical localities in Asia. In contrast to COI phylogeny reconstruction, ITS1 sequences showed 5 genetic clades which were not fully consistent with the COI tree, indicating gene flow and hybridization. Asian A. franciscana showed higher haplotype diversity as compared to the source population from the Great Salt Lake (USA), which could be attributed to multiple introductions by mass dispersal in Asia via human activities. The invasive success of A. franciscana in Asia could lead to a long-term biodiversity disturbance of the autochthonous Artemia species on the continent.
Keywords: Asian Artemia, biodiversity, mtDNA-COI, nDNA-ITS1, phylogeography, introduced species.

### 3.3.2. Introduction

Artemia (Crustacea, Anostraca) - a cosmopolitan halophilic microcrustacean - is the most conspicuous inhabitant of hypersaline lakes, salt ponds, lagoons, and man-made saltworks. The genus has a worldwide distribution and is composed of six well-described bisexual species and parthenogenetic lineages with diverse ploidy levels (Gajardo and Beardmore, 2012; Scalone and Rabet, 2013).

Two bisexual species are native to the New World, namely A. persimilis Piccinelli and Prosdocimi, 1968 (Argentina and Chile) and A. franciscana Kellogg, 1906 (North, Central and South America). Four bisexual species live in the Old World: A. salina Linnaeus, 1758 (Mediterranean basin), A. urmiana Günther, 1899 (Lake Urmia, Iran, and Crimean salt lakes, Abatzopoulos et al., 2009), A. tibetiana Abatzopoulos et al., 1998 (Tibetan plateau), and A. sinica Cai, 1989 (China and Mongolia). The asexual parthenogenetic populations are
sometimes termed "A. parthenogenetica" Barigozzi 1974 (Browne and Bowen, 1991), although this binomen is not generally accepted (Abatzopoulos et al., 2002). They are widely distributed over Eurasia, extending from the Canary Islands to China, and they have been introduced in Australia (Maccari et al., 2013b). Abatzopoulos et al., (2002) suggested that obligate parthenogenic Artemia populations show different levels of ploidy and they cannot readily be considered as belonging to a single species, for example A. parthenogenetica. They proposed using the general terms 'parthenogenetic populations' or 'parthenogenetic strains' as an alternative without taxonomic consequences. According to our experience, we would be even more cautious: in most cases samples were analyzed from which it was not known whether the animals were sexual or parthenogenetic. As a consequence, we have here introduced the term Eurasian Haplotype Complex (EHC) to describe a group of populations sharing the same basic haplotype. EHC includes documented parthenogenetic populations, but it needs to be established whether all EHC members are parthenogenetic. We have kept the term 'A. parthenogenetica' only when literature is cited in which this term was used.

Artemia has been widely used as a live food in the aquaculture industry and the aquarium pet trade (Van Stappen, 2008). Since 1950, A. franciscana cysts have been exported intentionally from two sources in the USA, namely San Francisco Bay (SFB) and the Great Salt Lake (GSL), to the world aquaculture markets, which has caused a rapid worldwide dispersal of this American species (Van Stappen, 2008; Muñoz, 2009).

In the last three decades, A. franciscana has been introduced into the Western Mediterranean areas, including Portugal, Morocco, Spain, Italy, France, Tunisia, which eventually has led to co-existence with autochthonous $A$. salina and " $A$. parthenogenetica" (Amat et al., 2005; 2007; Ben Naceur et al., 2010; Muñoz and Pacios, 2010; Scalone and Rabet, 2013; Muñoz et al., 2014). In conditions of co-existence, the exotic species A. franciscana may outcompete local strains, which might bring about a serious biodiversity threat for native Artemia populations (Amat et al., 2007).

Biodiversity and phylogeographic patterns of Artemia populations throughout America and Europe have been well documented, whereas knowledge of Asian Artemia population genetics and phylogeography is fragmentary (Muñoz et al., 2008, 2010, 2013; Maniatsi et al., 2009). Asia (Central and Eastern), a vast region with a pronounced topographical and climatological diversity, harbours diverse Artemia species (Van Stappen, 2008). In Europe, A. salina and parthenogenetic populations occur, and the co-existence of both has been documented for a number of locations such as the Iberian Peninsula. America is dominated by $A$. franciscana throughout the continent, with the exception of $A$. persimilis in the extreme south of South America (Amat et al., 2007; Muñoz et al., 2013).

The aim of the present study was to assess species phylogeny and phylogeography of Artemia across Asia, and to determine population genetic diversity indices of introduced $A$. franciscana in Asia as compared with native A. franciscana populations in America. To achieve these aims, mitochondrial and nuclear genes were sequenced from a comprehensive sample set which had been systematically collected from 70 relevant areas across Asia. For comparison, the sample set also included 11 areas in America, Europe, and Africa with other Artemia species, including native A. franciscana and A. persimilis from the Americas, the introduced $A$. franciscana from Europe, and native $A$. salina from Europe and Africa.

### 3.3.3. Materials and methods

## Origin of cyst samples and sample analysis

In total, 497 individuals of Artemia are collected from 81 geographical localities throughout Asia, Europe, Africa, and America. The sampling localities with their geographical coordinates, abbreviation, number of individuals analyzed, countries, and IPMB/ARC code numbers are documented in Table 20. Total genomic DNA was extracted according to standard protocols, which were described in our previous study (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). All extracted DNA samples were stored at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until needed.

We amplified and sequenced two genes: the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and the nuclear Internal Transcribed Spacer 1 (ITS1). Both genes have been extensively applied to deduce the phylogeographic structure of Artemia (Baxevanis et al., 2006; Muñoz et al., 2008). PCR amplifications were performed in a final reaction volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in a thermocycler (Biometra, Tgradient, Germany) with Taq DNA polymerase (Bioron, GmbH, Germany) according to conditions published previously (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013).

PCR products of about $\sim 710$ bp for COI and $\sim 1500$ bp for ITS1 were clear and sharp according to agarose gel electrophoresis. Amplified products were purified according to standard procedures described by Eimanifar and Wink, (2013), before sequencing. Sequencing was done bidirectionally, using the same primers as in the PCR amplifications and the ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit v. 3.1 by StarSEQ GmbH (Mainz, Germany). The sequencing protocol consisted of 33 cycles of initial denaturation at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , denaturation at $96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 s , annealing at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 s , and extension at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 min . The PCR products were analyzed on an ABI 3730 automated capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Germany).

Complementary strands of each gene were checked manually and unambiguously aligned using the Bioedit sequence alignment vers. 7.0.9.0 (Hall, 1999). There was no evidence of premature stop codons and nuclear pseudogene copies. COI sequences were translated to amino acid sequences using MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013).

Our own DNA dataset includes 497 sequences for COI; additionally, 44 sequences from GenBank were included for genetic comparison. Only one single specimen of Artemia as a representative of each locality was used to sequence ITS1, because ITS1shows low variation within populations (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). Our own ITS1 dataset includes 80 sequences from localities examined, 15 sequences from 15 geographical localities of Urmia Lake, Iran (spread over the northern, middle, and southern parts of the lake), and finally 30 sequences from GenBank. In total, our DNA dataset thus includes 125 sequences for ITS1 gene. The List of GenBank sequences used in the present study is shown in Table 21.

The reference COI sequences, retrieved from GenBank, included representatives from each species of Artemia (A. franciscana DQ119645, A. persimilis HM998992; DQ119647; EF615593-94, A. salina EU543467, A. urmiana JX512748-JX512808, A. sinica DQ119648, A. tibetiana EF615587 \& "A. parthenogenetica" GU591380). Reference sequences for ITS1 (GenBank) included A. franciscana DQ201297, A. persimilis DQ201263, A. salina DQ201306, A. urmiana DQ201276, A. sinica DQ201285, A. tibetiana DQ201270 and "A. parthenogenetica" DQ201272. COI datasets were rooted using Daphnia pulex (GQ466410) and ITS1 datasets were rooted using Streptocephalus proboscideus (AY519840) as outgroups. The same zooplankton organisms have been used as outgroups in several phylogenetic studies of the genus Artemia (Baxevanis et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2013). All deposited sequences are shown in Table 20.

## Phylogenetic analyses

A statistical test for substitution saturation effect was carried out using the program DAMBE5 (Xia, 2013). Phylogenetic analyses were carried out using Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) approaches. The best-fit nucleotide substitution model based on Akaike's information criterion (AIC) was used to construct ML and BI trees using jModelTest v. 0.1.1 (Posada, 2008). The best fit models for the mitochondrial and nuclear DNA dataset were TIM3+G and GTR+G. In the COI dataset, the GTR model was used as a replacement for the suggested TIM3+G model because the suggested model was not implemented in the PhyML package. ML tree calculations were carried out with all proposed parameters using PhyML ver. 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010). A Bayesian tree was determined using MrBayes ver. 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). Analysis was performed using two simultaneous runs, 10,000,000 generations, a random starting tree with four independent Markov chains (MCMC), and tree sampling every 500 generations. We discarded the first $20 \%$ of the generations as burn-in, and posterior probabilities were determined by constructing a $50 \%$ majority rule consensus for the remaining trees. The trees were visualized using FigTree v. 1.3.1 (Rambaut, 2009).

Genetic diversity indices were determined with the mtDNA-COI dataset for all species using DNAsp v. 5.00 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). These include number of haplotypes (H), number of variable sites $(V$ ), total number of nucleotide substitutions $(M)$, nucleotide diversity $(\pi)$, haplotype diversity ( $H D$ ) and average number of nucleotide differences ( $K$ ) per population.

Relationships among mitochondrial and nuclear haplotypes were reconstructed based on the median joining network implemented in the Network program (Bandelt et al., 1999). In order to find potential source populations of Asian A. franciscana, haplotype networks were constructed for A. franciscana individuals from Asia, Europe, and Americas. A phylogeny network was also constructed for closely related Asian species, including $A$. urmiana, A. tibetiana, A. sinica, and the Eurasian Haplotype Complex (EHC). Haplotype network was also constructed for all ITS1 individuals.

Table 20 Origin of Artemia samples from Asia, Europe, Africa, and America. Samples are presented according to the alphabetical order of country of origin. IPMB = Institute of Pharmacy and Molecular Biotechnology, Heidelberg University, Germany; ARC = Laboratory of Aquaculture \& Artemia Reference Center, Ghent University, Belgium.

| No. | IPMB voucher <br> /ARC <br> code number | Abbreviation for locality | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { size } \end{gathered}$ | Locality, Province, State or District | Country | Geographic coordinates | GenBank accession numbers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 57283 | REL | 4 | Relizane Sebkha | Algeria | 00³9'E-35 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691133 - KF691136 |
| 2 | 57296 | ING | 6 | Ingebright North | Canada | $50^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-109^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | KF691137 - KF691142 |
| 3 | 57286 | PLU | 5 | Pedra de Lume, Sal Island | Cape Verde | $16^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-22^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | KF691143 - KF691147 |
| 4 | $55582 / 1317$ | BAM | 6 | Bameng, Inner Mongolia | China | $40^{\circ} 46{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-107^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691148 - KF691153 |
| 5 | 64755/1589 | BEID | 3 | Beidaba, Shandong | China | $117^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691154 - KF691156 |
| 6 | 64746 /1241 | BEI | 3 | Beidachi, Inner Mongolia | China | $107^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691157 - KF691159 |
| 7 | 57245 | BBA | 6 | Bohai Bay area | China | $119^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-39^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691160 - KF691165 |
| 8 | $64756 / 1233$ | CAN | 4 | Canghzhou, Hebei | China | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-117^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691166 - KF691169 |
| 9 | 64767 /1210 | CHE | 3 | Chengkou, Shandong | China | $117{ }^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691170 - KF691172 |
| 10 | 64754/1665 | DAG | 3 | Da Gang, Shandong | China | $120^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-36^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691173 - KF691175 |
| 11 | 57242 | DLI | 11 | Dalian, Liaoning | China | $121^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691176 - KF691186 |
| 12 | 64762 /1216 | DON | 3 | Dongjiagou, Liaoning | China | 121${ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-39^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691187 - KF691189 |
| 13 | $64763 / 1668$ | DOG | 4 | Dongying, Shandong | China | $118^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691190 - KF691193 |
| 14 | $65831 / 1577$ | ERY | 5 | Eryan, Shandong | China | $117^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691194 - KF691198 |
| 15 | $64744 / 1199$ | GAH | 6 | Gahai, Qinghai | China | 97 $37^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691199 - KF691204 |
| 16 | $64765 / 1669$ | HAI | 3 | Haixing, Hebei | China | 1170 $47^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691205 - KF691207 |
| 17 | $65627 / 1211$ | HAN | 7 | Hangu, Tianjin | China | $117^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-39^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691208 - KF691214 |


| 18 | 65829 /1524 | JIN | 4 | Jingyu Lake, Xinjiang | China | 89 ${ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-36^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691215 - KF691218 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | $64758 / 1592$ | LEG | 3 | Leguantai, Shandong | China | $117^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691219 - KF691221 |
| 20 | 57237 | LUA | 6 | Luannan, Hebei | China | 118은'E-3930'N | KF691222-KF691227 |
| 21 | 57240 /1694 | NAN | 5 | Nanpu, Hebei | China | 118²0'E-390.0'N | KF691228-KF691232 |
| 22 | 64742 /1077 | SHA | 3 | Shanyao, Fuijan | China | $118^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-25^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691233 - KF691235 |
| 23 | 64760/1578 | SID | 3 | Sidao, Shandong | China | $117^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691236-KF691238 |
| 24 | 64766 /1597 | SIK | 6 | Sikou, Shandong | China | $120^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691239 - KF691244 |
| 25 | 57250 | TIB1 | 5 | Tibet area | China | $30^{\circ} 46$ ' $\mathrm{N}-85^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691245 - KF691249 |
| 26 | 57244 | TTA | 7 | Tanggu, Tianjin | China | 117* ${ }^{\circ} 0^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-39^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691250 - KF691256 |
| 27 | 57239 /1696 | WUD | 5 | Wudi, Shandong | China | $117^{\circ} 56{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691257 - KF691261 |
| 28 | $64753 / 1598$ | WUZ | 3 | Wuzhidui, Hebei | China | $116^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-39^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691262 - KF691264 |
| 29 | $55580 / 1262$ | XIA | 4 | Xiaocaidan, Qinghai | China | 95으'E-37NN | KF691265 - KF691268 |
| 30 | $64745 / 1206$ | XIE | 9 | Xiechi Lake, Shanxi | China | $111^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-35^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691269 - KF691277 |
| 31 | $64757 / 1582$ | XIN | 3 | Xinhu, Shandong | China | $117^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691278 - KF691280 |
| 32 | $64759 / 1214$ | YAG | 3 | Yangkou, Shandong | China | 120ํ10'E-37${ }^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691281 - KF691283 |
| 33 | $64761 / 1596$ | YANH | 3 | Yanhua, Shandong | China | $117^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-38^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691284 - KF691286 |
| 34 | 64764 /1073 | YIN | 4 | Yingkou, Liaoning | China | $122^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-40^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691287 - KF691290 |
| 35 | 57246 | YUA | 7 | Yuantong (or Jinshan), Shanghai | China | $120^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-30^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691291 - KF691297 |
| 36 | 66311 | YUN | 5 | Yuncheng, Shanxi | China | $110^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-34^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691298 - KF691302 |
| 37 | 57243 | ZHS | 13 | Zhan hua, Shandong | China | $118^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691303 - KF691315 |
| 38 | 57248 | TIB2 | 3 | Tibet area | China | $31^{\circ} 37{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 88 $59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691316 - KF691318 |
| 39 | 57308 | SCE | 5 | Salina Cero | Colombia | 09²7'N - 75 ${ }^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | KF691319 - KF691323 |
| 40 | 62948 | KEL | 4 | Kelambakkam, Madras | India | $13^{\circ} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 79ำ7'E | KF691324-KF691327 |
| 41 | 62949 | TUT | 5 | Tuticorin, Tamil Nadu | India | $8^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-78^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691328 - KF691332 |


| 42 | 57227 | INC | 5 | Incheh Lake, Gonbad, Golestan | Iran | 37은'N - 54은'E | KF691333-KF691337 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 57223 | LAGW | 5 | Lagoons around Urmia Lake, West Azarbaijan | Iran |  | KF691338-KF691342 |
| 44 | 57224 | LAGE | 3 | Lagoons around Urmia Lake, Dasht-E-Tabriz, East Azarbaijan | Iran | 37ํํ7' N - 45은'E | KF691343-KF691345 |
| 45 | 57228 | MAHR | 5 | Maharlu Lake, Shiraz, Fars | Iran | 29응'N - 52ํ47'E | KF691346-KF691350 |
| 46 | 57230 | MAH | 6 | Mahshahr port, Mahshahr | Iran |  | KF691351 - KF691356 |
| 47 | 57226 | MIG | 5 | Mighan Salt Lake, Arak | Iran | 34응'N - 49ํ.50'E | KF691357 - KF691361 |
| 48 | 57229 | NOG | 5 | Nough catchment, Nough, Kerman | Iran | 30ํ60'N - 56ํํ0'E | KF691362 - KF691366 |
| 49 | 57225 | QOM | 6 | Qom Salt Lake, Qom | Iran | $34040{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-51^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691367 - KF691372 |
| 50 | 57211 | URM | 61 | Urmia Lake | Iran | 45028'E-37035'N | JX512748 - JX512808 |
| 51 | 57255 | ABG | 3 | Abu-Ghraib, Baghdad | Iraq | $44{ }^{\circ} 30 \cdot \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691373 - KF691375 |
| 52 | 57256 | GAA | 8 | Garmat Ali, Basrah | Iraq |  | KF691376-KF691383 |
| 53 | 57305 | YAL | 7 | Yallash | Jamaica | $17^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-76^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | KF691384 - KF691390 |
| 54 | 57232 | ARS | 7 | Aral Sea | Kazakhstan | $45052^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 58으' E | KF691391 - KF691397 |
| 55 | 57233 | ASS | 6 | Aral Sea (South) | Kazakhstan | $44^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 59은'E | KF691398-KF691403 |
| 56 | 57235 | KYZ | 5 | Kyzylkak | Kazakhstan | $53^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-73^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691404 - KF691408 |
| 57 | 57234 | NCS | 6 | North Caspian sea | Kazakhstan | $47^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-51^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691409 - KF691414 |
| 58 | 57236 | PAV | 6 | Pavlodar | Kazakhstan | $52^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ - 76057'E | KF691415 - KF691420 |
| 59 | 57231 | TUZ | 14 | Tuz Lake, Pavlodar | Kazakhstan | 51ㅇ19'N - 78038'E | KF691421 - KF691434 |
| 60 | 57301 | BLO | 4 | Bahía de Lobos, Sonora | Mexico | $27^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-110^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | KF691435 - KF691438 |
| 61 | 57257 | KOC | 10 | Korangi Creek, Karachi coast | Pakistan |  | KF691439 - KF691448 |
| 62 | 57279 | SLU | 6 | Santa Luzia, Tavira | Portugal | $37^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-07^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | KF691449 - KF691454 |
| 63 | $57325 / 1720$ | BYA | 5 | Bolshoye Yarovoye, Altayskiy | Russia | 52ํ50'N - 78041'E | KF691455 - KF691459 |


| 64 | 55586/1702 | EBE | 7 | Ebeyty, Omskaya | Russia | 54ํ59'N - 73023'E | KF691460 - KF691466 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 55581/1641 | GOR | 5 | Gorkoye Lake | Russia | 55021'N - 68으'E | KF691467 - KF691471 |
| 66 | $64747 / 1389$ | KUC | 3 | Kuchukskoye, Altayskiy | Russia | $52^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-79^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691472 - KF691474 |
| 67 | 55579 /1528 | KUL | 3 | Kulundinskoye, Altayskiy | Russia | 53¹0'N - 79응'E | KF691475-KF691477 |
| 68 | $64750 / 1640$ | KUR | 3 | Kurgan area | Russia | 5529'N - 64² - ${ }^{\prime}$ 'E | KF691478 - KF691480 |
| 69 | $64752 / 1705$ | MME | 4 | Maloye Medvezhye (Kurganskaya) | Russia | $55^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-67^{\circ} 57{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691481 - KF691484 |
| 70 | $55585 / 1735$ | MYA | 7 | Maloye Yarovoye (Altayskiy) | Russia | 534'N - 79ㅇำ'E | KF691485-KF691491 |
| 71 | 64749 /1507 | MED | 3 | Medvezhye (Kurganskaya) | Russia | $66^{\circ} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-54^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | KF691492-KF691494 |
| 72 | 64751/1642 | VOS | 3 | Voskresenskoye (Kurganskaya) | Russia | $55^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-67^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691495-KF691497 |
| 73 | 57272 | CSR | 5 | Sanlucar, Cadiz | Spain | 3652'N - 06º ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$ 'W | KF691498 - KF691502 |
| 74 | 57254 | SLA | 6 | Sri Lanka | Sri Lanka | $7{ }^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-80 \div 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691503 - KF691508 |
| 75 | 57277 | MEG | 6 | Mégrine saltworks | Tunisia | $36^{\circ} 47{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-10^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691509 - KF691514 |
| 76 | 57278 | SFA | 5 | Sfax saltworks | Tunisia | $35^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-10^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691515 - KF691519 |
| 77 | $57292 / 1512$ | CAM | 10 | Çamalti Saltern, Izmir | Turkey | 2653'E-38039'N | KF691520 - KF691529 |
| 78 | $57258 / 1371$ | KBG | 5 | Kara Bogaz Gol | Turkmenistan | 53ํ33'E-41ํำ'N | KF691530 - KF691534 |
| 79 | 57299 | GSL | 12 | Great Salt Lake, Utah | U.S.A. | $40^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-111^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | KF691535 - KF691546 |
| 80 | $57252 / 1715$ | CAA | 9 | Cape Aktymsyk, Karakalpakstan | Uzbekistan | $43^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-59^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KF691547 - KF691555 |
| 81 | $57253 / 1719$ | VCH | 13 | Vinhchau, Soctrang | Vietnam | 9ㅇ17'N - 105의5'E | KF691556-KF691568 |

Note: For the ITSI gene, a subset of individuals, 1 for each locality, was sequenced.
All ITS1 sequences are deposited under the following accession numbers: KF703762 - KF703854.

Table 21 List of Artemia GenBank samples with their accession numbers used in phylogenetic analyses.

| Locality, Province, State, or District | Abbreviation | Country | Species | GenBank accession No. | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar Chiquita, Córdoba <br> Las Tunas, Santa Fe <br> Santiago del Estero, Santiago del Estero | G_ARG | Argentina | A. franciscana | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GU248362 } \\ & \text { GU248368 } \\ & \text { GU248367 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Maniatsi et al., 2009 |
| Macau, Rio Grande do Norte Galinhos, Rio Grande do Norte Areia Branca/Grossos, Rio Grande do Norte | G_BRA | Brazil | A. franciscana | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GU248371 } \\ & \text { GU248370 } \\ & \text { GU248369 } \end{aligned}$ | Maniatsi et al., 2009 |
| Los Vilos, Choapa Cerro Las Cejas, Atacama region Chaxas, Antofagasta Salar Llamará, Antofagasta El Convento, Valparaíso Iquique, Iquique | G_CHI | Chile | A. franciscana | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GU248379-80 } \\ & \text { GU248375-76 } \\ & \text { GU248377-78 } \\ & \text { GU248365-66 } \\ & \text { GU248363-64 } \\ & \text { GU248373-74 } \end{aligned}$ | Maniatsi et al., 2009 |
| Great Salt Lake, Utah (Gunnison Bay) <br> Great Salt Lake, Utah (Gunnison Bay) Great Salt Lake, Utah (Gunnison Bay) Great Salt Lake, Utah (Gilbert Bay) Great Salt Lake, Utah (Gilbert Bay) Great Salt Lake, Utah (Gilbert Bay) | GSL (N1) GSL (N2) GSL (N3) GSL (S1) GSL (S2) GSL (S3) | U.S.A. | A. franciscana | KJ863440 - KJ863449 <br> KJ863450 - KJ863459 <br> KJ863470 - KJ863479 <br> KJ863430 - KJ863439 <br> KJ863480 - KJ863490 <br> KJ863460 - KJ863469 | Eimanifar et al., 2014 Unpublished |
| Celestun, Yucatan <br> Real de las Salinas, Campeche <br> Yavaros, Sonora <br> Salinas de Hidalgo, San Luis Potosi <br> Texcoco, Estado de <br> Salinas Casa Blanca, Cuatro Cienagas de <br> Carranza, Coahuila <br> La Colorada lagoon, Oaxaca <br> San Quintin, Baja California <br> Faro San Jose, Baja California <br> Frank Pais, Guantanamo <br> Great Salt Lake, Utah <br> San Francisco Bay, California <br> Salina Fraternidad, Cabo Rojon <br> Laguna de las Salinas Bastoncillo, Lajas <br> Little Manitou Lake, Saskatchewan | MexCe <br> Mex99 <br> MexY <br> MexH <br> MexT <br> MexCB <br> MexLC <br> MexSQ <br> MexFSJ <br> GUA <br> GSL <br> SFB <br> USSF <br> USSB <br> MANW | Mexico <br> Mexico <br> Mexico <br> Mexico <br> Mexico <br> Mexico <br> Mexico <br> Mexico <br> Mexico <br> Cuba <br> USA <br> USA <br> Puerto Rico <br> Puerto Rico <br> Canada | A. franciscana <br> *AF1 <br> AF2 <br> AF2, AF3 <br> AF4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 <br> AF7, 8, 0, 10, 11 <br> AF12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 <br> AF18, 19, 20, 21 <br> AF10, 22, 23 <br> AF1, 10, 24 <br> AF10, 20, 25 <br> AF10, 18, 20, 21, 26, 27 <br> AF10, 18, 20, 25 <br> AF28, 29 <br> AF28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34 <br> AF35, 36 | KF662951-3043 | Muñoz et al., 2013 |


| Muskiki Lake, Saskatchewan | CMUS | Canada | AF35, 37, 38 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chaplin Lake, Saskatchewan | CHAP | Canada | AF39, 40, 41, 42, 43 |  |  |
| Meacham Lake, Saskatchewan | CMEA | Canada | AF35, 44, 45, 46, 47 |  |  |
| Mossoro, Grossos | BRM | Brazil | AF10 |  |  |
| Salinas de Galerazamba | CGZ | Colombia | AF48, 49, 50 |  |  |
| Salinas de Manaure | CM | Colombia | AF51, 52 |  |  |
| Salina Cero | CSC | Colombia | AF49, 50, 53, 54, 55 |  |  |
| Tayrona | CT | Colombia | AF56, 57, 58 |  |  |
| Playa Salinas, Ancash | PPS | Peru | AF59, 60, 61, 62, 63 |  |  |
| Los Chimus | PLC | Peru | AF59, 64 |  |  |
| Virrilla, Piura | PV | Peru | AF65 |  |  |
| Humedales de Ventanilla, Callao | PVe | Peru | AF59, 61, 66, 67 |  |  |
| La Milagrosa, Chilca | PLM | Peru | AF68, 69 |  |  |
| Salinas de Cumaraguas | VEC | Venezuela | AF51, 70, 71 |  |  |
| Yallahs Pond | JAYA | Venezuela | AF18, 19 |  |  |
| Salar de Llamara | CHSL | Chile | AF72, 73, 74, 75 |  |  |
| Laguna Cejas, Salar de Atacama | CHLC | Chile | AF18 |  |  |
| Los Vilos, Poza Palo Colorado | CHLV | Chile | AF76, 77 |  |  |
| Pichilemu Cahuil saltworks | CHPI | Chile | AF18, 78 |  |  |
| Poza Pampilla IV Region | CHP | Chile | AF79, 80 |  |  |
| Mar Chiquita, Cordoba | AMC | Argentina | AF81 |  |  |
| Salinas Grandes, Cordoba | ASG | Argentina | AF72, 73, 74, 82, 83, 84, 85 |  |  |
| Mono Lake | AMON | USA | AF87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92 |  |  |
| Pedra de Lume, Sal Island | PLU | Cape Verde | AF86, 93 |  |  |
| Yuncheng | G_SIN | China | A.sinica | HM998990 | Maniatsi et al., 2011 |
| NaLin, Inner Mongolia |  |  |  | DQ119649 | Hou et al., 2006 |
| Shui Quan Zi, Inner Mongolia |  |  |  | DQ119650 | Hou et al., 2006 |
| Yimeng, Inner Mongolia |  |  |  | EF615591 | Wang et al., 2008 |
| Bameng, Inner Mongolia |  |  |  | EF615592 | Wang et al., 2008 |
| Nima, Tibet | G_TIB | China | A. tibetiana | EF615584 | Wang et al., 2008 |
| Yangnapengco, Tibet |  |  |  | EF615585 |  |
| Qixiang Lake, Tibet |  |  |  | EF615586 |  |
| Jingyu Lake, Xinjiang |  |  |  | EF615588 |  |
| Co Qen, Tibet |  |  |  | EF615589 |  |
| Odiel saltpan | G_PAR | Spain | "A. parthenogenetica" | DQ426824 | Muñoz et al., 2010b |
| Cabo de Gata saltpan |  | Spain |  | DQ426825 |  |
| El Bosque saltpan |  | Spain |  | DQ426826 |  |
| Rio Maior saltpan |  | Portugal |  | GU591380 |  |
| Senitra saltpan |  | Portugal |  | GU591381 |  |
| Larache saltpan |  | Morocco |  | GU591382 |  |
| Margherita di Savoia saltpan Bjurliv Lake |  | Italy Kazakhstan |  | GU591383 GU591384 |  |


| Vineta Swakopmund saltworks |  | Namibia <br> Atanasovko Lake | Bulgaria <br> Ukraine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kujalnicsky Liman |  |  |  |
| Wadi El Natrun |  |  |  |

### 3.3.4. Results

## Genetic diversity in Artemia

Alignment of all COI sequences (Asia, Europe, Africa, and America) revealed a total of 112 variable sites, among which 24 characters were singleton and 88 characters were parsimony informative. Sequences of Asian A. franciscana had 17 variable sites (9 characters were singleton and 8 characters were parsimony informative). The Asian lineages of EHC showed a similar degree of sequence diversity with 19 variable sites ( 10 characters were singleton and 9 characters were parsimony informative). The results of the saturation test demonstrated that the COI data sets exhibit no substitution saturation; the Iss values (0.364) observed are significantly lower than Iss.c (0.711) ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

All genetic diversity estimates are presented for all species in Table 22. The mean haplotype and nucleotide diversity for all COI sequences was 0.83 and 0.1 , respectively. The mean haplotype diversity among $A$. franciscana sequences from the Great Salt Lake (USA) was higher than that of European A. franciscana populations ( $0.60 \pm 0.10$ vs $0.54 \pm 0.07$ ), but lower than in Asian A. franciscana populations ( $0.71 \pm 0.01$ ). The haplotype diversity of Asian A. franciscana ( $0.71 \pm 0.01$ ) was higher than that of Asian EHC $(0.5 \pm 0.04)$. The mean nucleotide diversity among $A$. franciscana lineages from America, Europe, and Asia was $0.0010 \pm 0.0002,0.020 \pm 0.002$ and $0.0040 \pm 0.0001$, respectively, and similar to that of Asian EHC ( $0.001 \pm 0.0001$ ).

## Phylogeography in Artemia

The phylogenetic trees generated by ML and BI from COI sequences had concordant topologies and included 7 distinct, well-supported clades (Fig. 19). Clades IV to VII correspond to recognized Artemia species. A. urmiana is paraphyletic with respect to EHC in this reconstruction but not in the network (Fig. 22). A. tibetiana is represented by two clades and considered as a sister group with clade I. A. sinica constituted an independent clade at the base of Asian Artemia species. A. salina from the Old World clusters at the base of Artemia, followed by two American species (A. persimilis and A. franciscana).

The length of ITS1 gene in all alignment was 1116 base pairs (bp), including a total of 212 nucleotide insertions or deletions (indels). The vast majority of indels is shared among species. Phylogenetic relationships based on ITS1 sequences were not fully congruent to those recovered in the COI tree (Fig. 20). In the ITS1 ML tree, 5 clades were identified and supported by high bootstrap values. In contrast with COI data, sequences of A. tibetiana were identical with those of EHC, indicating a close relationship to them. Both data sets agree that the Asian taxa were shared a common ancestry with $A$. franciscana. Whereas $A$. salina took a basal position in the COI tree, this position is taken by $A$. persimilis in the ITSI
tree. This finding should be regarded with care, as we had only several single sequences for A. persimilis which came from GenBank.

The inferred phylogeny from COI revealed a complex phylogeographic structure, especially among Asian Artemia populations. A. sinica and A. tibetiana are genetically divergent and are found in restricted geographical regions in Asia. A. urmiana has been described so far for Urmia Lake, Iran, and for the Crimean coast, Ukraine, but presumed parthenogenetic samples from Turkey and Turkmenistan fall under the same clade as $A$. urmiana. EHC and A. franciscana are two species with a wide distribution in Asia. Asian lineages of EHC were found in 39 geographical regions in 9 Asian countries (Turkey, Iraq, Iran, Pakistan, China, Russia, Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan). Introduced A. franciscana was detected in 31 geographical localities in 7 Asian countries (Iraq, Iran, Pakistan, India, Sri Lanka, Vietnam, and China), with the majority (22 out of 31) scattered over 6 inland and coastal Chinese provinces. The list of individuals corresponding to each unique haplotype is presented in Tables 23 and 24.

Localities were pooled together based on geographical proximity, in order to increase the resolution of sample size. According to our classification, a total of 16 isolated geographical regions (I-XVI), represented by a minimum of 10 and a maximum of 117 individuals per region were observed in Eurasia. Fifteen regions were assigned for the whole of Asia, and one region, grouping Europe and North Africa together, as a reference. The diversity of Artemia species in each geographic region studied is documented as a pie chart in Fig. 21.

A COI phylogeny network of 299 individuals from A. urmiana, A. tibetiana, A. sinica, and the EHC generated 75 distinct haplotypes, including 9 main haplotypes with frequencies between 5 and 136 (Fig. 22). A summary of haplotypes and their origin is documented for each network in Tables 24, 25 and 26.

In the COI network, EHC is genetically close to $A$. urmiana and separated by 6 mutational steps (Fig. 22). Numerous singleton haplotypes have developed from the nine major haplotypes $\mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 6, \mathrm{H} 7, \mathrm{H} 14, \mathrm{H} 15, \mathrm{H} 58$, and H 65 . For A. urmiana and $A$. tibetiana, only three major haplotypes are apparent ( $\mathrm{H} 14, \mathrm{H} 15$, and H58). A. tibetiana is sister (or basal) to the A. urmiana/EHC clade. A. sinica has diverged from other Asian species by 41 mutational steps (Fig. 22). Only a single major haplotype has been observed for $A$. sinica.

ITS1 network for all individuals revealed 95 segregation (polymorphic) sites, among which 43 characters were singleton and 52 characters were parsimony informative. A total 27 distinct haplotypes were observed ( $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 27$ ). Among these haplotypes, two main haplotypes had the highest frequencies. Haplotype 1 was the most dominant haplotype and consisted of
a mixture of $A$. urmiana, A. tibetiana and EHC ( $52 / 124,42 \%$ of individuals). Haplotype 3 was the second most dominant haplotype which included A. franciscana individuals (33/124, $26.6 \%$ of individuals). The other haplotypes were varied between 1-7 individuals (Fig. 23).

The COI haplotype network of 374 A. franciscana sequences from Eurasia and the other related American populations revealed 84 unique haplotypes, comprising four major haplotypes (H5, H6, H8 and H17), which were found in invaded populations in the Asia. Numerous haplotypes surrounded the major ones with different frequencies varied between 2 to 9 . Both GSL and SFB haplotypes were shared the most common haplotypes among three major haplotypes with different frequencies. Moreover, Asian A. franciscana showed shared haplotypes with other available American populations from Chile, Colombia, Cuba, Brazil, Jamaica, Mexico and Venezuela. Haplotype H6 was the most common haplotype which showed a contribution ( $9 \%$ of individuals) of European A. franciscana into Asian lineages (Fig. 6). Asian A. franciscana also revealed shared haplotypes with European A. franciscana including H 5 (2 \% of individuals) and H 8 ( $1 \%$ of individuals) (Fig. 24).

Table 22 Summary of COI population genetic statistics for all Artemia species, including $A$. franciscana from GSL, Europe, and Asia, and EHC lineages. $\mathrm{N}=$ number of samples, $\mathrm{V}=$ number of variable sites, $M=$ total number of nucleotide substitutions, $\mathrm{H}=$ number of haplotypes, HD = haplotype diversity, $\pi=$ nucleotide diversity, $\mathrm{K}=$ mean number of pairwise nuclear differences.

| Species | N | V | M | H | HD | $\pi$ | K |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. franciscana (GSL, USA) | 12 | 2 | 2 | 3 | $0.6 \pm 0.1$ | $0.001 \pm 0.0008$ | 0.65 |
| A. franciscana (Europe) | 11 | 21 | 21 | 2 | $0.54 \pm 0.07$ | $0.02 \pm 0.005$ | 11.45 |
| A. franciscana (Asia) | 152 | 17 | 17 | 12 | $0.7 \pm 0.01$ | $0.004 \pm 0.001$ | 2.41 |
| A. persimilis | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | $1 \pm 0.17$ | $0.01 \pm 0.006$ | 5.16 |
| A. salina | 20 | 30 | 31 | 9 | $0.88 \pm 0.04$ | $0.01 \pm 0.005$ | 7.54 |
| A. urmiana | 71 | 59 | 61 | 40 | $0.9 \pm 0.03$ | $0.006 \pm 0.006$ | 3.8 |
| A. sinica | 15 | 27 | 27 | 8 | $0.86 \pm 0.06$ | $0.01 \pm 0.008$ | 6.49 |
| A. tibetiana | 12 | 17 | 17 | 11 | $0.98 \pm 0.04$ | $0.005 \pm 0.004$ | 3.24 |
| ${ }^{\text {E EHC }}$ (Asia) | 181 | 101 | 103 | 14 | $0.5 \pm 0.04$ | $0.003 \pm 0.007$ | 1.77 |

'A. urmiana JX512748 - JX512808 (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013).
${ }^{\dagger}$ EHC refers to the Eurasian Haplotype Complex.


Fig. 19. COI phylogeny of Artemia based on ML and BI for 541 individuals. Numbers along nodes indicate bootstrap supports for ML and BI approaches. Geographical localities in each clade are shown by different haplotypes defined by different colors in the pie chart. Seven distinct clades are shown by Roman numerals (I - VII). Daphnia pulex was used as an outgroup.


Fig. 20. ITS1 Phylogeny of Artemia based on GTR model for 125 individuals. Bootstrap values for ML \& BI are denoted for each major node. Geographical localities in each clade, grouped per country, are shown by different colors defined in the pie chart. Five distinct clades are shown by Roman numerals (I - V). Streptocephalus proboscideus was used as an outgroup.

Table 23 Data matrix of variable sites and distribution of unique haplotypes with their frequencies among 541 Artemia individuals using 579 bp of COI. $\mathrm{H}=$ haplotype, $\mathrm{F}=$ haplotype frequency and numbers = polymorphic sites. Forty-four sequences (bold) of Artemia from all species have been included from GenBank.

| H | F | Individuals and Locations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 177 | INC-1 INC-2 INC-3 INC-4 INC-5 LAGW-1 LAGW-2 LAGW-3 LAGW-4 LAGW-5 LAGE-1 LAGE-2 |
|  |  | LAGE-3 MIG-1 MIG-2 MIG-3 MIG-4 MIG-5 QOM-1 QOM-2 QOM-3 QOM-4 QOM-5 QOM-6 ABG-1 |
|  |  | ABG-2 ABG-3 ARS-1 ARS-2 ARS-3 ARS-4 ARS-5 ARS-6 ARS-7 ASS-1 ASS-2 ASS-3 ASS-4 ASS- |
|  |  | 5 ASS-6 KYZ-1 KYZ-2 KYZ-3 KYZ-4 KYZ-5 NCS-1 NCS-2 NCS-3 NCS-4 NCS-5 NCS-6 PAV-1 |
|  |  | PAV-2 PAV-3 PAV-4 PAV-5 PAV-6 TUZ-1 TUZ-2 TUZ-3 TUZ-4 TUZ-5 TUZ-6 TUZ-7 TUZ-8 TUZ-9 |
|  |  | TUZ-10 TUZ-11 TUZ-12 TUZ-13 TUZ-14 BYA-1 BYA-2 BYA-4 BYA-5 EBE-1 EBE-2 EBE-3 EBE-4 |
|  |  | EBE-6 GOR-1 GOR-2 GOR-3 GOR-4 GOR-5 KUC-1 KUC-2 KUC-3 KUL-1 KUL-3 KUR-1 KUR-2 |
|  |  | KUR-3 MME-2 MME-4 MYA-1 MYA-2 MYA-3 MYA-4 MYA-5 MYA-6 MYA-7 MED-1 MED-2 MED-3 |
|  |  | VOS-1 VOS-2 VOS-3 BAM-1 BAM-2 BAM-3 BAM-4 BAM-5 BAM-6 CAN-1 CAN-2 CAN-3 CAN-4 |
|  |  | CHE-1 CHE-2 CHE-3 DLI-8 DON-1 DON-2 DON-3 GAH-1 GAH-2 GAH-3 GAH-4 GAH-5 GAH-6 |
|  |  | HAN-1 HAN-2 HAN-3 HAN-4 HAN-5 HAN-6 HAN-7 LUA-3 LUA-4 LUA-5 SHA-1 SHA-2 SHA-3 SID-1 |
|  |  | SID-3 XIA-1 XIA-4 YIN-1 YIN-2 YIN-3 YIN-4 MAHR-1 MAHR-3 KOC-4 KOC-5 KOC-6 KOC-7 KOC-9 |
|  |  | KOC-10 CAM-2 KBG-1 |
|  |  | KBG-2 KBG-3 KBG-5 CAA-2 CAA-3 CAA-4 CAA-5 CAA-6 CAA-7 CAA-8 CAA-9 G_PAR_1 |
|  |  | G_PAR_2 G_PAR_5 G_PAR_6 |
| H2 | 1 | BYA-3 |
| H3 | 5 | EBE-5 EBE-7 KUL-2 MME-1 MME-3 |
| H4 | 2 | BEI-3 WUD-1 |
| H5 | 1 | CAA-1 |
| H6 | 1 | G_PAR_3 |
| H7 | 1 | G_PAR_4 |
| H8 | 44 | G_PAR_7 G_PAR_8 NC2-1 NC1-1 NC1-3 NE-2 NE-3 NE-5 NW-3 NW-4 NW-5 ME1-1 ME2-1 ME2- |
|  |  | 2 ME2-4 MW1-1 MW1-3 MW1-4 MW1-5 MW2-1 MW2-4 |
|  |  | SE2-4 SE2-6 SE3-2 SE3-3 SC1-1 SC1-2 SC1-3 SC2-1 SC2-2 SC3-1 SC3-2 SC3-4 SE1-1 SW-2 |
|  |  | CAM-1 CAM-3 CAM-4 CAM-5 CAM-6 CAM-8 CAM-9 CAM-10 KBG-4 |
| H9 | 1 | NC2-2 |
| H10 | 1 | NC2-3 |
| H11 | 1 | NC1-2 |
| H12 | 1 | NE-1 |
| H13 | 1 | NE-4 |
| H14 | 1 | NE-6 |
| H15 | 2 | NW-1 ME1-2 |
| H16 | 1 | NW-2 |
| H17 | 1 | NW-6 |
| H18 | 1 | ME2-3 |
| H19 | 1 | ME2-5 |
| H20 | 1 | ME2-6 |
| H21 | 3 | MW1-2 SE3-1 SE1-2 |
| H22 | 1 | MW1-6 |
| H23 | 1 | MW2-2 |
| H24 | 1 | MW2-3 |



| H62 | 2 | G_CHI_1 G_CHI_2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H63 | 2 | G_CHI_3 G_CHI_4 |
| H64 | 2 | G_CHI_5 G_CHI_6 |
| H65 | 6 | G_CHI_7 G_CHI_8 G_CHI_9 G_CHI_10 G_ARG_2 G_ARG_3 |
| H66 | 1 | G_ARG_1 |
| H67 | 3 | SCE-2 SCE-4 SCE-5 |
| H68 | 1 | SCE-3 |
| H69 | 3 | BLO-1 BLO-3 BLO-4 |
| H70 | 1 | BLO-2 |
| H71 | 4 | ING-1 ING-2 ING-3 ING-5 |
| H72 | 1 | ING-4 |
| H73 | 1 | ING-6 |
| H74 | 1 | G_PER_1 |
| H75 | 3 | G_PER_2 G_PER_3 G_PER_4 |
| H76 | 1 | G_SAL |
| H77 | 7 | REL-1 REL-2 REL-4 CSR-2 CSR-3 CSR-4 CSR-5 |
| H78 | 1 | REL-3 |
| H79 | 1 | CSR-1 |
| H80 | 5 | MEG-1 MEG-2 MEG-3 MEG-4 MEG-5 |
| H81 | 1 | MEG-6 |
| H82 | 4 | SFA-1 SFA-3 SFA-4 SFA-5 |
| H83 | 1 | SFA-2 |
| H84 | 1 | DAP |

Table 24 Data matrix of variable sites and distribution of unique haplotypes with their frequencies among 125 Artemia individuals using 1116 bp of ITS1. $\mathrm{H}=$ haplotype, $\mathrm{F}=$ haplotype frequency and numbers = polymorphic sites. Thirty-one (bold) of Artemia from all species have been included from GenBank.

| H | F | Individuals and Locations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 52 | KOC LAGE QOM MIG CAM ABG MAHR INC LAGW KBG BYA TUZ CAA KYZ PAV EBE MYA TIB2 KUL GOR BAM TIB1 LUA ARS ASS NCS YIN KUR MME DON CAN SHA CHE VOS MED YAG ERY GAH KUC SID SE2 SC2 SC3 ME1 SW MW2 SC1 NC2 NW NE ME2 G_TIB |
| H2 | 2 | GAA DLI |
| H3 | 33 | NOG NAN ZHS YUA WUD KEL TUT WUZ BEID DAG YANH SIK DOG HAN MAH G_FRA_1 G_SFB_1 G_CHI_1 G_CHI_2 G_CHI_3 G_CHI_4 G_CHI_5 G_CHI_6 G_CHI_7 G_CHI_8 G_CHI_9 G_CHI_10 G_ARG_2 G_ARG_3 BLO SCE YAL SLU |
| H4 | 7 | XIA XIE BEI YUN G_SIN_1 G_SIN_2 G_SIN_3 |
| H5 | 1 | TTA |
| H6 | 5 | VCH BBA HAI G_BRA_1 G_BRA_2 |
| H7 | 1 | SLA |
| H8 | 1 | REL |
| H9 | 2 | XIN LEG |
| H10 | 1 | JIN |
| H11 | 2 | MEG CSR |
| H12 | 1 | SFA |
| H13 | 1 | NC1 |
| H14 | 1 | SE3 |
| H15 | 1 | SE1 |
| H16 | 1 | MW1 |
| H17 | 1 | G_AU |
| H18 | 1 | G_PER |
| H19 | 1 | G_SAL |
| H2O | 1 | G_PAR |
| H21 | 1 | G_SIN_4 |
| H22 | 2 | G_SIN_5 G_SIN_6 |
| H23 | 1 | G_SIN_7 |
| H24 | 1 | G_GSL_2 |
| H25 | 1 | G_CAN |
| H26 | 1 | G_ARG_1 |
| H27 | 1 | PLU |
| H28 | 1 | STR |



Fig. 21. Species diversity in Asian, European and African Artemia populations illustrated as a pie chart. Sixteen isolated gray-colored geographical regions were defined, based on minimum geographical proximity between adjacent localities. In region XI, only 5 out 24 localities are shown. Artemia species are represented by different colors. Values in parentheses in the center of the pie chart represent the number of individuals per region used for analysis.


Fig. 22. The relationship between COI haplotypes, based on a minimum spanning network for A. urmiana, A. tibetiana, A. sinica and EHC sequences ( $\mathrm{N}=299$ ). The diameter of each circle, characterized by a haplotype number, corresponds to the haplotype frequency. Black dots between haplotypes denote a point mutation. Species are shown by different colors. In total, 75 distinct haplotypes $(\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 75)$ are recognized among lineages.

Table 25 Data matrix of 75 distinct haplotypes among 299 A. urmiana, A. tibetiana, A. sinica and the EHC individuals using 579 bp of COI. $\mathrm{H}=$ haplotypes, $\mathrm{F}=$ haplotype frequency. GenBank sequences are marked with bold.

| H | F | Individuals and Locations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 24 | INC-1 INC-2 INC-3 INC-4 INC-5 LAGE-1 LAGE-3 MIG-1 MIG-2 MIG-3 MIG-5 GAH-1 GAH-2 GAH-3 GAH-4 GAH-5 GAH-6 XIA-1 XIA-4 CAM-2 KBG-1 KBG-2 KBG-3 G_PAR_5 |
| H2 | 136 | LAGW-1 LAGW-2 LAGW-3 LAGW-4 LAGW-5 LAGE-2 ABG-1 ABG-2 ABG-3 ARS-1 ARS-2 ARS-3 ARS-4 ARS-5 ARS-6 ARS-7 ASS-1 ASS-2 ASS-3 ASS-4 ASS-5 ASS-6 KYZ-1 KYZ-2 KYZ-3 KYZ-4 KYZ-5 NCS-1 NCS-2 NCS-3 NCS-4 NCS-5 NCS-6 PAV-1 PAV-2 PAV-3 PAV-4 PAV-5 PAV-6 TUZ-1 TUZ-2 TUZ-3 TUZ-4 TUZ-5 TUZ-6 TUZ-7 TUZ-8 TUZ-9 TUZ-10 TUZ-11 TUZ-12 TUZ-13 TUZ-14 BYA-1 BYA-2 BYA-4 BYA-5 EBE1 EBE-2 EBE-4 EBE-6 GOR-1 GOR-2 GOR-3 GOR-4 GOR-5 KUC-1 KUC-2 KUC-3 KUL-1 KUL-3 KUR-1 KUR3 MYA-1 MYA-2 MYA-3 MYA-4 MYA-5 MYA-6 MYA-7 MED-2 VOS-1 VOS-2 VOS-3 BAM-1 BAM-2 BAM-3 BAM-4 BAM-5 BAM-6 CAN-1 CAN-2 CAN-3 CAN-4 CHE-1 CHE-2 CHE-3 DON-1 DON-2 DON-3 HAN-1 HAN-2 HAN-3 HAN-4 HAN-5 HAN-7 LUA-3 LUA-4 LUA-5 SHA-1 SHA-2 SHA-3 SID-1 SID-3 YIN-1 YIN-2 YIN-3 YIN-4 MAHR-1 MAHR-3 KOC-4 KOC-5 KOC-6 KOC-7 KOC-9 KOC-10 KBG-5 CAA-2 CAA-3 CAA-4 CAA-5 CAA-6 CAA-7 CAA-9 G_PAR_1 G_PAR_2 |
| H3 | 7 | MIG-4 QOM-1 QOM-2 QOM-3 QOM-4 QOM-5 QOM-6 |
| H4 | 1 | BYA-3 |
| H5 | 2 | EBE-3 DLI-8 |
| H6 | 5 | EBE-5 EBE-7 KUL-2 MME-1 MME-3 |
| H7 | 5 | KUR-2 MME-2 MME-4 MED-1 MED-3 |
| H8 | 1 | HAN-6 |
| H9 | 1 | CAA-1 |
| H10 | 1 | CAA-8 |
| H11 | 1 | G_PAR_3 |
| H12 | 1 | G_PAR_4 |
| H13 | 1 | G_PAR_6 |
| H14 | 9 | G_PAR_7 G_PAR_8 CAM-1 CAM-3 CAM-4 CAM-5 CAM-6 CAM-9 CAM-10 |
| H15 | 26 | NC2-1 NE-2 NE-3 NE-5 NW-3 NW-5 ME1-1 ME2-2 ME2-4 MW1-1 MW1-3 MW1-4 MW1-5 MW2-1 MW2-4 SE24 SE2-6 SE3-2 SE3-3 SC1-2 SC1-3 SC3-1 SC3-2 SC3-4 SE1-1 SW-2 |
| H16 | 1 | NC2-2 |
| H17 | 1 | NC2-3 |
| H18 | 1 | NC1-1 |
| H19 | 1 | NC1-2 |
| H20 | 1 | NC1-3 |
| H21 | 1 | NE-1 |
| H22 | 1 | NE-4 |
| H23 | 1 | NE-6 |
| H24 | 1 | NW-1 |
| H25 | 1 | NW-2 |
| H26 | 1 | NW-4 |
| H27 | 1 | NW-6 |
| H28 | 1 | ME1-2 |
| H29 | 1 | ME2-1 |
| H30 | 1 | ME2-3 |
| H31 | 1 | ME2-5 |


| H32 | 1 | ME2-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H33 | 3 | MW1-2 SE3-1 SE1-2 |
| H34 | 1 | MW1-6 |
| H35 | 1 | MW2-2 |
| H36 | 1 | MW2-3 |
| H37 | 1 | MW2-5 |
| H38 | 1 | SE2-1 |
| H39 | 1 | SE2-2 |
| H40 | 1 | SE2-3 |
| H41 | 1 | SE2-5 |
| H42 | 1 | SC1-1 |
| H43 | 1 | SC2-1 |
| H44 | 1 | SC2-2 |
| H45 | 1 | SC2-3 |
| H46 | 1 | SC3-3 |
| H47 | 1 | SE1-3 |
| H48 | 1 | SW-1 |
| H49 | 1 | CAM-7 |
| H50 | 1 | CAM-8 |
| H51 | 1 | KBG-4 |
| H52 | 2 | G_TIB_1 G_TIB_2 |
| H53 | 1 | G_TIB_3 |
| H54 | 2 | G_TIB_4 G_TIB_5 |
| H55 | 1 | G_TIB_6 |
| H56 | 1 | G_TIB_7 |
| H57 | 1 | TIB1-1 |
| H58 | 5 | TIB1-2 TIB1-3 TIB1-5 JIN-2 JIN-4 |
| H59 | 1 | TIB1-4 |
| H60 | 1 | TIB2-1 |
| H61 | 1 | TIB2-2 |
| H62 | 1 | TIB2-3 |
| H63 | 1 | JIN-1 |
| H64 | 1 | JIN-3 |
| H65 | 7 | G_SIN_1 G_SIN_3 XIE-6 XIE-8 XIE-9 YUN-1 YUN-3 |
| H66 | 2 | G_SIN_2 G_SIN_5 |
| H67 | 1 | G_SIN_4 |
| H68 | 1 | G_SIN_6 |
| H69 | 1 | BEI-1 |
| H70 | 1 | BEI-2 |
| H71 | 1 | XIE-1 |
| H72 | 2 | XIE-2 XIE-3 |
| H73 | 3 | XIE-4 XIE-7 YUN-2 |
| H74 | 1 | XIE-5 |
| H75 | 1 | YUN-4 |

Table 26 Data matrix of 84 unique haplotypes with their frequencies among 374 A. franciscana individuals using 579 bp of $\mathrm{COI} . \mathrm{H}=$ haplotype, $\mathrm{F}=$ haplotype frequency. One hundred seventy-seven sequences (bold) of A. franciscana from two commercialized USA populations (GSL and SFB), other available American populations (North, Central and South) and European populations have been included from GenBank.

| H | F | Individuals \& locations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 2 | CHI-1 CHI-2 |
| H2 | 3 | CHI-3 CHI-4 AF78 |
| H3 | 2 | CHI-5 CHI-6 |
| H4 | 9 | CHI-7 CHI-8 CHI-9 CHI-10 ARG-2 ARG-3 AF85 AF73 AF72 |
| H5 | 51 | CHI-11 CHI-12 MAH-1 MAH-3 MAH-5 NOG-1 NOG-3 NOG-4 NOG-5 SLA-6 VCH-13 BEID-1 |
|  |  | BEID-2 BEID-3 BBA-2 BBA-5 BBA-6 DAG-2 DAG-3 DLI-1 DLI-4 DLI-6 DLI-9 DLI-10 DLI-11 |
|  |  | DOG-1 DOG-3 ERY-5 HAI-2 LUA-1 LUA-2 NAN-4 NAN-5 TTA-3 TTA-6 WUD-2 WUD-5 WUZ- |
|  |  | 3 XIA-2 XIA-3 YAG-1 YUA-2 ZHS-1 ZHS-13 KOC-1 KOC-2 KOC-3 KOC-8 AF26 AF20 |
|  |  | AF20 (EU) |
| H6 | 68 | BRA-1 BRA-2 SLU-1 SLU-2 SLU-3 SLU-4 SLU-5 SLU-6 SLA-1 SLA-2 SLA-3 SLA-4 SLA-5 |
|  |  | VCH-1 VCH-2 VCH-3 VCH-4 VCH-5 VCH-6 VCH-7 VCH-8 VCH-9 VCH-10 VCH-11 VCH-12 |
|  |  | KEL-1 KEL-3 GAA-3 GAA-4 GAA-5 GAA-7 BBA-4 DOG-2 DOG-4 HAI-1 SIK-1 SIK-2 SIK-4 |
|  |  | SIK-5 SIK-6 TTA-1 TTA-2 TTA-4 TTA-5 WUD-3 WUD-4 WUZ-1 WUZ-2 XIN-1 XIN-3 YUA-4 |
|  |  | YUA-5 YUA-6 YUA-7 ZHS-2 ZHS-3 ZHS-5 ZHS-6 ZHS-7 ZHS-8 ZHS-9 ZHS-10 ZHS-11 ZHS- |
|  |  | 12 AF25 AF22 AF10 AF10 (EU) |
| H7 | 2 | ARG-1 AF81 |
| H8 | 102 | SCE-1 YAL-1 YAL-2 YAL-3 YAL-4 YAL-5 YAL-6 YAL-7 GSL-1 GSL-3 GSL-4 GSL-5 GSL-7 |
|  |  | GSL-9 GSL-10 GSL-12 MAH-4 MAH-6 NOG-2 KEL-2 KEL-4 TUT-1 TUT-2 TUT-3 TUT-4 |
|  |  | TUT-5 GAA-1 GAA-2 GAA-6 GAA-8 BBA-1 DAG-1 DLI-2 DLI-3 DLI-5 DLI-7 ERY-4 HAI-3 |
|  |  | LEG-2 LEG-3 LUA-6 NAN-1 NAN-2 NAN-3 SID-2 SIK-3 TTA-7 XIN-2 YAG-2 YAG-3 YANH-1 |
|  |  | YANH-2 YANH-3 YUA-1 YUA-3 MAHR-2 MAHR-4 MAHR-5 AF90 AF87 AF49 AF18 GSL |
|  |  | (S1-1) GSL (S1-3) GSL (S1-4) GSL (S1-5) GSL (S1-6) GSL (S1-8) GSL (N1-1) GSL (N1-2) |
|  |  | GSL (N1-3) GSL (N1-5) GSL (N1-6) GSL (N1-7) GSL (N1-8) GSL (N1-9) GSL (N1-10) GSL |
|  |  | (N2-2) GSL (N2-3) GSL (N2-4) GSL (N2-5) GSL (N2-7) GSL (N2-8) GSL (N2-9) GSL (N2-10) |
|  |  | GSL (S3-2) GSL (S3-5) GSL (S3-9) GSL (S3-10) GSL (N3-1) GSL (N3-3) GSL (N3-4) GSL |
|  |  | (N3-6) GSL (N3-7) GSL (N3-8) GSL (N3-9) GSL (S2-1) GSL (S2-4) GSL (S2-5) GSL (S2-9) |
|  |  | GSL (S2-11) AF18 (EU) |
| H9 | 5 | SCE-2 SCE-4 SCE-5 AF53 AF50 |
| H10 | 1 | SCE-3 |
| H11 | 3 | BLO-1 BLO-3 BLO-4 |
| H12 | 2 | BLO-2 AF3 |
| H13 | 5 | ING-1 ING-2 ING-3 ING-5 AF39 |
| H14 | 1 | ING-4 |
| H15 | 1 | ING-6 |
| H16 | 7 | PLU-1 PLU-2 PLU-3 PLU-4 PLU-5 AF86 AF86 (EU) |
| H17 | 23 | GSL-2 GSL-6 GSL-8 GSL-11 GSL (S1-2) GSL (S1-7) GSL (S1-9) GSL (S1-10) GSL (N1-4) |
|  |  | GSL (N2-6) GSL (S3-1) GSL (S3-3) GSL (S3-7) GSL (S3-8) GSL (N3-2) GSL (N3-5) GSL |


|  |  | (N3-10) GSL (S2-2) GSL (S2-3) GSL (S2-6) GSL (S2-8) GSL (S2-10) AF27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H18 | 3 | MAH-2 AF21 AF21 (EU) |
| H19 | 1 | BBA-3 |
| H20 | 1 | ERY-1 |
| H21 | 1 | ERY-2 |
| H22 | 2 | ERY-3 LEG-1 |
| H23 | 3 | WUD-1 AF19 GSL (S3-4) |
| H24 | 1 | ZHS-4 |
| H25 | 2 | AF93 AF93 (EU) |
| H26 | 1 | AF92 |
| H27 | 2 | AF91 AF9 |
| H28 | 1 | AF89 |
| H29 | 1 | AF88 |
| H30 | 1 | AF84 |
| H31 | 1 | AF83 |
| H32 | 1 | AF82 |
| H33 | 1 | AF80 |
| H34 | 1 | AF79 |
| H35 | 1 | AF77 |
| H36 | 1 | AF76 |
| H37 | 2 | AF75 AF74 |
| H38 | 1 | AF71 |
| H39 | 3 | AF70 AF51 AF1 |
| H40 | 1 | AF69 |
| H41 | 1 | AF68 |
| H42 | 2 | AF67 AF61 |
| H43 | 2 | AF66 AF59 |
| H44 | 1 | AF65 |
| H45 | 1 | AF64 |
| H46 | 2 | AF63 AF60 |
| H47 | 1 | AF62 |
| H48 | 1 | AF58 |
| H49 | 1 | AF57 |
| H50 | 1 | AF56 |
| H51 | 1 | AF55 |
| H52 | 1 | AF54 |
| H53 | 1 | AF52 |
| H54 | 1 | AF48 |
| H55 | 1 | AF47 |
| H56 | 1 | AF46 |
| H57 | 3 | AF45 AF36 AF35 |
| H58 | 1 | AF44 |
| H59 | 1 | AF43 |
| H60 | 1 | AF42 |
| H61 | 2 | AF41 AF40 |


| H62 | 1 | AF38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H63 | 1 | AF37 |
| H64 | 3 | AF34 AF32 AF28 |
| H65 | 1 | AF33 |
| H66 | 1 | AF31 |
| H67 | 1 | AF30 |
| H68 | 1 | AF29 |
| H69 | 1 | AF24 |
| H70 | 1 | AF23 |
| H71 | 1 | AF17 |
| H72 | 1 | AF16 |
| H73 | 1 | AF15 |
| H74 | 2 | AF14 AF13 |
| H75 | 1 | AF12 |
| H76 | 1 | AF11 |
| H77 | 2 | AF8 AF6 |
| H78 | 1 | AF7 |
| H79 | 1 | AF5 |
| H80 | 1 | AF4 |
| H81 | 1 | AF2 |
| H82 | 1 | GSL (N2-1) |
| H83 | 1 | GSL (S3-6) |
| H84 | 1 | GSL (S2-7) |



Fig. 23. The relationship between ITS1 haplotypes, based on a minimum spanning network for all individuals $(\mathrm{N}=124)$. The diameter of each circle, characterized by a haplotype number, corresponds to the haplotype frequency. Black dots between haplotypes denote a point mutation. Species are shown by different colors. In total, 27 distinct haplotypes (H1 H27) are recognized among lineages.


Fig. 24. COI Median-joining network constructed from 374 A. franciscana sequences. The sizes of the circles are proportional to the number of individuals containing a/the haplotype. Black dots between haplotypes denote a point mutation. Asia, Europe, and America are shown by red, blue, and green colors. Countries are shown by abbreviations. In total, 84 unique haplotypes ( $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 84$ ) are recognized among lineages. One hundred seventy-seven sequences of $A$. franciscana from different localities including Americas and Europe have been included from GenBank. Haplotypes $\mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6$ and H 8 indicate sequences from GSL and SFB. Haplotypes H17, H18, H23, H82, H83 and H84 indicate sequences from GSL.

### 3.3.5. Discussion

## Phylogeny of Artemia

The current study represents the most complete survey, to our knowledge, to focus on the phylogenetic relationships of Asian Artemia and the recent colonization of American species A. franciscana throughout Asia.

Phylogenetic trees (ML \& BI) of Artemia based on mitochondrial sequences (COI) showed inconsistency with nuclear sequences (ITS1). This phylogeny presents several features. Firstly, the genus Artemia consists of seven or nine genetically distinct clusters whose robustness is strongly supported by bootstrap values higher than $75 \%$ and by Bayesian posterior probabilities higher than 90\% in trees (Fig. 19 and 20). A group consists of geographically widely distributed, presumed parthenogenetic populations (EHC), which show a close genetic relationship to $A$. urmiana and $A$. tibetiana. Another cluster includes $A$. sinica, which constitutes a single distinct group. Reproductive incompatibility of A. tibetiana with Asian sister species has been addressed previously (Abatzopoulos et al., 1998, 2002). A low level of genetic divergence associated with the marked morphological diversity of $A$. tibetiana reflects slow rates of divergence or recent speciation (Kappas et al., 2011). In this case, recent speciation is more likely, although the estimated divergence time between $A$. tibetiana and $A$. sinica lies roughly at around 8 Mya (Baxevanis et al., 2006). In COI tree, A. tibetiana showed two distinct clades which necessitate further taxonomical re-evaluation for this species (Muñoz et al., 2010). Two genes (COI \& ITS1) in all provided incongruent splitting evidence showing shared haplotypes between species in Asia. There is a substantial sequence divergence between newly established Asian A. franciscana haplotypes and Asian lineages which indicates a high number of mutations among A. franciscana and EHC lineages. This could be an indication of cryptic lineages in Asia (Muñoz et al., 2010; Havermans et al., 2011; Clare et al., 2013). However, our observations should be regarded carefully, since we could have to do with morphologically cryptic species without obvious different characteristics in nature. So, extensive genetic analyses in conjunction with morphological analyses are required to understand any cryptic diversity of Artemia in Asia. Secondly, there is the larger geographic range of EHC, which indicates their potential colonization abilities, related to their habitat conditions (Muñoz et al., 2010). In fact, EHC lineages may undergo a relaxed selection of dispersal, since they do not suffer from mating limitation when colonizing in new environments (Haag and Ebert, 2004). It has been suggested that the colonization performance of parthenogens is also related to habitat availabilities resulting from natural and human alterations (Muñoz et al., 2010).

A third feature is that two sibling species from the New World have evolved from a common sexual ancestor A. salina in the Mediterranean area (Gajardo and Beardmore,
2012). In our phylogenetic trees, A. franciscana has been broadly expanded into Asia and was much closer to Asian species rather A. persimilis.

## Phylogeography of A. franciscana in Asia

The present study is a first attempt to characterize the colonization of an exotic American species in non-indigenous regions throughout Asia. The characterization of Artemia species depends on biochemical and genetic methods, because there are no reliable morphological characteristics to differentiate among them (Asem et al., 2010a).

Artemia franciscana is an American species that has been introduced throughout Europe and Asia and has established permanent populations along the southern and eastern coastal regions of Asia, as well as in the Mediterranean region (Zheng et al., 2004; Amat et al., 2007; Van Stappen et al., 2007; Ruebhart et al., 2008). A. franciscana from Great Salt Lake has been used for the production of Artemia cysts and biomass, combined with salt production in solar saltworks; but in aquaculture facilities worldwide, it is by far the dominant strain being used (Sorgeloos et al., 2001), and for this reason this strain was included in the analysis. A. franciscana has now colonized wide areas of Asia; it showed an overall high genetic variability in our study, compared to the GSL source population. Generally, invasive populations show a lower genetic diversity in non-indigenous locations compared to the source population (Golani et al., 2007). This has been demonstrated for the introduced Vinh Chau (Vietnam) A. franciscana and its source SFB population, intraspecific genetic differentiation and reduction of haplotype diversity having been demonstrated due to founder effects in the established VC strain within a year after inoculation (Kappas et al., 2004). In our study, COI genetic diversity is higher in the exotic Asian A. franciscana as compared with GSL and native Asian species. This phenomenon has been observed in some Mediterranean A. franciscana populations as well (Hontoria et al., 2012; Muñoz et al., 2014). An overall high genetic diversity among all Asian A. franciscana might be the result of human-mediated numerous dispersal events by multiple introductions from the two primary sources of $A$. franciscana (GSL and SFB) and eventually from non-documented introductions from Central American and European populations (Kolbe et al., 2004; Facon et al., 2006; Roman and Darling, 2007; Hontario et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012; Muñoz et al., 2014). Our findings support the introduction of $A$. franciscana from multiple sources into the Asia which has not been observed in Mediterranean regions using COI gene (Muñoz et al., 2014). In general, higher genetic diversity ensures an adaptive potential and physiological plasticity of the introduced species outside its native region (Dlugosch and Parker, 2008; Ruebhart et al., 2008; Vikas et al., 2012; Muñoz et al., 2014). The reduced COI genetic diversity of A. franciscana in several single Asian localities, however, can be due to population bottlenecks and founder effects during the colonization process.

Competitive superiority of $A$. franciscana over native Eurasian species has been observed following the sequence A. franciscana > "A. parthenogenetica" > A. salina (Van Stappen, 2002; Scalone and Rabet, 2013). According to our study, Asian EHC with lower genetic diversity are more susceptible to invasion than those with higher genetic diversity. However, in the case of invasion by $A$. franciscana of commercial origin, the intensity of nearby aquaculture operations is also a decisive factor determining invasion pressure. EHC contains both automictic and apomictic populations according to Baxevanis et al., (2006). Apomictic populations are genetically identical to their mothers, therefore providing less tolerance for invasion (Allendorf et al., 2013). Further study is required in order to establish a link between the invasive successes of A. franciscana in Asia, on the one hand, and apomixis of the local parthenogenetic populations, on the other. Consequently, biodiversity threats, e.g. the extinction of local species, might be assessed from a long-term evolutionary perspective. The widespread pattern of $A$. franciscana outside America is a relatively recent phenomenon and just a few decades old, due to the recent expansion of aquaculture. Thus, colonization of $A$. franciscana in Asia is at an initial stage (Van Stappen et al., 2007). More systematic investigation is thus required to document the post-inoculation micro-evolutionary changes of this species in its new environments.

If related species come into contact after artificial introductions, hybridization might occur (Ellstrand and Schierenbeck, 2000; Allendorf et al., 2013). Thus far, natural hybridization has been shown to occur among the three presumably oldest species ( $A$. franciscana, A. persimilis and A. salina) through unidirectional mitochondrial introgression. Hybridization between A. franciscana and A. salina resulted in a maternally-derived haplotype, which clustered in the A. salina group (Kappas et al., 2009). In our ITS1 tree, a possible hybridization event between A. tibetiana and EHC lineages could be estimated. There is also partial infertility when crossing between $A$. franciscana on the one hand and $A$. sinica, A. urmiana, and A. salina on the other (Zheng et al., 2004). Unlike terrestrial environments, the dynamics of hybrid zones in aquatic environments such as lakes and ponds have not yet been modeled appropriately (Kappas et al., 2009). Further studies are needed to determine potential hybrids among Old World Artemia and hybrids of A. franciscana with Asian species. Moreover, hybridization of rare males of diploid parthenogenetic populations with Asian sexual females produced functionally fertilized and viable diploid F1 hybrids. This observation would open up a new window on parthenogenesis through hybridization (Maccari et al., 2013a).

Asian A. franciscana showed no phylogeographic pattern, which is the result of natural (migratory birds, wind) and anthropogenic activities (use of Artemia nauplii as live food in hatcheries and Artemia production in solar salt works). Human activities have initiated
and accelerated the rate of colonization of American A. franciscana in Asia, for example the developing aquaculture industry. The passive dispersal of Artemia cysts by waterfowl has been indicated by Green et al. (2005). Maniatsi et al. (2011) indicated that the differences in genetic diversity among "A. parthenogenetica" populations were related to their ploidy level/reproductive mode (automixis vs apomixis). Although the ploidy levels of some Eurasian populations have been documented (Maniatsi et al., 2011), we conclude from our study that EHC is a mixture of clones with different, but as yet unknown, ploidy levels and sexual systems, which need to be further analyzed in detail.

### 3.4 Analysis of the Genetic Variability of Artemia franciscana Kellogg, 1906 from the Great Salt Lake (USA) Based on mtDNA Sequences, ISSR Genomic Fingerprinting and Biometry

### 3.4.1. Abstract

The genetic structure of the brine shrimp Artemia franciscana, an extremophile halophilic microcrustacean, from the Great Salt Lake (USA), was investigated by analysis of nucleotide sequences of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI), genomic fingerprinting by ISSR-PCR (inter-simple sequence repeats) and biometry. Samples from six different geographical localities of Great Salt Lake revealed 11 distinct haplotypes with a low level of haplotype diversity ( $H D=0.68$ ). Phylogenetic and genetic fingerprinting analyses supported the null hypothesis, that the brine shrimps of this lake form a panmictic gene pool with a low level of genetic differentiation index $\left(F_{S T}=0.05\right)$ and a high rate of gene flow ( $\mathrm{Nm}=$ 2.8) between geographical areas. Moreover, morphological differences supported the presence of a panmictic population. Neutrality tests and mismatch distribution revealed that A. franciscana has undergone a recent population expansion. In spite of hypersaline conditions in the Northern (Gunnison Bay) regions of the GSL, the genetic population structures of different areas of the lake are not linked to ecological or limnological conditions. Therefore, A. franciscana from the Great Salt Lake should be considered as a single management unit for conservation.
Keywords: Genetic variability, Population structure, Artemia franciscana, Great Salt Lake, Panmixia

### 3.4.2. Introduction

Artemia (Crustacea, Anostraca) - a continental extremophile zooplankter - is a small macroscopic organism with a wide distribution across all continents except Antarctica (Maniatsi et al., 2009; Kappas et al., 2011). Artemia is extremely osmotolerant and can inhabit hypersaline lakes or lagoons, which are hostile environments for most other organisms (Gajardo and Beardmore, 2012).

The genus Artemia comprises six distinct sexual species and a Eurasian lineage complex containing parthenogenetic populations (Gajardo et al., 2002). Four established sexual species occur in restricted regions of Eurasia, including A. salina in the Mediterranean basin (Triantaphyllidis et al., 1997), A. urmiana Günther, 1899 in Lake Urmia (Iran) and Lake Koyashskoe, Ukraine (Abatzopoulos et al., 2009), A. sinica in China Cai, 1989, and A. tibetiana in Tibet (Abatzopoulos et al., 1998). An undescribed new species might exist in Kazakhstan Pilla and Beardmore, 1994. The two other sexual species are found in America
with A. franciscana throughout North and South America, and A. persimilis Piccinelli and Prosdocimi, 1968 in Argentina and Chile.

The Great Salt Lake (GSL) is the largest hypersaline lake in North America and the fourth largest lake in the world. GSL is located in the arid western United States ( $40^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $112^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) and has an average elevation of $1,280 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level, a size of $113 \times 48 \mathrm{~km}$ with an average maximum water depth of 11.5 m (Moon et al., 2008). Historically, GSL is the vestige of the ancient large freshwater Lake Bonneville ( $51,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) which had begun to shrink at the end of Pleistocene to the present smaller size (4,200 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ). Neither Lake Bonneville nor the Great Salt Lake had a natural outflow to oceans (Utah Geological Survey, Jones et al., 2009). Lake Bonneville has undergone numerous geological and hydrological alterations over the past century and subsequently is characterized by multiple sedimentary layers (Stephens, 1990; Post, 1977). The land within the GSL consists of 8 islands. The lake harbors a unique ecosystem with numerous bacterial taxa, halophytic phytoplankton, several protozoa and especially two keystone species: brine flies (Ephydridae) and brine shrimp Artemia. In addition, the GSL hosts more than 250 million migrating birds (Aldrich and Paul, 2002). A. franciscana of GSL has been exploited as a commercial species and is used as a high value live food in the international aquaculture industry (Sorgeloos et al., 2001).

Before 1955, Gunnison Bay and Gilbert Bay were part of a continuous lake area that had a typical salinity ranging between 20 to $27 \%$ (Stephens, 1990). In 1959, the construction of a semipermeable Southern Pacific Railroad Causeway (SPRC) divided the lake into two distinct bays: the Northern region (Gunnison Bay) and the Southern region (Gilbert Bay). Due to a lack of freshwater influx into Gunnison Bay, this region of GSL has become de facto a terminal lake and its salinity has reached saturation (salinity of approximately 28\%) (Loving et al., 2002). According to recent assessments of water salinity, Gunnison Bay has a much higher salinity that Gilbert Bay (295 vs 140 ppt) (Marden, pers. com., 2014). Gilbert Bay receives inflow from several major freshwater rivers, it is less saline (16\%) and supports a diverse fauna and flora (Wurtsbaugh, 1992; Stephens, 1998).

The question arises of whether the unequal salinity in Gunnison and Gilbert Bay has an influence on genetics and population structure of $A$. franciscana. In a previous study, we could show that $A$. urmiana in the hypersaline Lake Urmia (Iran) (which shows several similarities to GSL) constitutes a single panmictic population with a lack of apparent population structure across the lake (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). The biological and ecological importance of $A$. franciscana for GSL has stimulated systematic research to determine its cyst size and population structure across the entire lake. The underlying genetic variation of Artemia in the GSL is relatively unknown and systematic studies have investigated a potential linkage between geographical and ecological differences within the

GSL and genetic variation of $A$. franciscana. In the present study we have investigated cyst diameter and genetic population structure of A. franciscana from 6 different geographical regions in the Great Salt Lake including the high-saline Gunnison Bay and the less saline Gilbert Bay. Nucleotide sequences of the mitochondrial COI gene and genomic fingerprinting by ISSR-PCR were carried out to understand morphological and genomic variability of $A$. franciscana in GSL and to test whether the separation of the lake into two parts already shows an influence.

### 3.4.3. Materials and methods

## Sampling and DNA extraction

Sixty-one individuals of A. franciscana (cyst) were collected from 6 geographical regions distributed across Great Salt Lake (Fig. 25). Table 27 summarizes relevant information regarding sampling sites, voucher numbers, number of individuals studied, geographical coordinates, and corresponding locality codes (used hereafter). There is no specific permissions required for these locations/activities. Our field studies did not involve endangered or protected species which provided by the specific locations in our study (e.g. GPS coordinates). Total genomic DNA was extracted from single cysts using Chelex-100 (6\%, Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA) as described in (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). Extracted DNA was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used for subsequent genetic analysis.

## PCR amplification of marker genes and sequence alignment

The mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (CO) was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using established primer pairs (Muñoz et al., 2008). Standard PCR conditions were performed in a total volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in a thermocycler (Biometra, Tgradient, Germany) with Taq DNA polymerase (Bioron GmbH, Germany) and sequencing was outlined in (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). DNA sequences were edited using Bioedit sequence alignment version 7.0.9.0 (Hall, 1999). Both strands of DNA were inspected visually. In order to ensure the absence of stop codons, all sequences were translated into amino acids using MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013).

## Phylogenetic analyses

Phylogenetic analyses included maximum likelihood (ML) in Phyml vers. 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010) and Bayesian inference (BI) in MrBayes v. 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). The closely related A. persimilis from South America was selected as an outgroup. The best fitting nucleotide substitution model of DNA was selected based on Akaike Information Criterion model (AICc) as implemented in jModelTest v. 0.1.1 (Posada, 2008). 1000 bootstrap replications were run for the ML tree for statistical analysis.

A codon-based partitioned Bayesian analysis was conducted under the following conditions: two simultaneous runs, $8,000,000$ generations, a random starting tree with four
independent Markov chains (MCMC), tree sampling every 500 generations, early-phase 0.5 million generations (50\%) trees discarded as burn-in. Posterior clade probabilities (PP) were calculated from the post remaining tree in order to support branches reliability (Erixon et al., 2003).

Genetic diversity indices were computed with the Dnasp v. 5.00 (Librado and Rozas, 2009) based on polymorphic sites (V), number of haplotypes (H), haplotype diversity ( $h$ ) and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ). Population demographic events were evaluated by calculating Tajima's $D$ (Tajima, 1989) and Fu's Fs values (Fu, 1997) using the Arlequin 3.5 package.

Table 27 Origin of A. franciscana samples, including the IPMB voucher number, and their GenBank accession numbers.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { IPMB/ } \\ \text { Voucher } \\ \text { Number }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Geographical } \\ \text { locality }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Locality } \\ \text { code }\end{array} & \text { Individuals } & \text { Geographical coordinates } & \text { GenBank } \\ \text { accession } \\ \text { numbers }\end{array}\right]$ Pending 9 "
(Excoffier and Lischer, 2010). Mismatch distributions were determined according to the sudden expansion model in Arlequin 3.5. We used goodness-of-fit tests based on the sum of squared deviations (SSD) and raggedness index (Rag) to test the significance of fit of distribution.

Relationships among haplotypes were reconstructed using the NETWORK program (Bandelt et al., 1999), based on a median joining algorithm. The relationship between geographic and genetic distances was evaluated by Isolation-by-Distance (IBD) analysis, which is included in the IBDWS software v. 3.14 (Jensen et al., 2005), implementing 30,000 randomizations. IBDWS performs Mantel tests with Reduced Major Axis (RMA) regression analysis. Geographic distances (km) between localities were calculated using the Google map distance calculator.

## Genomic fingerprinting by ISSR-PCR

Inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR)-PCR was carried out using fifteen primers. All primers were checked to identify variability within and among 60 randomly selected individuals from the Great Salt Lake. Five out of fifteen screened primers were chosen because banding patterns were sharp and unambiguous (Table 28, Fig. 26). PCR amplifications were carried out in a $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ final volume and properly labelled with radioactive [ $\alpha{ }^{33}$ P]-dATP (Perkin Elmer, LAS, GmbH, Germany). PCR products were run through highresolution denaturing polyacrylamide gels $6 \%(0.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.02 \mathrm{~cm})$ and exposed to X-ray hyperfilm (Kodak, Taufkirchen, Germany) as elucidated by (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). A significant number of individuals per locality (30\%) were taken for repeated PCR amplification to ensure reproducibility and repeatability of each marker.

## ISSR statistical analysis

ISSR bands were checked visually and only the unequivocally reproducible ones were scored for each individual as present (1) or absent (0). The binary data matrix (presence/absence) was constructed in MS Excel and used for genetic analyses (Table 29). All six localities were analyzed using FAFLPcalc (Dasmahapatra et al., 2008) and subsequently POPGENE v. 1.31 (Yeh et al., 1999) for the following parameters: Shannon's information index (I), number of polymorphic loci (NPL) and percentage of polymorphic loci (PPL).

In order to check the assumption of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) of populations, inbreeding coefficient $F_{\text {AFLP }}$ (an analog to $F_{\text {IS }}$ ) was calculated using FAFLPcalc ((Dasmahapatra et al., 2008). Genetic diversity values were measured with different levels of inbreeding coefficients within populations ( $F_{\text {IS }}=0,0.05,0.1,0.15,0.2,0.25,0.3$ and 0.35 ) using FAFLPcalc (Dasmahapatra et al., 2008).

Jaccard's coefficient index was used for calculating the similarity matrix among individuals of localities. The similarity coefficients were then employed to construct dendrograms using the Community Analysis Package (Seaby and Henderson, 2007). Principle coordinate analysis (PCA) was conducted to assess the relationships among individuals using the DCENTER and EIGEN modules of the NTSYS-pc 2.02 software package (Rohlf, 1998).

A non-parametric analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was performed in order to determine genetic variability within and among populations using the Arlequin v. 3.5 package (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) with 10,000 permutations. All individuals were grouped into 6 different groups, corresponding to geographical localities. A Mantel test was applied to find out the correlation of genetic and geographic distances (km) between all location pairs using the NTSYS-pc 2.02 software package (Rohlf, 1998), with 1000 random permutations.

The genetic differentiation coefficient $\left(\mathrm{G}_{S T}\right)$ was calculated by POPGENE. The Wright's ( $F_{S T}$ ) averaged over loci and total gene diversity ( $H t$ ) were calculated by using AFLP-SURV v. 1.0 (Vekemans, 2002). We applied a Bayesian model-based clustering algorithm using STRUCTURE v. 2.2 (Pritchard et al., 2000; Falush et al., 2007) in order to construct population structure for ISSR. This algorithm assigns individuals into a potential number of clusters $(K)$ which is varied from 1 to 10 . We performed 10 independent runs using the admixture model with correlated allele frequencies for each $K$ value. MCMCs were processed for 500,000 cycles with the first $20 \%$ cycles discarded as burn-in. The most appropriate $K$ was determined using Delta K explained by (Evanno et al., 2005).

## Biometry of Artemia cysts

A small number of cysts from each locality were hydrated according to the method carried out by (Asem et al., 2007). A few drops of 1\% Lugol's solution were added to prevent metabolic activity within the cysts during the hydration process. The diameter of 900 hydrated cysts from six localities was measured under a microscope equipped with an eyepiece calibration that is based on micrometer dimensions.

The hydrated cysts were decapsulated using an equal volume of NaOCl and a few drops of NaOH according to the method explained by (Bruggemann et al., 1980). A total quantity/number of 900 decapsulated cysts was randomly chosen and considered for subsequent measuring.
Chorion thickness (CT) was calculated according to the following formula:
$\mathrm{CT}=($ Mean diameter of untreated cyst - Mean diameter of decapsulated cyst)/2

## Data analysis

All diameters (capsulated, decapsulated, and chorion thickness) from six localities were analyzed by one-way ANOVA in order to determine mean values (Tukey test, $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) as implemented in the IBM SPSS v. 21 package (Brosius, 2013). Hierarchical cluster analysis and Principle Coordinate Analysis (PCA) were performed in order to find relationships among localities using SPSS v. 21 package (Brosius, 2013).

Table 28 List of primers screened for ISSR analysis, sequences (5'-3'), GC content, annealing temperature, amplification pattern, and total number of loci amplified in $A$. franciscana.

| Primer | Motif <br> $\left(5^{\prime}-3 '\right)$ | GC <br> $(\%)$ | Annealing <br> temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Amplification <br> pattern | Total <br> number of <br> bands |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ISSR1 | $(\mathrm{TG})_{8 G}$ | 52.9 | $48-54$ | Smear | - |
| ISSR2 | $(\mathrm{CAC})_{5}$ | 66.7 | $48-54$ | Smear | - |
| ISSR3 | $(\mathrm{GACA})_{4}$ | 50 | $48-54$ | Smear | - |
| ISSR4 | $(\mathrm{AG})_{12}$ | 50 | $48-54$ | Poor | - |
| ISSR5 | $(\mathrm{TC})_{9}$ | 50 | $48-54$ | Poor | - |
| ISSR6 | $(\mathrm{GT})_{10}$ | 50 | $48-54$ | Smear | - |
| ISSR7 | $(\mathrm{CA})_{10} \mathrm{~A}$ | 47.6 | $48-54$ | Poor | - |
| ISSR8 | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{5}$ | 33.3 | $48-54$ | No amplification | - |
| ISSR9 | $(\mathrm{CAG})_{6}$ | 66.7 | $48-54$ | No amplification | - |
| ISSR10 | $(\mathrm{AC})_{8 C}$ | 52.9 | $48-54$ | No amplification | - |
| ISSR11 | $(\mathrm{AG})_{8} \mathrm{C}$ | 52.9 | 48 | Good \& sharp | 32 |
| ISSR12 | $(\mathrm{AG})_{8} \mathrm{YT}$ | 50 | 48 | Good \& sharp | 35 |
| ISSR13 | $(\mathrm{GA})_{9}$ T | 47.4 | 50 | Good \& sharp | 28 |
| ISSR14 | $(\mathrm{GTG})_{5}$ | 67 | 50 | Good \& sharp | 17 |
| ISSR15 | $(\mathrm{GA})_{9 C}$ | 53 | 49 | Good \& sharp | 35 |

### 3.4.4. Results

## Genetic diversity and phylogeography

The mitochondrial COI sequence set had a total length of 649 nucleotides. A total of 11 haplotypes were detected among 39 substitutions from A. franciscana collected in 6 geographical localities (S1 to S3, N1 to N3). Among them, two haplotypes (H1, H2) were common and were shared by 16 and 36 individuals which came from all 6 localities (Table 30). A few singleton haplotypes were observed. Haplotype diversity varied from 0.2 to 0.86 , being the highest in S2 and S3 localities (Table 31). The mean haplotype diversity was higher ( $\mathrm{HD}=0.6$ ), whilst nucleotide diversity was lower among regions ( $\pi=0.001$ ). The mean haplotype and nucleotide diversity were higher in southern rather than northern localities ( $H D=0.68, \pi=0.001$ ). Neutrality tests resulted in negative values with nonsignificant outcome (Tajima's $D=-0.29, P=0.46$, Fu's $F s=-0.49, P=0.25$ ). The
mismatch distributions for COI sequences produced a unimodal pattern. A low and nonsignificant raggedness value ( $r=0.22 \pm 0.11, \mathrm{P}=0.34$; $\mathrm{SSD}=0.07 \pm 0.12, \mathrm{P}=0.35$ ) in all 61 sequences indicates that the species might have experienced a sudden population expansion. The most appropriate GTR model with all proposed parameters was used for the construction of ML and Bl trees. This was due to the suggested HKY+G model and has not been implemented in ML and BI approaches. The GTR model has been employed before in phylogenetic studies on Artemia populations (Muñoz et al., 2010; Eimanifar and Wink, 2013).

ML and BI trees were reconstructed based on 61 individuals from six different geographical localities. The trees had almost identical topologies with some minor differences which were not supported by significant bootstrap values (Fig. 27). Individuals from sites S1 to S3 and N1 to N3 were not clustered but randomly distributed, implying the lack of population structure and high connectivity among localities. The pattern obtained was confirmed by a haplotype-spanning network analysis in which geographically separated haplotypes could not be identified (Fig. 28). According to our results, A. franciscana from southern localities have more haplotypes than those of the hyper-saline northern sites (Table 31). As expected, a Mantel test of unbiased Nei's genetic and geographic distances showed an absence of significant patterns of isolation by distance ( $Z=152648.3116, r=-0.2680$, one-sided $P=0.85$ ).

## Table 29 Construction of ISSR binary matrix for A. franciscana localities in Great Salt Lake.
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## ISSR profiling

Artemia exhibits a substantial amount of genetic diversity through the accumulation of a high number of repetitive STR sequences within its entire genome (Hundsdoerfer et al., 2005; Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). Due to this, ISSR-profiles can differ between individuals. All primers generated a total of 147 reproducible and distinguishable ISSR bands, of which 143 were polymorphic (98\%). The highest and lowest levels of genetic variability were observed in S3, N3 (S3: NPL $=107$, i.e. $73 \%, H j=0.34 \pm 0.01 \& N 3$ : NPL $=119$, i.e. $81 \%, H j$ $=0.34 \pm 0.01$ ) and N 1 ( $\mathrm{NPL}=99$, i.e. $67 \%, H j=0.27 \pm 0.01$ ). There is a slight trend toward higher genetic diversity by increasing $F_{I S}$. Assuming a slight departure from HWE, all genetic diversity indexes were calculated based on the calculated inbreeding coefficient level ( $F_{\text {IS }}=$ 0.31 ). The intraspecific genetic variability for six localities of $A$. franciscana was estimated to be from 0.27 to 0.34 , with a mean of $0.33 \pm 0.02$. The overall level of gene diversity indicates a significant level of genetic variability among all prospected localities (Table 32).

Six localities of $A$. franciscana were classified into two distinct geographical regions, north and south. The greater number of genetic polymorphisms was observed in southern localities (PPL $=73.3 \%$, Ht (total gene diversity) $=0.32$. An overall Nei's gene differentiation $\left(\mathrm{G}_{S T}\right)$ and a fixation index $\left(\mathrm{F}_{S T}\right)$ were calculated to be 0.15 and 0.05 , indicating that nearly $92 \%$ of the genetic variation occurred within A. franciscana population (Table 33).

The relationships among 60 individuals were subjected to a cluster analysis based on Jaccard similarity coefficient (J) and the UPGMA algorithm. The UPGMA analysis clustered all individuals into five major groups, whose distribution of individuals was consistent with the COI phylogeny tree. The Mantel test for ISSR data did not reveal any significant correlation between genetic and geographic distances ( $r=0.618, P=1$ ). The result of Principle Coordinate Analysis (PCA) scatterplot was in accordance with UPGMA clustering, indicating a random distribution of $A$. franciscana individuals in the Great Salt Lake.

## ISSR profiles

The total genetic variability with an admixture ancestry model without any prior information was further analyzed, based on Bayesian clustering analysis using the STRUCTURE program. The best fit number of $K$ was inferred based on the maximum statistic of $D K$ when using 5 ISSR loci ( $D K=16.60568$ ). The optimum $K$ was obtained at $K=$ 3 and defined by three different colors, which reveals a relatively greater variability of maximum likelihood among different tested $K$. In the bar plot, each individual belonged to a single vertical line represented by one of the three different segments. The genetic composition of individuals per locality was assigned to several segments, depending on the genetic similarities of individuals. The spatial population structure of all individuals did not
correspond to geographic divisions, indicating a random genetic exchange among individuals across geographical localities in the lake (Fig. 25).

## Morphological variation

The mean diameter value of non-decapsulated cysts showed the largest and smallest size in S2 $(233.06 \pm 9.83 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and N3 $(216.17 \pm 9.15 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. The largest measures in decapsulated cysts were seen in S1 and S2 ( $217.58 \pm 11.93$ \& $217.65 \pm 10.90 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and smallest in S3 $(210.81 \pm 10.44 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. All cyst diameters for each locality, as well as chorion thickness, are documented in Table 34.

Our results suggest that all 3 cyst parameters of $A$. franciscana exhibit sound variation between 6 geographical regions, but chorion thickness plays a considerable role in the differentiation of individuals from each locality. Chorion thickness in A. franciscana varied between 1.07 to $8.65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, showing variability among different cysts examined here. Hierarchical analysis clustered all individuals into two main groups, whose distribution showed no distinct geographical pattern, which was supported also by PCA analysis (Fig. 29).

Table 30 Data matrix of polymorphic sites among 61 COI sequences, number of unique haplotypes, and their frequencies. H indicates haplotype and F haplotype frequencies.

| H | F | Individuals \& locations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1 | 37 |  |
|  |  | S1-1 S1-3 S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-8 N1-1 N1-2 |
|  |  | N1-3 N1-5 N1-6 N1-7 N1-8 N1-9 N1-10 N2-2 |
|  |  | N2-3 N2-4 N2-7 N2-8 N2-9 N2-10 S3-2 S3-5 |
|  |  | S3-9 S3-10 N3-1 N3-3 N3-4 N3-6 N3-7 N3-8 |
| H2 | 16 | S3-9 S2-1 S2-4 S2-9 S2-11 |
|  |  | S3-8 N3-2 N3-5 N3-10 S2-2 S2-3 S2-8 S2-10 |
| H3 | 1 | N2-1 |
| H4 | 1 | N2-5 |
| H5 | 1 | S3-3 |
| H6 | 1 | S3-4 |
| H7 | 1 | S3-6 |
| H8 | 1 | S2-5 |
| H9 | 1 | S2-6 |
| H10 | 1 | S2-7 |
| H11 | 1 | A. persimilis (outgroup) |

Table 31 Summary of genetic diversity indices for A. franciscana from six geographical regions of GSL.

| Regions | $\mathbf{N}^{\star}$ | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{H D}$ | $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ | $\boldsymbol{K}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $0.2 \pm 0.15$ | $0.0003 \pm 0.0005$ | 0.2 |
| N 2 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 5 | $0.66 \pm 0.16$ | $0.001 \pm 0.001$ | 0.95 |
| N3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $0.46 \pm 0.13$ | $0.0007 \pm 0.0005$ | 0.46 |
| S1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $0.53 \pm 0.09$ | $0.0008 \pm 0.0005$ | 0.53 |
| S2 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $0.78 \pm 0.09$ | $0.002 \pm 0.001$ | 1.27 |
| S3 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $0.86 \pm 0.10$ | $0.003 \pm 0.002$ | 1.88 |
| Total | 61 | 11 | 11 | 11 | $0.6 \pm 0.05$ | $0.001 \pm 0.001$ | 0.76 |

$\mathbf{N}$ : number of individuals, $\boldsymbol{V}$ : number of polymorphic sites, $\boldsymbol{M}$ : number of nucleotide substitutions, $\boldsymbol{H}$ : number of haplotypes, $\boldsymbol{H D}$ : haplotype diversity, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ : nucleotide diversity and $\boldsymbol{K}$ : average number of nucleotide differences per locality.

Table 32 Summary of genetic variation statistics for all loci of ISSR among six geographical locations of $A$. franciscana in GSL.

| Regions | $\mathbf{N}$ | $(H) \pm$ SD | $I($ mean $\pm$ SD $)$ | NPL | PPL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | 10 | $0.27 \pm 0.01$ | $0.34 \pm 0.26$ | 99 | 67 |
| N2 | 10 | $0.3 \pm 0.01$ | $0.36 \pm 0.25$ | 106 | 72 |
| N3 | 10 | $0.34 \pm 0.01$ | $0.4 \pm 0.23$ | 119 | 81 |
| S1 | 10 | $0.32 \pm 0.01$ | $0.38 \pm 0.25$ | 109 | 75 |
| S2 | 10 | $0.31 \pm 0.01$ | $0.38 \pm 0.27$ | 106 | 72 |
| S3 | 10 | $0.34 \pm 0.01$ | $0.38 \pm 0.26$ | 107 | 73 |

Hj, Nei's gene diversity; I, Shannon's information index; NPL, Number of polymorphic loci; PPL, percentage of polymorphic loci.

Table 33 Overall genetic variability across all the 60 A. franciscana individuals based on ISSR analysis.

| Marker | $\boldsymbol{I}$ | $\boldsymbol{H t}$ | NPL | $\boldsymbol{P P L}$ | $\mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{S T}}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{S T}}$ | $\mathbf{N m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ISSR | $0.45 \pm 0.19$ | $0.33 \pm 0.02$ | 143 | 98 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 2.8 |

I, Shannon's information index; $\boldsymbol{H t}$, total genetic diversity; NPL, no. of polymorphic loci; $\boldsymbol{P P L}$, percentage of polymorphic loci; $\mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{S T}}$, gene differentiation; $\mathbf{F}_{S T}$, Wright inbreeding coefficient; Nm, gene flow

Table 34 Mean $\pm$ SD of the cyst diameter, the decapsulated cyst diameter, and the chorion thickness for $A$. franciscana from six different geographical regions.

| Regions | Untreated Cyst $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ <br> $($ Mean $\pm$ SD) | Decapsulated Cyst <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ <br> $($ Mean $\pm$ SD $)$ | Chorion Thickness <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| N1 | $230.99 \pm 11.32$ <br> a | $213.69 \pm 11.16$ <br> ab | 8.65 |
| N2 | $231.92 \pm 11.80$ <br> a | $216.32 \pm 10.71$ <br> a | 7.8 |
| N3 | $216.17 \pm 9.15$ <br> b | $214.03 \pm 10.01$ <br> ab | 1.07 |
| S1 | $232.71 \pm 11.18$ <br> a | $217.58 \pm 11.93$ <br> a | 7.56 |
| S2 | $233.06 \pm 9.83$ <br> a | $217.65 \pm 10.90$ <br> a | 7.7 |
| S3 | $218.30 \pm 13.12$ <br> b | $210.81 \pm 10.44$ <br> b | 3.74 |

Note: the same alphabetical indicates non-significant values.


Fig. 25. ISSR fingerprinting schematic representation of sampling localities and proportion of genetic clusters for each locality, generated by Bayesian analysis of A. franciscana (STRUCTURE, $K=3$ ). 1-3: distinct genetic clusters.


Fig. 26. A representative of ISSR gel for A. franciscana populations using $(A G)_{8} C$. Southern geographical localities are shown by S1, S2 and S3. Northern geographical localities are shown by N1, N2 and N3.


Fig. 27. Molecular phylogeny of $A$. franciscana based on the COI gene, generated by maximum likelihood using MEGA6 program. Major nodes are supported by bootstrap and posterior probabilities values from left to right. $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 10=$ distinct haplotypes.


Fig. 28. Construction of median joining network for 10 COI haplotypes of $A$. franciscana. From GSL. Each circle denotes a haplotype, and the proportions of each circle correspond to haplotype frequencies (the smaller circles are based on a single sample). Small black dots represent the number of nucleotide substitutions between haplotypes. Geographical localities of $A$. franciscana in Great Salt Lake are illustrated by different colors.



Fig. 29. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of three morphological traits $(N=1800)$.

### 3.4.5. Discussion

## Genetic diversity and population structure

The Great Salt Lake is the home of a commercially important species of $A$. franciscana in North America. In spite of its broad utilization, the genetic diversity and population structure have not been studied in detail. In the present study, A. franciscana showed an overall high genetic diversity (COI, ISSR data), particularly in southern regions of GSL, but a lower diversity in the hyper-saline and isolated northern part. Estimates of genetic differentiation for both mitochondrial DNA and ISSR did not show genetic structure among localities, even though a mean significant $F_{S T}$ value was detected for ISSR loci. Considering the morphological data of the cyst size, again no common relationship between localities could be detected. These results support the hypothesis that A. franciscana of GSL still constitutes a single panmictic population, followed by a substantial amount of gene flow (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). The GSL has been receiving inflow from several freshwater rivers from the Southern and Eastern regions, which presumably provides favorable conditions for the species to construct a relative abundance of numerous singleton haplotypes (Post, 1977; Eimanifar et al., 2006; Eimanifar and Wink, 2013), although the lake has experienced hydrological changes of water level in both regions, which basically limits the connectivity between both areas. Another crucial factor affecting the population diversity could be the hyper-saline conditions in the northern sites (Arnow and Stephens, 1990; Larson and Belovsky. 2013). Salt compositions and concentrations create different ecological conditions in saline lakes (Hontoria and Amat, 1992). Although the GSL shows significant differences in salinity between its northern and southern regions (Larson and Belovsky. 2013), our results did not reveal corresponding genetic clusters. A similar situation was observed among $A$. urmiana from Lake Urmia, implying that no strong ecological differentiation exists in the GSL (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013), or that these ecological happenstances are too recent to result in any genetic differentiation.

The high genetic diversity of $A$. franicscana could be attributed to sexual behavior and large population size in the GSL. A similar and higher level of haplotype diversity has been observed in A. salina ( $H D=0.58$ ), A. urmiana ( $H D=0.87$ ), and the decapod crustacean Aristeus antennatus ( $H=0.8-0.9$ ) (Muñoz et al., 2008; Maggio et al., 2009; Eimanifar and Wink, 2013). Interestingly, ISSR profiles were more complex in A. franciscana than in A. urmiana, indicating the presence of a high number of microsatellite sequences in the genome of $A$. franciscana.

It is noteworthy that differences in genetic diversity between $A$. franciscana from USA and $A$. urmiana from Iran might be correlated to habitat heterogeneities, geographical ranges, and the hydrological regimes of both lakes (Post, 1977; Eimanifar and Mohebbi, 2007). A.
franciscana is capable of maintaining a high genetic diversity by adapting to unfavorable environmental conditions such as high salinity ranges (Parmesan, 2006; Jump et al., 2009; Takahashi and Katano, 2010).
A. franciscana populations showed a significant negative value of neutrality with sound unimodal distribution, signifying a recent population expansion in the lake. The starlinked haplotype network and mismatch distribution parameters (SSD, raggedness index) also indicate a process of expansion, which has also been detected in A. urmiana in Lake Urmia (Eimanifar and Wink, 2013).

Zooplankters such as Artemia are easily dispersed via migratory waterfowl, wind (cysts), hydrological connectivity and anthropogenic influences (intentional and nonintentional introductions into new areas). Coupled with the absence of physical barriers across the lake, these mechanisms could facilitate extensive gene exchange among $A$. franciscana populations throughout the lake (Cowen et al., 2000; Green et al., 2005; Maniatsi et al., 2009). Similarly, our results, based on genetic and morphological evidence, revealed the lack of genetic structure, emphasizing a panmixia of $A$. franciscana in GSL, similar to the situation of $A$. urmiana in Lake Urmia. Panmixia has been observed among others in the Antarctic krill Euphausia vallentini, the crustacean Aristeus antennatus and a gill parasite Gotocotyla sawara (Chiang et al., 2006; Harkins et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2014).

## Morphological variation

All three cyst traits revealed a smaller cyst size of $A$. franciscana in comparison to $A$. urmiana, which suggest its applicability in the aquaculture industry. In A. urmiana, most differentiation of the population was related to the diameter of decapsulated cysts and the chorion thickness (Bruggemann et al., 1980). A. urmiana showed that the widest variation of chorion thickness ranged between $1.2-9.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Abatzopoulos et al., 2006).

Although biometry differences were noticed between individuals of A. franciscana from GSL, they were not strong enough to suggest disjunctive populations. Instead, influences of physico-chemical parameters and food availability are the most plausible reasons to explain the differences in cyst sizes (Abatzopoulos et al., 2006). Once again, the morphological clustering pattern of $A$. franciscana is consistent with the pattern observed for A. urmiana, indicating a random distribution of morphotypes across the lake (Asem et al., 2007).

## Conservation implications

The genetic and morphological data of $A$. franciscana from the GSL suggests the presence of a panmictic population with no apparent geographic differentiation. Therefore, $A$. franciscana from the GSL can be regarded as a single management unit for conservation.

## 4 <br> General discussion and conclusions

### 4.1. Asian Artemia - species complex pattern

IN THIS THESIS, new information is given on the biogeographic history, population structure and genetic diversity of Artemia lineages from a wide range of geographical localities across Eurasia and America. Moreover, this thesis provides valuable information about the distribution pattern of Artemia lineages from unexplored regions throughout Asia.

Asia is a geographically diverse continent and has enriched hydrochemical and geological resources (Zheng, 2002). Phylogenetic studies on Asian Artemia have hardly been carried out. Our extensive phylogeny and phylogeographic studies have shown that Asian Artemia clustered into several genetically distinct clades, whose species distributions were inconsistent with each other. A. tibetiana shows a difference in genetic structure depending on the molecular markers. The distribution of $A$. tibetiana has been restricted to the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau due to this region having a relatively young geological age with a very diverse chemical and geological composition. In this study, putative parthenogenetic populations are nominated as "Eurasian Haplotype complexes" (EHC) according to the topology in their phylogenetic trees. The "EHC" term has not previously been used at all becuase (Baxevanis et al., 2006; Muñoz et al., 2010; Maccari et al., 2013) the reproductive mode of Artemia lineages has been has been established by rearing them under standard laboratory conditions. In the current study, we have used cysts as the material for all genetic analyses and we did not identify whether they were sexual or parthenogenetic (asexual). As a consequence, we have introduced the term Eurasian Haplotype Complex (EHC) to describe a group of populations sharing the same basic haplotype.

An analysis of COI haplotype revealed a species complex for A. urmiana. This species showed a reduced range of endemicity by sharing several haplotypes belonging to EHC lineages in Eurasia. A significant genetic diversity has been observed among sexual species consistent with our expectations based on their life history in Asia. EHC lineages revealed a low level of genetic diversity with the exception of European EHC. The highest level of genetic diversity observed among European EHC lineages is mostly due to the presence of rare males which has already been explained by Maccari et al. (2013).

The dispersion pattern of $A$. urmiana is barely recognizable since there are several hypersaline lakes and lagoons in Iran which have not been colonized by A. urmiana. In addition, several localities in Iraq (Maknoon, 2001; Salman et al., 2012), Ukraine (Shadrin and Batogova, 2008; Shadrin et al., 2012) and Russia (Shadrin and Anufriieva, 2012) have been colonized by A. urmiana, but their biosystematic and phylogenetic status has not yet been fully explored.
A. urmiana has been an endemic species in Urmia Lake over the years (Eimanifar and Mohebbi, 2007; Asem et al., 2007). In 2008, a new Artemia population from Koyashskoye Lake, Ukraine was attributed to A. urmiana (Shadrin et al., 2008) and its taxonomical status was promptly identified as A. urmiana by Abatzopoulos et al., (2009). Consequently, A. urmiana was not considered as an endemic species to Urmia Lake. Anufriieva and Shadrin, (2012) even suggested that a possible biogeographical origin of $A$. urmiana is the Miocene salt lakes, of which natural outcrops are found in Crimea. Migration of waterbirds has been proposed as an active mechanism for the scattering of A. urmiana cysts in the new habitat, Koyashskoye Lake (Khomenko and Shadrin, 2009; Shadrin et al., 2012). The absence of $A$. urmiana in other Crimean lakes puts into question the passive transport of $A$. urmiana by migratory waterbirds (Abatzopoulos et al., 2009). Abatzopoulos et al. (2009) suggests A. urmiana cysts from Urmia Lake could have been transferred via a preferential human trade route (salt trade). In this regard, both suggested mechanisms could be acceptable for the distribution of $A$. urmiana to its non-indigenous regions.

### 4.2. Evolutionary history between EHC lineages and their sexual ancestors

EHC lineages showed a broad geographical expansion in inland localities across Asia. EHC lineages were genetically similar to the two sexual species $A$. urmiana and $A$. tibetiana, indicating multiple evolutionary origins from two Asian sexual ancestors (Muñoz et al., 2010; Maccari et al., 2013).

The close phylogenetic relationship of EHC with Asian sexual species has been described by Beardmore and Abreu-Grobois, 1983; Abatzopoulos et al., 1997; Triantaphyllidis, 1997; Baxevanis et al., 2006; Maccari et al., 2013. Historical demography and the evolutionary age of Asian Artemia lineages indicate that $A$. sinica has diverged from other sexual species around Miocene times. Demographic analyses indicate that EHC lineages have undergone a recent evolutionary range expansion which might occur in the Holocene. The evolutionary age of EHC lineages has been estimated to be at least 3 Mya, revealing the possible divergence of EHC from its Asian sexual ancestor, (mostly A. urmiana), approximately 5.5 Mya (Beardmore and Abreu-Grobois, 1983).

The presence of numerous small salt water ecosystems associated with groundwater seepages, local springs and the topographic variations in delta environments has maintained the survival of $A$. urmiana populations during the Pleistocene. Such ecological conditions were most probably not found in Black Sea regions due to more severe climatic conditions in higher latitudes. Lithological and palynological evidence implies that Urmia Lake has had a considerable level of water fluctuations during the Pleistocene and Holocene (Kelts and Shahrabi, 1986; Djamali et al., 2008).

### 4.3. A. urmiana - a major sexual species in Urmia Lake, Iran

Urmia Lake is one of the largest oligotrophic lakes located in Northwestern Iran (Asem et al., 2014). It has a unique biodiversity including numerous valuable species which are living within and nearby regions of the lake (Asem et al., 2014). It has been suggested that saline lakes have a relatively fragile ecosystem undergoing noticeable environmental changes. In the case of Urmia Lake, a significant volume of water has been lost due to climatological and anthropogenic alterations. The recent desiccation of the lake is much more evident when compared to its previous shoreline (Manaffar, 2012). Under the current condition of the lake, the probability of species extinction is rather high, so more careful protection policies are needed in order to avoid the extinction of highly adapted crustacean species such as Artemia urmiana.

A high level of genetic variation has been observed within A. urmiana individuals all over the lake. Most of the genetic variations have been observed in Southern localities of the lake which is consistent with the previous findings carried out by Eimanifar et al. (2006). A. urmiana populations are genetically homogenous: no significant genetic structure was observed using different technical approaches such as mtDNA-COI sequencing, genome fingerprints and biometry.

The lake is vulnerable to environmental changes, possibly leading to the formation of newly diverse haplotypes in the lake. All individuals are potential contributors in the lake and could be able to freely transfer into adjacent localities via hydrological connectivity. Therefore, conservation management guidelines are urgently required in order to preserve the biological integrity of $A$. urmiana in Urmia Lake.

### 4.4. A. franciscana: a threat for local Artemia biodiversity

Artemia has been used as a model organism for studying invasion biology since the timing of the first introduction of an exotic American species A. franciscana into nonindigenous localities in the 1980s (Green et al., 2005). In Asia, local Artemia have been threatened by introducing $A$. franciscana from multiple sources (the Americas and Europe). This phenomenon indicates the great impact human activities have had on the dispersal of Artemia across the world (Amat et al., 2005; Van Stappen, 2008). The establishment of allochthonous populations in the non-indigenous regions has emerged as an economic opportunity rather than a threat to biodiversity (Van Stappen, 2008). This is due to the economic importance of Artemia as a major live food for commercially valuable aquatic species (Sorgeloos et al., 2001).

The present findings indicate that $A$. franciscana has been introduced into Southern and Eastern regions of Asia from two commercial sources in the U.S.A.: the Great Salt Lake, Utah (GSL) and salterns in the San Francisco Bay area (SFB), North \& South America and

Europe. Establishment of an exotic A. franciscana in Eurasia has been documented since this species has distinctive physiological characteristics and is able to outcompete local species once it is established (Ruebhart et al., 2008; Vikas et al., 2012). Asian A. franciscana shows a high level of haplotype diversity compared to the original population source, which is a sign of multiple introductions with mass dispersal in Asia. This phenomenon has also been observed in some Mediterranean populations (Muñoz et al., 2014). A. franciscana shows a lack of genetic structure in Asia which is mostly due to human impacts on dispersal of Artemia across Eurasia.

### 4.5. A. franciscana - a super species in North America

A. franciscana is a major halophilic and commercialized Artemia species inhabiting both Southern and Northern arms of the Great Salt Lake, Utah. Inter- and intra-population studies of $A$. franciscana reveal substantial genetic diversity across the entire lake. The results show a lack of genetic structure among A. franciscana populations, indicative of panmixis in the lake. Despite significant differences of water salinity in both arms of the GSL, most genetic variation has occurred in the Southern region. This condition is mostly due to the presence of major rivers flowing into the lake in that area. A. franciscana in the GSL should be considered as a single management unit; however, I note that parthenogenetic populations have recently been reported from this lake (Endebu et al., 2013).

### 4.6. Conclusions and suggestions

The resolution of the phylogenetic trees could be improved by using additional nuclear markers (i.e. Microsatellite) for Asian Artemia lineages. Nevertheless, the DNA sequencing (COI and ITS1) and ISSR-PCR techniques used in this study have been informative in detecting phylogeographic and fine-scale population structure in Asian sexual species and EHC lineages throughout Eurasia.

In particular, sequencing of two genes have generated several distinct clusters for Asian Artemia. But the obtained patterns were not all consistent, indicating a complex structure in Asia. Taxonomic status of $A$. tibetiana needs further evaluation since it has been observed in two independent clades. According to our phylogenetic studies, A. urmiana has shared several haplotypes with EHC lineages in Eurasia. Spreading of $A$. urmiana cysts through natural means (migratory birds and wind) and physical ones (anthropogenic activities) could support dispersal into adjacent localities. More systematic analyses using multidisciplinary approaches are required to figure out the biogeographic structure of this species in Eurasia. Hybridization among Asian sexual species has been suggested as a possible mechanism for the origin and genetic diversity of EHC lineages in Eurasia (Maccari et al., 2013). Although our study has provided broad information on the population structure of EHC lineages in Eurasia, much remains to be done. The evolutionary origins and the
possible geographic origins of EHC lineages have been clearly indicated to be from the two sexual species in Asia including A. urmiana and A. tibetiana (Maccari et al., 2013). It is highly recommended that more sophisticated genomic tools be employed (such as Next Generation Sequencing and transcriptome analysis) for analysis of the sexual and EHC lineages to gain a deeper understanding of the evolutionary mechanisms of asexuality in the genus Artemia.

EHC is a mixture of clones with different, but as yet unknown, ploidy levels, which need to be further analyzed in detail. The estimation of ploidy levels using cytogenetic and flow cytometry approaches are needed for EHC lineages in Eurasia. The relationship of ploidy levels and phylogeographic structure among EHC lineages would be another next step to gain better understanding of the evolutionary history of these lineages.

The A. franciscana now colonized in Eurasia is a serious threat to the global biodiversity of Artemia. The colonization of this exotic species in non-indigenous regions leads to its outcompeting local Artemia populations. The current condition would result in species extinction jeopardizing the local biodiversity of Artemia (Amat et al., 2007). So far, the occurrence of $A$. franciscana has been reported for Mediterranean regions (Muñoz et al., 2014), South Africa (Kaiser et al., 2006; Baxevanis et al., 2014) and Australia (Vanhaecke et al., 1987). Australia is a place where very little study has been aimed at understanding the population genetic structure of Artemia populations. Further genetic investigations are required concerning the present distribution of the autochthonous and allochthonous Artemia populations in Africa and Australia. The successful colonization of an allochthonous species in non-native regions depends on multiple parameters such as environmental conditions and life-history traits (Amat et al., 2007; Van Stappen, 2008). The effect of human-mediated dispersal of $A$. franciscana in the new environments could be enhanced by numerous introductions either intentionally or accidentally. The occurrence of cryptic species suggested in this study could be further understood by using a combination of morphologic and genetic approaches.
A. franciscana from the GSL has a potential genetic diversity and its microevolutionary structure needs to be determined by including additional localities over its natural ranges. Characterization of life-span traits of $A$. franciscana populations across the GSL could provide reasonable clues about population genetic diversity and physiological adaptation of $A$. franciscana in GSL.
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