On the Impact of Submesoscale Fronts on Mesoscale Eddies and Biological Productivity in the California Current System

Masterthesis by Max Simon at Environmental Physics Group, ETH Zurich

Computational Model Approach



Physics: Primitive Equations, Equation of State for u, p, S, T, ρ using ROMS

 Biology: Biogeochemical tracers (e.g.
 NO3) and dynamics (NPP, carbon sinking) using ROMS-BEC (coupled to physics)

Computational Model Approach



Physics: Primitive Equations, Equation of State for u, p, S, T, ρ using ROMS

Biology: Biogeochemical tracers (e.g.
NO3) and dynamics (NPP, carbon sinking) using ROMS-BEC (coupled to physics)

Discretization



contribute to observations



Model: only resolved processes can contribute



Structure

- 1. Domain & Model Data
- 2. Submesoscale Fronts
- 3. Impact on Mesoscale Eddies
- 4. Biological Productivity
- 5. Summary

Domain & Model Data













-120

-120

-114

54

48

42

36

30

24

-114

Model data



- climatological forcing (normal year, ERA5)
- MR integrated on full domain, used as boundary condition for HR
- five years integration time, last three years used for analysis
- data saved as bidaily averages

Submesoscale Fronts



• emerge at horizontal density fronts, driven by mesoscale eddy strain or atmospheric forcing



- emerge at horizontal density fronts, driven by mesoscale eddy strain or atmospheric forcing
- ageostrophic secondary circulation to restore geostrophic balance of jet



- emerge at horizontal density fronts, driven by mesoscale eddy strain or atmospheric forcing
- ageostrophic secondary circulation to restore geostrophic balance of jet
- upwelling at less dense side, downwelling at dense side ($\mathcal{O}(m/day)$)



- emerge at horizontal density fronts, driven by mesoscale eddy strain or atmospheric forcing
- ageostrophic secondary circulation to restore geostrophic balance of jet
- upwelling at less dense side, downwelling at dense side ($\mathcal{O}(m/day)$)
- • modulated by mixed layer depth

Vertical velocity field at 25m depth



Vertical velocity field at 25m depth

Vertical velocity field as vertical section



Vertical velocity field at 25m depth

Vertical velocity field as vertical section



Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer

350

300

250

200

- 1.0e-04 - 7.5e-05 - 5.0e-05

2.5e-05

· 0.0e+00 · -2.5e-05 · -5.0e-05 · -7.5e-05 · -1.0e-04

Submesoscale Fronts: Characteristics

Vertical velocity field at 25m depth



Gharacteristics:

- strong vertical velocities
- elongated features
- horizontally & vertically coherent

Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer Vertical velocity field as vertical section

Vertical velocity field at 25m depth



Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer Vertical velocity field as vertical section



Characteristics:

- strong vertical velocities
- elongated features
- horizontally & vertically coherent

Detection:

- 1. thresholding vertical velocity field
- 2. connected components

Vertical velocity field at 25m depth



Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer Vertical velocity field as vertical section



Characteristics:

- strong vertical velocities
- elongated features
- horizontally & vertically coherent

Continuous values of vertical velocity





- 1. thresholding vertical velocity field
- 2. connected components



Boolean map of submesoscale fronts

Submesoscale Fronts: Detection Algorithm



Submesoscale Fronts: Detection Algorithm



- reproduce modulation by MLD
- reproduce seasonality (given by MLD, deepest in winter)

Submesoscale Fronts: Detection Algorithm



- reproduce modulation by MLD
- reproduce seasonality (given by MLD, deepest in winter)
- reproduce asymmetry between upwelling and downwelling

Submesoscale Fronts: Detection Algorithm



- reproduce modulation by MLD
- reproduce seasonality (given by MLD, deepest in winter)
- reproduce asymmetry between upwelling and downwelling
- visual inspection

Does its job in both, MR and HR \checkmark

Submesoscale Fronts: Detection Algorithm



- reproduce modulation by MLD
- reproduce seasonality (given by MLD, deepest in winter)
- reproduce asymmetry between upwelling and downwelling
- visual inspection

Does its job in both, MR and HR \checkmark

Impact on Mesoscale Eddies



(based on Frenger et al., 2015)

 radius 20 km - 200 km, several months lifetime

(McWilliams, 2008; Kurian et al., 2011; Freilich & Mahadevan, 2019)



(based on Frenger et al., 2015)

- radius 20 km 200 km, several months lifetime
- tracking by SSH anomaly



(based on Frenger et al., 2015)

- radius 20 km 200 km, several months lifetime
- tracking by SSH anomaly
- trap fluids and transport biogeochemical tracers



(based on Frenger et al., 2015)

- radius 20 km 200 km, several months lifetime
- tracking by SSH anomaly
- trap fluids and transport biogeochemical tracers
- interactions with wind stress or other eddies can induce strong vertical velocities



- radius 20 km 200 km, several months lifetime
- tracking by SSH anomaly
- trap fluids and transport biogeochemical tracers
- interactions with wind stress or other eddies can induce strong vertical velocities
- displacement of isopycnals allows for fluxes into/out of euphotic zone and alters mixed layer depth

(McWilliams, 2008; Kurian et al., 2011; Freilich & Mahadevan, 2019)

Mesoscale Eddies: Energy Cascade


Mesoscale Eddies: Energy Cascade



Mesoscale Eddies: Energy Cascade



Schubert et al., 2020

 inverse energy cascade is fueled by eddies with radius down to 17 km

Our work

 eddies < 20 km much better resolved in HR model

Schubert et al., 2020

- inverse energy cascade is fueled by eddies with radius down to 17 km
- energy reaches mesoscale in late spring/early summer (seasonality of submesoscale fronts)

Our work

- eddies < 20 km much better resolved in HR model
- strongest increase in EKE in early summer by ~50 %

Schubert et al., 2020

- inverse energy cascade is fueled by eddies with radius down to 17 km
- energy reaches mesoscale in late spring/early summer (seasonality of submesoscale fronts)
- kinetic energy at mesoscale is reduced by 20 % when submesoscale motions are not resolved

Our work

- eddies < 20 km much better resolved in HR model
- strongest increase in EKE in early summer by ~50 %
- overall EKE increases by ~10 % in HR

Schubert et al., 2020

- inverse energy cascade is fueled by eddies with radius down to 17 km
- energy reaches mesoscale in late spring/early summer (seasonality of submesoscale fronts)
- kinetic energy at mesoscale is reduced by 20 % when submesoscale motions are not resolved

Our work

- eddies < 20 km much better resolved in HR model
- strongest increase in EKE in early summer by ~50 %
- overall EKE increases by ~10 % in HR

Submesoscale fronts energize mesoscale eddies











cyclones are only little affected



- cyclones are only little affected
- anticyclones are strongly damped during winter and spring by ~40 %





(-)





	Cyclones	Anticyclones
MR	7.0 %	11.7 %
HR	8.3 %	20.0 %







Coverage of mesoscale eddies by submesoscale fronts at 25 m depth from January to March

	Cyclones	Anticyclones
MR	7.0 %	11.7 %
HR	8.3 %	20.0 %

 submesoscale fronts cover larger parts of anticyclones, especially in HR





	Cyclones	Anticyclones
MR	7.0 %	11.7 %
HR	8.3 %	20.0 %

- submesoscale fronts cover larger parts of anticyclones, especially in HR
- also observed by Brannigan et al., 2017





	Cyclones	Anticyclones
MR	7.0 %	11.7 %
HR	8.3 %	20.0 %

- submesoscale fronts cover larger parts of anticyclones, especially in HR
- also observed by Brannigan et al., 2017
- anticyclonic vorticity and deeper MLD





	Cyclones	Anticyclones
MR	7.0 %	11.7 %
HR	8.3 %	20.0 %



- submesoscale fronts cover larger parts of anticyclones, especially in HR
- also observed by Brannigan et al., 2017
- anticyclonic vorticity and deeper MLD
- fronts lead to strong vertical velocities
 inside eddy core in anticyclones





	Cyclones	Anticyclones
MR	7.0 %	11.7 %
HR	8.3 %	20.0 %



- submesoscale fronts cover larger parts of anticyclones, especially in HR
- also observed by Brannigan et al., 2017
- anticyclonic vorticity and deeper MLD
- fronts lead to strong vertical velocities
 inside eddy core in anticyclones
- fronts induce a positive vertical heat flux which could undermine positive temperature anomaly of anticyclones





Coverage of mesoscale eddies by submesoscale fronts at 25 m depth from January to March

	Cyclones	Anticyclones
MR	7.0 %	11.7 %
HR	8.3 %	20.0 %



Submesoscale fronts damp the density anomaly of mesoscale anticyclones

- submesoscale fronts cover larger parts of anticyclones, especially in HR
- also observed by Brannigan et al., 2017
- anticyclonic vorticity and deeper MLD
- fronts lead to strong vertical velocities
 inside eddy core in anticyclones
- fronts induce a positive vertical heat flux which could undermine positive temperature anomaly of anticyclones

(Thomas et al., 2013; Brannigan et al., 2017; Su et al., 2018; Klein et al., 2019)

Biological Productivity

 vertical exchange of nutrients and organic matter by strong vertical velocities



but: bound to mixed layer, depth is out of phase with biological productivity.

(Mahadevan et al., 2012; Levy et al., 2018; Kessouri et al., 2020)

 vertical exchange of nutrients and organic matter by strong vertical velocities



but: bound to mixed layer, depth is out of phase with biological productivity.

 enhancing light exposure time by restratification of mixed layer



important when productivity is light limited (spring bloom)

 vertical exchange of nutrients and organic matter by strong vertical velocities



but: bound to mixed layer, depth is out of phase with biological productivity.

 enhancing light exposure time by restratification of mixed layer



 impact on larger scale transport processes Ð

important when productivity is light limited (spring bloom)

e.g. eddy quenching



(Lathuilière et al., 2010; Gruber et al., 2011; Nagai et al., 2015)



(Lathuilière et al., 2010; Gruber et al., 2011; Nagai et al., 2015)



(Lathuilière et al., 2010; Gruber et al., 2011; Nagai et al., 2015)





 vertical exchange of nutrients and organic matter by strong vertical velocities



but: bound to mixed layer, depth is out of phase with biological productivity.

 enhancing light exposure time by restratification of mixed layer



 impact on larger scale transport processes Ð

important when productivity is light limited (spring bloom)

e.g. eddy quenching

Net Primary Production



Light Exposure

Net Primary Production









· productivity decreases at coast and increases offshore



- · productivity decreases at coast and increases offshore
- · transport processes require more time to take effect



- · productivity decreases at coast and increases offshore
- transport processes require more time to take effect
- not all effects are captured due to short integration time



- · productivity decreases at coast and increases offshore
- · transport processes require more time to take effect
- not all effects are captured due to short integration time
- Kessouri et al., 2020: longer integration time, better tuning of model




- · productivity decreases at coast and increases offshore
- transport processes require more time to take effect
- not all effects are captured due to short integration time
- Kessouri et al., 2020: longer integration time, better tuning of model

Resolving submesoscale motions broadens the productive band



Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer

Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer Submesoscale fronts energize mesoscale eddies

Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer Submesoscale fronts energize mesoscale eddies Submesoscale fronts damp the density anomaly of mesoscale anticyclones

Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer Submesoscale fronts energize mesoscale eddies Submesoscale fronts damp the density anomaly of mesoscale anticyclones

Resolving submesoscale motions broadens the productive band

Submesoscale fronts shape vertical velocities in the mixed layer Submesoscale fronts energize mesoscale eddies Submesoscale fronts damp the density anomaly of mesoscale anticyclones

Resolving submesoscale motions broadens the productive band



Thesis and source code: https://github.com/max-simon/master-thesis

• fix shortcomings: longer integration time, better tuning of model

- fix shortcomings: longer integration time, better tuning of model
- reason for increased presence of submesoscale fronts and for reduced density anomaly in anticyclones

- fix shortcomings: longer integration time, better tuning of model
- reason for increased presence of submesoscale fronts and for reduced density anomaly in anticyclones
- interaction with other processes, e.g. coastal filaments, submesoscale coherent vortices or inertial gravity waves

- fix shortcomings: longer integration time, better tuning of model
- reason for increased presence of submesoscale fronts and for reduced density anomaly in anticyclones
- interaction with other processes, e.g. coastal filaments, submesoscale coherent vortices or inertial gravity waves
- confirm impact on biological productivity with Lagrangian experiments

- fix shortcomings: longer integration time, better tuning of model
- reason for increased presence of submesoscale fronts and for reduced density anomaly in anticyclones
- interaction with other processes, e.g. coastal filaments, submesoscale coherent vortices or inertial gravity waves
- confirm impact on biological productivity with Lagrangian experiments
- impact on carbon export and biodiversity

References

- Brannigan, L., Marshall, D. P., Garabato, A. C., Nurser, A. J., & Kaiser, J. (2017). Submesoscale instabilities in mesoscale eddies. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 47(12), 3061–3085. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-0178.1
- Freilich, M. A., & Mahadevan, A. (2019). Decomposition of vertical velocity for nutrient transport in the upper ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 49(6), 1561–1575. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-19-0002.1
- Frenger, I., Münnich, M., Gruber, N., & Knutti, R. (2015). Southern Ocean eddy phenomenology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120(11), 7413–7449. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011047
- Kessouri, F., Bianchi, D., Renault, L., McWilliams, J. C., Frenzel, H., & Deutsch, C. (2020). Submesoscale currents modulate the seasonal cycle of nutrients and productivity in the California Current System. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34(10). https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gb006578
- Klein, P., Lapeyre, G., Siegelman, L., Qiu, B., Fu, L.-L., Torres, H., Su, Z., Menemen-lis, D., & Le Gentil, S. (2019). Ocean-Scale Interactions From Space. Earth and Space Science, 6(5), 795–817. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EA000492
- Kurian, J., Colas, F., Capet, X., McWilliams, J. C., & Chelton, D. B. (2011). Eddyproperties in the California Current System. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 116(8). https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006895
- Lathuilière, C., Echevin, V., Lévy, M., & Madec, G. (2010). On the role of the mesoscale circulation on an idealized coastal upwelling ecosystem. Journal of Geophysical Research, 115 (C9), C09018. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JC005827
- Lévy, M., Franks, P. J., & Smith, K. S. (2018). The role of submesoscale currents in structuring marine ecosystems. Nature Communications, 9(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07059-3
- Mahadevan, A. (2016). The Impact of Submesoscale Physics on Primary Productivity of Plankton. Annual Review of Marine Science, 8(1), 161–184. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015912
- Mahadevan, A., D'Asaro, E., Lee, C., & Perry, M. J. (2012). Eddy-driven stratification initiates North Atlantic spring phytoplankton blooms. Science, 336(6090), 54–58. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1218740
- McWilliams, J. C. (2008). The nature and consequences of oceanic eddies. Geophysical monograph series (pp. 5–15). Blackwell Publishing Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1029/177GM03
- McWilliams, J. C. (2016). Submesoscale currents in the ocean. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 472(2189), 20160117. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2016.0117
- Nagai, T., Gruber, N., Frenzel, H., Lachkar, Z., McWilliams, J. C., & Plattner, G.-K. (2015). Dominant role of eddies and filaments in the offshore transport of carbon and nutrients in the California Current System. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120(8), 5318–5341. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC010889
- Schubert, R., Gula, J., Greatbatch, R. J., Baschek, B., & Biastoch, A. (2020). The submesoscale kinetic energy cascade: Mesoscale absorption of submesoscale mixed layer eddies and frontal downscale fluxes. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 50(9), 2573–2589. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-19-0311.1
- Su, Z., Wang, J., Klein, P. et al. Ocean submesoscales as a key component of the global heat budget. Nat Commun 9, 775 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02983-w
- Thomas, L. N., Tandon, A., & Mahadevan, A. (2008). Submesoscale processes and dynamics. Geophysical monograph series (pp. 17–38). Blackwell Publishing Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1029/177GM04
- Thomas, L. N., Taylor, J. R., Ferrari, R., & Joyce, T. M. (2013). Symmetric instability in the Gulf Stream. Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 91, 96–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.02.025

Submesoscale Fronts: Detection Algorithm



- 1. Calculate an adaptive threshold for every depth level (Gaussian filter, average). Threshold vertical velocities.
- 2. Perform 2D connected component on every depth level, filter out noise and too circular structures.
- 3. Perform 3D connected component, filter out too shallow fronts.