

Contents

Contents	iii
List of Tables	vi
List of Appendices	vii
Acknowledgement	viii
Abstraction in Germany	ix
Introduction	xi
Chapter One: Background and Significance	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The First Period (1964–1972)	3
1.2.1 Educational System	4
1.2.2 The Instructional Plans	4
1.3 The Second Period (1972–1987)	7
1.3.1 Educational System	7
1.3.2 The Instructional Plans	7
1.4 Third Period (1987–1999)	8
1.4.1 Educational System	9
1.4.2 The Instructional Plans	9
1.5 The Need for Developing Mathematics Curricula in Jordan	11
1.6 Statement of the Study and Significance	15
1.6.1 Statement of the Study	15
1.6.2 Questions of the Study	16
1.6.3 Significance of the Study	17
1.6.4 Limitations of the Study	17
Chapter Two: Review of Literature	18
2.1 The International Development of Mathematics Curricula	19
2.2 The Relative International Studies	26
2.3 Development of Mathematics education in Germany	29
2.4 The Development of Mathematics Curricula in the Arab World.	31
2.5 The Local and Arabic Relative Studies	33

2.6	The development in Jordan	37
Chapter Three: Methodology and Procedures		41
3.1	Procedures	41
3.2	Analysis Method	43
3.2.1	Analysis Procedures of Documents Content	43
3.2.2	Analysis tool	44
3.3	Interviews	44
3.3.1	Interview Sample	44
3.3.2	Interview Procedures	45
3.3.3	Interview Tool	45
3.3.4	Interview Analysis Procedures	46
Chapter Four: Findings of the study		47
4.1	The First question	47
4.1.1	Development of learning objectives of mathematics during the period (1964-1972)	47
4.1.2	Development of learning objectives of mathematics during the period(1972-1987)	50
4.1.3	Development of learning objectives of Mathematics during the period (1987-1999)	53
4.2	The second question	57
4.2.1	Results of Analysis mathematics curriculum according to the principles of NCTM	57
4.2.2	Results of Analysis mathematics curriculum according to the process standards of NCTM	65
4.2.3	Results of Analysis mathematics curriculum according to the content standards of NCTM	71
4.3	The Third question	91
4.3.1	Development of Instructional methods during (1964-1972)	91
4.3.2	Development of Instructional methods during (1972-1987)	92
4.3.3	Development of Instructional methods during (1987-1999)	93

4.4	The fourth Question.	96
4.4.1	Development of Evaluation methods during (1964-1972)	96
4.4.2	Development of Evaluation methods during (1972-1987)	97
4.4.3	Development of Evaluation methods during (1987-1999)	98
4.5	Summary	100
Chapter Five: Discussion of Results		104
5.1.	The First Question	104
5.1.1	The Development of learning objectives of mathematics during the period(1964-1972)	105
5.1.2	The Development of learning objectives of mathematics during the period(1972-1987)	107
5.1.3	The Development of learning objectives of Mathematics during the period (1987-1999)	109
5.2.	The Second Question.	113
5.2.1	The development of the mathematical content during (1964-1972)	113
5.2.2	The development of the mathematical content during (1972-1987)	114
5.2.3	The development of the mathematical content during (1987-1999)	117
5.3.	The Third Question	120
5.3.1	Development of Instructional methods during (1964-1972)	120
5.3.2	Development of Instructional methods during (1972-1987)	121
5.3.3	Development of Instructional methods during (1987-1999)	123
5.4.	The Fourth Question	126
5.4.1	Development of Evaluation methods during (1964-1972)	126
5.4.2	Development of Evaluation methods during (1972-1987)	127
5.4.3	Development of Evaluation methods during (1987-1999)	127
5.5	conclusion and Recommendations	130
References.		135
Appendices		140

List of Tables

The Number	title of the table	The page
1.	The allocation of weekly mathematics periods for the compulsory Stage during the period (1964-1972).	5
2.	The allocation of weekly mathematics periods for the Secondary Stage during the period (1964-1972).	6
3.	The allocation of weekly mathematics periods for the Elementary Stage during the period (1972-1987).	8
4.	The allocation of weekly mathematics periods for the Preparatory and Secondary Stage during the period (1972-1987).	8
5.	The allocation of weekly mathematics periods for the Basic Stage during the period (1987-1999).	10
6.	The allocation of weekly mathematics periods for the Secondary Stage during the period (1987-1999).	10

List of Appendices

The Number	Subject of the appendix	The page
1)	The Documents of Mathematics Curriculum in Jordan during the period (1964-1999).	140
2)	Translation documents of mathematics curriculum during the period (1964-1972)from Arabic to English language	141
3)	Translation documents of mathematics curriculum during the period (1972-1987)from Arabic to English language	155
4)	Translation documents of mathematics curriculum during the period (1987-1999)from Arabic to English language	172
5)	The Content analysis of Mathematics Curricula during the Period (1964-1999)according to the principles and standards of NCTM (2000).	191
6)	Study Tools: The Interview Form	224
7)	The Names of interview persons (experiences) for the Sample study.	225
8)	The names of the committee judgment for the study tool.	226
9)	Translation the interviews responses on the questions of the study from Arabic to English language	227
10)	The NCTM Principles and Process Standards, which the content of curricula document analyzed in light of its.	254

Acknowledgement

My thesis is built on the inspiration of my thesis advisor, Prof. Dr. Albrecht Abele. He has met the ideal of what a thesis advisor should be, guiding me with his intellectual light, and investing hard time into every important aspect of my education. I have benefited from the scope of his vision, his mastery of mathematics education, and his sincere concern of my future success.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Wolf Brixner for his readiness to be the co-advisor of this thesis and his fruitful remarks and recommendations that have fundamental meaning. I would also like to thank Prof. Dr. Horst Hörner for his efforts and constructive comments I received in the first stages of preparing the thesis, and who was willing to be the second examiner.

I owe special thanks to the examination committee, Prof. Dr. Manuela Welzel, Prof. Dr. Reinhard Mauve, Prof. Dr. Michael Schallies, for investing their precious time into reading my doctoral thesis and for their comments and suggestion.

I also would like to thank the faculty dean, Prof. Dr. Michael Schallies, for his helpfulness and for the friendly work environment he offered me.

Special gratitude is to Prof. Dr. Faried Abu Zeinah for his kind participation in supervising this thesis in Jordan, his helpful suggestion, constructive comments, his readiness to offer help when needed, and his remarks and recommendations that have fundamental meaning, in spite of the lack of time due to his heavy administrative responsibilities as vice president of Al-Isra University and as Dean of Faculty of Educational Science (Amman, Jordan). Thanks are also for the members of interview samples, jury experts, and the officials in the Ministry of Education in Jordan for their cooperation and support.

It would not have been possible for me to finish this thesis without the broad base of support which I have been lucky to experience during my stay in Germany. I have been blessed with the strength which comes from a loving family, my wife and my three children, and from an inspired advisor.

My debt is large, and although I cannot explicitly name everyone who deserves my thanks, my gratitude to those people is not diminished.